

# FLASH Progress Report

Elmar Vogel for all colleagues contributing to FLASH

13th International Workshop on RF Superconductivity, Peking University, Beijing, China 2007

# FLASH - the free electron laser in Hamburg

user facility
 enabling time exposures in the fs-scale of
 biological, chemical and physical processes



FLASH experiment

• test bed for XFEL and ILC



XFEL cold linac tunnel

## A bundle of modern physics applications

- theory of photon emission for SASE
- superconductivity for accelerating cavities
- superfluity for heat transport
- electrodynamics for particle acceleration
- inclusive special relativity theory within beam dynamics
- laser active crystals for beam creation and diagnostics
- and so on ...



# ... and a bundle of (high) technology

- metallurgy and crystallography
- welding engineering
- (chemical) surface treatments
- clean room techniques and process engineering
- large scale cryogenics for 2 Kelvin operation
- ultra high vacuum (see K. Zapfe WEP74)
- digital electronics for beam diagnostics and control
- control engineering for digital control algorithms
- piezo actuator techniques for cavity tuning
- high power rf klystrons
- and so on ...



#### ... requires a lot of experts from different fields

K. Zapfe, I. Zagorodnov, M.V. Yurkov, P. Yeates, W. Wurth, K. Wittenburg, A. Winter, I. Will, M. Wendt, M. Wellhöfer, H. Weise, T. Weiland, E. Vogel, I. Tsakov, D. Trines, R. Treusch, S. Toleikis, M. Tischer, K. Tiedtke, H. Thom, F. Stulle, F. Stephan, B. Steffen, L. Staykov, R. Spesyvtsev Pirro, P. Spanknebel, A.A. Sorokin, E. Sombrowski, S. Simrock, C. Simon, A.V. Shabunov, D. Sertore, M. Seidel, S. Schreiber, S. Schnepp, E.A. Schneidmiller, J.R. Schneider, P. Schmüser, M. Schmitz, B. Schmidt, H. Schlarb, W. Sandner, E.L. Saldin, M. Sachwitz, V. Rybnikov, J. Rossbach, M. Ross, R. Romaniuk, J. Roensch, M. Roehrs, M. Richter, K. Rehlich, H. Redlin, P. Radcliffe, P. Pucyk, D. Proch, E. Prat, K. Pozniak, L. Poletto, E. Plönjes, J. Pflüger, B. Petrosyan, G. Petrosyan, L. Petrosyan, B. Petersen, J. Pedregosa-Gutierrez, N. Pchalek, R. Paparella, C. Pagani, A. Oppelt, T. Nuñez, D. Nölle, P. Nicolosi, O. Napoly, O. Napieralski, W.F.O. Müller, L. Monaco, W.D. Möller, V. Miltchev, P. Michelato, M. Meyer, M. Martins, M. Luong, H. Luna, F. Löhl, D. Lipka, T. Limberg, L. Lilje, C.L.S. Lewis, M. Kuhlmann, G. Kube, M. Krassilnikov, D. Kostin, M. Körfer, S. Korepanov, W. Koprek, M. Kollewe, V. Kocharyan, K. Klose, S. Khodyachykh, E.T. Kennedy, K. Kavanagh, V. Katalev, R. Kammering, T. Jezynski, W. Jalmuzna, E. Jaeschke, Y. Ivanisenko, M. Hüning, T. Hott, K. Honkavaara, J.H. Han, U. Hahn, K. Hacker, O. Grimm, M. Grecki, H.-J. Grabosch, M. Görler, N. Golubeva, Ch. Gerth, U. Gensch, T. Garvey, L. Fröhlich, J. Frisch, K. Flöttmann, J. Feldhaus, B. Faatz, H.T. Edwards, A. Eckhardt, S. Düsterer, M. Dohlus, G. Di, H. Delsim-Hashemi, A. Delserieys, W. Decking, J. Dardis, D. Cubaynes, J.T. Costello, A. Cianchi, S. Choroba, E. Chiadroni, L. Catani, P. Castro, M. Castellano, O.I. Brovko, R. Brinkmann, A. Brandt, A. Bolzmann, B. Beutner, V. Balandin, J. Bähr, N. Baboi, A. Azima, V. Ayvazyan, G. Asova and W. Ackermann

even this list is incomplete - I am sorry for that

the talk can only present some issues selected by the speaker

# Outline of the talk

- SASE and prerequisites
- FLASH the machine
- focus less on superconducting technology more focus on improving the stability for operation
- beam diagnostics gains importance
- as well as rf and beam control
- SASE performance
- Statistics
- what comes next?

