# **LCLS-II CRYOMODULE PRODUCTION AT JLAB: SUMMARY AND LESSONS**

**ID: THPTEV013** 

N.A. Huque, E. Daly, J.P. Preble, K.M. Wilson, TJNAF, Newport News, USA



### **INTRODUCTION**

- Twenty-one LCLS-II Cryomodules (CMs) were assembled at JLab and delivered to SLAC
- Issues with CM transportation and acceptance testing were solved to allow for the completion of the project
- Other lessons from LCLS-II will be implemented in LCLS-II-HE, as well as other CM production projects at JLab

#### **CRYOMODULE PERFORMANCE**

- The LCLS-II spec called for a usable gradient of over 128 MV and an average  $Q_0$  of  $2.7 \times 10^{10}$  for each CM
- Plots below show the CM gradients (left, usable gradient value in boxes) and average CM  $Q_0$  (right)
- Improvements to cleanroom and VTA procedures increased FE onset (and hence usable gradients) in later CMs, and upgraded cryogenic capabilities allowed for higher Q<sub>0</sub> measurements after CM08



## **CRYOGENIC TESTING IMPROVEMENTS**

- The spring configuration on the shipping frame was adjusted to be less stiff
- A restraint for the bellows was developed and tested on a trip from JLab to SLAC
- The new shipping system was used to ship all production CMs from JLab and FNAL to SLAC





Non-Conformance Reports: A large number of NCRs were written for the LCLS-II project. Half of these involved scratches and rework on various types of flanges. The inspections and rework could be completed more efficiently if the rework operations were made a standard part of procedure, to happen prior to inspection

from the outsides of the string entered the beamline space. During testing in the CMTF, five cavities had field emission onsets lower than spec and three of them did not meet the minimum gradient criteria. Replacing a cavity in this manner should be avoided for future projects

#### This manuscript has been authored by Jefferson Science Associates, LLC under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177

