FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY



# ESS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AT IPNO AND CEA PARIS-SACLAY

#### G. DEVANZ

CEA-Irfu,CEA Paris-Saclay

On behalf of IPN Orsay and CEA ESS team

www.cea.fr

FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY

### **ESS - SRF LINAC**



4	_24m→ ←	46 m→ ←	352.21	MHz € 39 m → €	$-56 \text{ m} \rightarrow -77 \text{ m}$	704.42 MHz	/9 m →		
Source		RFQ + I			Spokes Mediu	im β → Hi 561 MeV	gh β + HEB 2000 MeV	T & Contingency	◆ Target
Requirements	Spoke	Medium	High	-				Design fi	xed in 2014
Frequency (MHz)	352.21	704.42	704.42	-					I
Geometric beta	0.50	0.67	0.86	_	Beam po	wer (MW	()	5	
Nominal Accelerating	9.0	16.7	19.9	_	beam cur	rent (mA)		62.5	
gradient (MV/m)				_	Linac ene	erav (GeV	<b>'</b> )	2	
Epk (MV/m)	39	45	45	_	<b>D</b>			0.00	
Bpk/Eacc (mT/MV/m)	<8.75	4.79	4.3		Beam pu	Ise length	(ms)	2.86	
Epk/Eacc	<4.38	2.36	2.2	_	Repetition	n rate (Hz	Z)	14	
Iris diameter (mm)	50	94	120	_					
RF peak power (kW)	335	1100	1100			Num.	of CMs	Num.	of cavities
$G(\Omega)$	130	196.63	241	0			40		00
$\operatorname{Max} R/Q\left(\Omega\right)$	427	394	477	- Spc	оке		13		20
Qext	2.85 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.5 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.6 10 <sup>5</sup>	6-c	ell medium (	3	9		36
Q0 at nominal gradient	1.5 109	> 5 10 <sup>9</sup>	> 5 10 <sup>9</sup>	5-ce	ell high $\beta$		21		84

CON

### **ESS - SRF LINAC**



$352.21 \text{ MHz} \longrightarrow 704.42 \text{ MHz} \longrightarrow 704.$							
75 keV RF performance	3.6 MeV SNS med	90 MeV	216 MeV 5	61 MeV 2000 MeV	ESS		
specifications	β <b>0.61</b>	β <b>0.67</b>		high β 0.81	high β 0.86	201	
Qo	5e9		5e9	5e9	5e9		
Eacc [MV/m]	10.1		16.7	15.6	19.9		
Epk [MV/m]	27.5		45	35	45		
		SNS	ESS				
Beam power [MW]		1.4	.4 5				
beam current [mA]		38	62.5	ESS project decision (20		)12)	
Linac energy [GeV	1	2	<ul> <li>No HOM couplers</li> <li>Instead, ensure monopol mode separation from machine lines &gt; 5 MHz</li> </ul>				
Beam pulse length	0.695	2.86					
Repetition rate [Hz]	60	14					
RF pulse length [m	1.3	3.1					
Coupler peak powe	550	1100					
Coupler avg. Powe	43	47.7					

2014

#### FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY

### **CRYOMODULE DESIGNS COMMON OPTIONS**





Design work started with IPNO exclusively for spoke section CEA for ellipticals cavity package with IPNO for cryomodule

#### Common options for cryomodules of all sections :

- No internal focussing elements
- cooling of He to 2 K local to each CM using a heat exchanger and Joule-Thomson valve
- Al thermal shield at 40 K (19 bar He)
- The operation pressure of 2.04 bara ( $\rightarrow$ PED chapter 4.3)
- Couplers ports at the bottom of the modules
- Stainless steel vaccum vessel
- Cold magnetic shield

#### At the components level :

- Ti vessels for cavities
- Single window rigid couplers with He counterflow
- Cold tuners with cold motor/gear box and piezos

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRIE

## **CONTRACTOR OF A SERVING A SERVICE A**





- Most critical « vessel » is the Helium volume between cavity and helium jacket (many welds, exotic materials)
- Example : XFEL cavities follow
   Cat. IV related verification units (B1,B,F,G modules)

→If possible, favor lowest categories

 ESS spoke and ellipticals CM have been designed in order to have PS . V < 50 for the Helium vessel (now Art. 4 § 3)

> →Design has to follow « Sound engineering practice »

PS = « Maximum Allowable Pressure », relative to atmospheric pressure (barg)



# **CRYOMODULE DESIGN DIFFERENCES**





- 2-phase line includes flanges
- Cavity support using antagonist tie rods . fixed on the <u>vacuum vessel</u>