# <u>Self</u> amplified stimulated emission of photons

- bunch interacts with undulator field
- micro bunches develop
- micro bunches emit coherently ~  $N^2$  (N  $\approx 10^6$ )



### FLASH - the machine (in autumn 2007)



- initial bunch length restricted by collective effects
- two stage bunch compression

- off crest acceleration in ACC1 and ACC2/3
- requires good rf field stability

#### FLASH time structure

High gradients and moderate cooling demand (cryogenic load) by using pulsed rf:



# Superconducting accelerating structures

# Why superconducting structures?

#### Reasons:

- effective transfer from rf power into beam power (low losses)
- high rf field stability (due to high Q<sub>L</sub> values)
- less sensitive to alignment errors
- moderate demand on beam steering

Surface Resistance  $R_s(T)$ 



typical quality factor:  $Q_0 > 1*10^{10}$  at 2K

### Development of the nine cell TESLA cavities

• TESLA collaboration started 15 years ago in 1992



- now experience form about 130 cavities produced by 4 companies
- material qualification procedures established
- welding procedures established
- surface treatments and process engineering under evaluation (see poster from D. Reschke)

### Increasing cavity gradient

'Qualified' vendor productions: best test results



#### Status

- typical gradients obtained in pre-series production
   > 25 MV/m
- at September 25<sup>th</sup>
  new record at horizontal
  cavity test stand CHECHIA:
  40 MV/m (stable for ½h)

Cryomodules – cavity shielding of  $\Delta T \approx 290$  K

#### Each module hosts:

- eight cavities
- quadrupole magnet
- beam position monitor
- beam steering magnets
- etc...





# Cavity and module performance at FLASH



(see D. Kostin 'Testing the FLASH Superconducting Accelerating Modules', WEP05)



100 fs long bunches require some new diagnostics ...

## Electron beam diagnostics at FLASH



Here only two examples...

# Transverse deflecting rf (in collaboration with SLAC)



### HOM based beam position monitor



• single bunch resolution achieved: 2 to 10µm r.m.s. (multi bunch worse)

#### FLASH Progress Report



# Rf gun laser ...

the gun laser produces laser pulses shoot onto the cathode creating bunches

# and rf gun



# the rf field of max 42 MV/m on the cathode accelerates bunches to 5 MeV

# Rf control by FPGA and sophisticated algorithms

#### Gun without probe

- calculation of probe form forward and reflected rf
- calibration and linearization is an issue

#### Algorithms

- P(I) control with recursive
  20 kHz low-pass (IIR) for
  stability at 'high' gain (>5)
- Adaptive feed forward (AFF) from rf pulse to rf pulse



# Gun beam stability

- indirect rf phase measurement
- bunch charge depends on rf phase at 'edge'
- resolution about  $\pm$  0.01  $^{\circ}$  (20 fs)





- intra pulse stability better 0.14°
- long term stability peak-to-peak 0.4°
- FLASH requirement: better 0.5°



# Proportional control with beam based beam loading compensation

Beam energy measured with synchrotron light spot at BC2





Resolution  $\Delta E/E = 10^{-4}$ 

# 'Ideal' gain for proportional rf control at ACC1



#### Gain giving most stable beam:

- small gain: error suppression
- large gain: noise amplification
- best single bunch stability:  $\Delta E/E = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$

#### Gain limitations:

- noise at pick up signal?
- w/o paying attention to the  $8/9 \pi$  mode: G = 40
- paying attention to the  $8/9 \pi$  mode: G > 100

### Actual status of the ACC1 beam loading compensation

#### Status:

- not yet ideal, but...
- sufficient for SASE with more than 400 bunches

#### Next steps:

- improvement of calibration
- further qualification by beam measurements



# Further rf and beam control developments

#### Rf control

- klystron linearisation
- optimal control
- adaptive feed forward (several types)
- sampling schemes
- improvement of down converters
- piezo tuners for compensating the Lorentz force
- new electronics (e.g. ATCA)
- and so on...