- 2-phase line is welded Ti
- Cavity support using antagonist tie rods fixed on a <u>space frame</u>













Erequency (MHz)	352 21
Optimum β	0.5
E <sub>acc</sub> (MV/m)	9.0
B <sub>pk</sub> (mT)	62
E <sub>pk</sub> (MV/m)	39
G (Ohm)	130
r/Q (Ohm)	426
L <sub>acc</sub> (m)	0.639
E <sub>pk</sub> /E <sub>acc</sub>	4.34
B <sub>pk</sub> /E <sub>acc</sub> (mT/(MV/m))	6.88
KL (Hz/(MV/m)²)	-5.5
Kp (Hz/mbar)	15
Tuning sensitivity (kHz/mm)	130





3 prototypes built with 2 vendors



#### SPOKE PROTOTYPES PERFORMANCE





## SPOKE FUNDAMENTAL POWER COUPLERS













| PAGE 10





**Slow tuning**: excentric axle operated by cold motor/gearbox/screw

Stroke 1.28 mm Range 170 kHz

**Fast tuning**: piezo stacks bend the main vertical beams

Stroke ~800 Hz





Final design with 72 mm piezos



Dedicated test and qualification cryostat.

4 tuners can be tested simultaeously

Measured stiffness ~30 kN/mm



All components for the 26 spoke tuners delivered

SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ









Test of the cold tuner:

- no hysteresis,
- tuning range 160 kHz



Test of the cold magnetic (cryoperm) shield efficiency with temperature : active cooling not needed

SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ



Conditioning time 30h @ 300K, 14h@2K, 30h with cavity@2K





#### Tuning sensitivity: 150Hz/mm



SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ









First test without quench detection : burst disk opened on cavity quench

Preliminary results of second test with quench detection installed:

- Static losses :10 W
- Cavity 1 reached 15 MV/m, No FE
- Cavity 2 reached 10.5 MV/m (Quench)
- Dynamic load per cavity < 1W

#### POSTERS tomorrow THP057 & THP058

**ess** 

# **N** SERIES SPOKE CAVITIES





- 10 resonators built, 7 cavities tested @ 2K

ORSAY

- 2 cavities within the specs (ie. Eacc > 9MV/m, Qo> 1.5 10E+09 and 352.089 MHz < Freq < 352.175 MHz)</li>
- **1 cavity with thermal quench limitation at 19MV/m**. For all other ones, limitation comes from **field emission**.





**e**55

# ELLIPTICAL SECTION CRYOMODULE





#### FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTR

## Cea 704.42 MHZ ELLIPTICAL CAVITIES - PROTOTYPES

	Medium	High	
Geometrical beta	0.67	0.86	
Number of cells	6	5	
Length (mm)	1259	1316	
Nominal Accelerating gradient (MV/m)	16.7	19.9	
Nominal Accelerating Voltage (MV)	14.3	18.2	
$Q_0$ at nominal gradient	> 5e9		
Cavity dynamic heat load (W)	4.9	6.5	
Q <sub>ext</sub>	7.5 10 <sup>5</sup>	7.6 10 <sup>5</sup>	
Iris diameter (mm)	94	120	
Cell to cell coupling k (%)	1.2	1.8	
$\pi$ and 5 $\pi$ /6 (or 4 $\pi$ /5) mode separation (MHz)	0.53	1.2	
E <sub>pk</sub> /E <sub>acc</sub>	2.35	2.2	
B <sub>pk</sub> /E <sub>acc</sub> (mT/(MV/m))	4.78	4.3	
Maximum. r/Q (Ω)	397	477	
Optimum β	0.705	0.92	
G (Ω)	197	241	
Static KL (Hz/(MV/m) <sup>2</sup> ) with tuner	-2	-1	



- No HOM couplers
- Cold magnetic shield over the He jacket (target 1.4  $\mu T)$
- Use as far as possible tesla technology material (Ti tank, Al gaskets)



# Cea lorentz force detuning





SRF2019 DRESDEN - G. DEVANZ

#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

# Cea cold tuning system







Stiffness of 30 kN/mm obtained on test bench for pre-series es production

- Saclay V type adapted for ESS cavities
- Cold motor and planetary gearbox (1/100)
- +/- 3 mm range
- Theroretical resolution ~1Hz
- 1+1 piezo symmetrical arrangement
- ■Piezo support has a stiffness 10 times higher than the cavity ⇒ piezo preload at 2K is independent of the cavity springback force
- Good linearity due to high stiffness, proven on many previous Saclay designs (Soleil, Super-3HC, TTF/X-FEL, HIPPI)



planetary gear box

Motor+

#### FIRST BATCH OF MEDIUM BETA CEA/U-LUND PROTOTYPES







SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ

PAGE 22





#### **Design goal**

- Plug-compatible •
- Larger cell-to-cell coupling • factor, k>1.5%
- Allowing for a slight • modest sacrifice on E<sub>peak</sub> and R/Q

Mechanical narameter	INFN	
	Design	
Cavity wall thickness (mm)	4.5	
Stiffening ring radius (mm)	70	
Cavity Internal volume (I)	69	
Cavity internal surface (m <sup>2</sup> )	1.8	
Stiffness (kN/mm)	1.7	
Tuning sensitivity K <sub>T</sub> (kHz/mm)	-210	
Vacuum sensitivity K <sub>v</sub> for	21	
K <sub>ext</sub> ~ 21 kN/mm (Hz/mbar)	51	
LFD coefficient K <sub>L</sub> for	-1.7	
K <sub>ext</sub> ~ 21 kN/mm (Hz/(MV/m) <sup>2</sup> )		
S. Pirani 2016	c	

	Tark Linge 108.8ee Carpo 108.8ee Tark Main coupler	Plug- compatible
<b>RF Parameters</b>	INFN design	ESS spec.
R <sub>iris</sub> (mm)	50	≥47
Geometrical beta	0.67	0.67
Frequency (MHz)	704.42	704.42
Acc. length (m)	0.855	0.855
Cell to cell coupling k	1.55% / (+26%)	
$\pi$ -5 $\pi$ /6 mode sep.(MHz)	0.707(+30%)	>0.45
G (Ω)	198.8	
Optimum beta, $\beta_{opt}$	0.705	0.705
Max R/Q at $\beta_{opt}(\Omega)$	374	
$E_{acc}$ at $\beta_{ont}$ (MV/m)	16.7	16.7
$E_{\text{peak}}/E_{\text{acc}}$	2.55 🗡 (+7%)	
$E_{\text{neak}}$ (MV/m)	42.6 \(-6%)	< 45
$B_{\text{peak}}/E_{\text{acc}}(\text{mT/MV/m})$	4.95 / (+3%)	
Q <sub>0</sub> at nominal gradient	$>5 \times 10^{9}$	$>5 \times 10^{9}$
Q <sub>ext</sub>	$7.8 \times 10^{5}$	5.9~8×10 <sup>5</sup> 23





**ess** 

# Cea FIRST TWO HIGH BETA PROTOTYPES



Field flatness:92%



FNP 1-1-2.4 etching performed on BCP/EP cabinet



SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ

## FUNDAMENTAL POWER COUPLERS -ELLIPTICALS



CEA «HIPPI» coupler (2009)

- Peak power 1.2 MW
- Avg. power 120 kW

#### ESS coupler (2013)

- Peak power 1.1 MW
- Avg. Power 50 kW
- Antenna HV bias

#### KEK-SNS type

- 100 mm 50 Ω
- single disk window w/ TiN
- Water cooled antenna
- He cooled double wall tube



Test of the HIPPI power coupler a b=0.5 5-cell cavity at 1.8 K, full reflection, horizontal cryostat

#### ESS prototypes (2016)



#### DE LA RECHERCHE À L'INDUSTRI

## Cea FPC PROTOTYPES RF CONDITIONING





Conditioning is performed on a FPC pair assembled in ISO5 clean room on a coupling cavity , the baked at 170°C for 3 to days

- with power ramps, increasing RF pulse duration
- Power increase is controled by pressure, interlocked by vacuum, arc detectors, electron pickups
- 2 runs in TW: 1Hz rep. Rate, 14Hz Rate
- 2 runs in SW : 1Hz rep. Rate, 14Hz Rate
- 1hr TW @ maximum power, nominal ESS pulse
- 3 pairs of prototype FPC:
- Mixed components from 2 window providers, 2 doorknob manufacturers, 2 double-wall tube manufacturers
- Total RF-on time for conditioning for those 3 pairs : 82 hrs, 88 hrs, 106 hrs







- 3 FPC pairs delivered as a pre-series by the 120coupler manufacturer.
- RF conditioning performed to specs



Up to now : 14 FPCs have been qualified to specs



## **HB CAVITY PACKAGE TESTS** AT UPPSALA





#### Test Plan:

- **RT FPC conditioning**
- Cooldown
- RF conditioning at cold
- measurement
  - $Q_0$  preliminary result >10<sup>9</sup>
    - not much multipacting, cavity and coupler very quiet during last tests
  - Lorenz force detuning, cavity tuning sensitivity
    - lost some motor steps during 1st movement, others ok
- Cold tuner test