#### Beam control (only some examples)

- (bunch to bunch) beam based measurement of ACC1 amplitude and phase, used in future as beam feedback sensor
- beam feedbacks in front of undulators
- and so on...

# SASE performance

#### all this effort pays off:

- increasing beam energy and lower wavelength
- lasing with long bunch trains

#### Beam energy and wavelength electron beam energy (MeV) lasing at 6.5 nm 10/2007 1000 lasing at 13 nm 4/2006, 80 saturation 8/2006 lasing at 25 nm 12/2005 FEL radiation: measured diffraction pattern of a double slit 600 lasing at 32 nm 1/2005 FEL at TTF 1 (1999 - 2002) 400 proof-of-principle for SASE in the VUV first lasing 2/2001, saturation 9/2002 200 20 40 60 80 16 180 400 80 10 120 140 FEL wavelength (nm)

# Wavelength tuning

#### Lasing at different wavelengths

 slow switch of wavelength: on shift to shift basis

#### Challenge 1

- each energy requires new optics and tuning
- once tuned, by reloading of files pretty fast recovery

#### Challenge 2

- hitting the right wavelength within 0.1 nm
- sometimes lengthy

| achieved<br>wavelength (nm) | beam energy<br>(MeV) |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| 6.5                         | 986                  |
| 13.1                        | 680                  |
| 13.7                        | 680                  |
| 15.1                        | 650                  |
| 16.9                        | 610                  |
| 20                          | 576                  |
| 18.9                        | 580                  |
| 20.9                        | 550                  |
| 25.5                        | 500                  |
| 28.6                        | 478                  |
| 32.5                        | 465                  |
| 32.5                        | 445                  |
| 35.1                        | 428                  |
| 38                          | 407                  |
| 40.4                        | 397                  |
| 47                          | 374                  |

### Lasing with long bunch trains





### User operation since 2005

#### User periods

The user period 1 had two runs

- run 1 in 2005/2006 with 119 days
- run 2 in 2006/2007 with 160 days

Next user period 2 in 2007/2008 with one long run of 203 days

#### Accelerator study periods

- FEL related machine studies for the preparation of next block of user runs
- general accelerator studies (XFEL, ILC etc)

|     | 7 |                      |
|-----|---|----------------------|
|     | 6 |                      |
|     | 5 |                      |
|     | 4 | User Experiments     |
|     | 3 | User run preparation |
|     | 2 |                      |
|     | 1 | FEL related Studies  |
|     | 7 |                      |
| S   | 6 |                      |
| set | 5 |                      |
| Ň   | 4 | User Experiments     |
|     | 3 | User run preparation |
|     | 2 |                      |
|     | 1 | FEL related Studies  |
|     | 4 |                      |
|     | 3 |                      |
|     | 2 |                      |
|     | 1 | Accelerator Studies  |

#### Beam time distribution

Example user run 2: May 2006 until Mars 2007

- 45 weeks or 7728 h
- user experiments had 3840 h of beam time (47 %)
- with 2798 h of actual beam delivery (73%)



28%

# SASE delivered to experiments

- ~70 % of the beam time is actually beam delivery
- slight improvement from run 1 to run 2 by 4 %



### Downtime

- downtime mainly due to RF stations
- a few 'big' events (cryo/rf stations)
- otherwise lots of little problems with downtimes ~4%



# Next milestones

# Next milestones until end 2007

• start second period of user experiments with 13.5, ~28, ~8 and ~7 nm



# ... and beginning 2009

potential installation of 7<sup>th</sup> module

- for 1.2 GeV (or perhaps 1.3 GeV)
- lasing at 4.4 (3.7) nm

#### installation of 3<sup>rd</sup> harmonic rf

• to flatten E-z phase space before bunch compression





# Summary

Superconducting technology

- typical cavity gradients > 25 MV/m
- record with 40 MV/m
- average accelerating module gradients of ≈ 27 MV/m reached
- beam reached 1 GeV

#### Focus shifting towards improving the stability for operation

- beam diagnostics (only two examples presented)
- rf and beam control:  $\Delta E/E = 1.6 \times 10^{-4}$  at first bunch compressor

#### The effort pays off...

- SASE performance: 6.5 nm reached
- statistics: FEL beam delivery in ~70% of the scheduled time for user experiments

What comes next?