SRF2019 DRESDEN - G. DEVANZ

on Prototype High beta cavity package **Cavity Frequency vs. LHe pressure**  $K_{P}$  = +37 Hz/mbar (tuner at home position) Frequency vs. pressur



First cold test of elliptical FPC and tuner

Cavity measurements :

 $K_1 = -1.3 \text{ Hz}/(\text{MV/m})^2$ 









INFN

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

#### FIRST ASSEMBLY – DOORKNOB ASSEMBLY CQZWITH LOSS-OF-VACUUM ACCIDENT





PAGE 31



### **REFURBISHED M-ECCTD (PHOENIX)**





# Ce2 FPC CONDITIONING ON CRYOMODULE

E.

<figure>

After cryomodule cooldown :







Same logic is followed as on FPC conditioning stand avg. duration ~4 hours for both warm and cold conditioning

SRF2019 DRESDEN - G. DEVANZ



## 2018 HIGH POWER TEST OF ESS MEDIUM BETA ECCTD CRYOMODULE





#### **RESULTS:**

- 3 CEA cavities, 1 INFN cavity
- Operated at 2K
- All 4 beta=0.67 cavities connected to a single RF source
- Only single cavity high power operation with 4P/P pulse is possible with the current setup
- Fundamental Power Couplers (FPC) are conditionned at room temperature first, then at 2K up to 1.2 MW peak power



- 4 FPCs pass all conditionning stages (full reflection)
- All cavities tuned to nominal frequency at 704.42 MHz and run with high power
- 3 cavities operated at 2 K above nominal gradient of 16.7 MV/m, RF pulse of 3.6 ms total, @14 Hz, with LFD piezo compensation
- 1 cavity with field limitation at 16 MV/m SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ

#### ESS M-ECCTD CAVITY #3 4P/P FLAT TOP LFD PIEZO COMPENSATION AT NOMINAL GRADIENT



| PAGE 35

## M-ECCTD 4P/P FLAT TOP LFD PIEZO COMPENSATION AT NOMINAL GRADIENT

Piezo LFD compensation simultaneously on 2 neighbour cavities



INFN

Istituto Nazior di Fisica Nucle







SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ

**CAVITY 1 TUNER – WIDE RANGE SCAN** 





Pretuning of cavities done initially at RT at 703.000 Mhz corresponds to 704.240 MHz at 2 K

Istituto Nazio di Fisica Nucli

Ultimate range is limited by mechanical stop around 22 turns Sensitivity is slightly asymetric (the tuner needs initial loading) : +19.35 kHz/turn for positive shifts

- 19.81 kHz/turn for negative shifts

SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ







Phase separator

will be installed for next tests

corrected for next modules

Test conditions differ from ESS site:

- 1.1 bar saturated He instead of 3 bar supercritical He
- thermal shield cooled with Liquid N<sub>2</sub>, at 80 K instead of 19.5 bar He (TS@40K) Issues during tests:
- Instabilities due to the diphasic helium and the Hampson heat exchanger
- Issue with the initial design of piping for the He level gauge : the gauge is blind above 92%, corresponding to the bottom of the 2-phase pipe

Consequences:

- Difficulties to get stable levels for periods longer than ~1 hour
- Lhe could overflow without a warning and reach warm areas of the piping

 $\rightarrow$  burst discs went off twice during the CM test period







Measured RF dissipation of the cavity MB01 at ESS nominal field: **4.5 W** (requirements : < 5W)



Electrical heaters power and helium bath pressure variations at the stop of the RF power in the cavity MB01.

Other measurements that were performed were not reliable enough.





Tests have been strongly perturbed by:

- unstable behavior of heat exchanger due to diphasic He feed
   → phase separator will be added to mitigate this issue
- FE in all cavities

 $\rightarrow$  review of potential cavity contamination in order to improve procedures

 $\rightarrow$  use ion or getter pump when cold (used pumping station failed during cooldown!)

 $\rightarrow$  setup a 6+ radiation monitor set in the test bunker for repeatable measurements in a known spatial distribution, so successive tests can be compared, and possibly locate the origin of emission by combining data with simulation

see poster THP097





- FIRST series cryomodule is under construction
- ISO4 assembly of H-ECCTD cavity string components is on-going



SRF2019 DRESDEN – G. DEVANZ





Cea



### THANK YOU



I wish to thank for providing presentation material and data G. Orly, N. Gandolfo R. Ruber, H. Li E. Cenni, C. Arcambal, P. Bosland, O. Piquet

And ESS teams of INPO, Uppsala University, INFN-LASA, ESSS and CEA for the hard work to get to this point from what was still concepts in 2011!