Operating Experience with the RIKEN Radioactive Isotope Beam Factory

N. Fukunishi, T. Dantsuka, M. Fujimaki, A. Goto, H. Hasebe, Y. Higurashi, E. Ikezawa, T. Kageyama, O. Kamigaito, M. Kase, M. Kidera, M. Kobayashi-Komiyama, K. Kumagai, H. Kuboki, T. Maie, M. Nagase, T. Nakagawa, J. Ohnishi, H. Okuno, N. Sakamoto, Y. Sato, K. Sekiguchi, K. Suda, H. Suzuki, M. Wakasugi, H. Watanabe, T. Watanabe, Y. Watanabe, K. Yamada, Y. Yano, S. Yokouchi

Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, RIKEN

RI Beam Factory Project aims

- (I) to produce the world-most-intense RI beam
- (2) to make systematic studies on unstable nuclei far from stability



(from a pamphlet of Nishina center for Accelerator-Based Science)

Layout of RI Beam Factory



RRC = Riken Ring Cyclotron (1986~) fRC = fixed-frequency Ring Cyclotron (2006~) IRC = Intermediate-stage Ring Cyclotron (2006~) SRC = Superconducting Ring Cyclotron (2006~)

Specifications of RIBF ring cyclotrons

	fRC	IRC	SRC	RRC
K-number (MeV)	570	980	2600	540
number of sector magnets	4	4	6	4
velocity gain	2.1	1.5	1.5	4.0
number of trim coils (/ sector magnet)	10	20	4(SC) 22(NC)	26
RF resonators	2+FT	2+FT	4+FT	2
frequency range (MHz)	54.75	18~38	18~38	18~38

SC = superconducting

NC = normal conducting

FT = flat-top resonator

Acceleration modes in RIBF



Operation history

(Does not include experiments using RRC beams.)



SHARAQ, Zero-degree spectrometer = Experimental instrumentation installed downstream the BigRIPS

Polarized deuteron beam (April, 2009)



Analyzing power measurements with single-turn extracted beams

Operation statistics

Operation-time statistics (hours)

year	2008	2007
RRC operation	3961	3757
RRC experiment	1165	687
RIBF operation	205 I	1845
RIBF experiment	685	414

5 months operation approved 50% used for RIBF 30% of RIBF operation used for experiments

Oil contamination in He refrigerator of SRC



He refrigerator of SRC was contaminated with oil from He compressor. (Feb. 2008)

HXI~7,TI~4 etc cleaned up.

Oil separator of He compressor 4 steps \rightarrow 6 steps

Cooling power $1410 \text{W} \rightarrow 1378 \text{W}$



SRC performance as an isochronous cyclotron



Present performance of RIBF

Present status

~90% transmission efficiency in variable-energy mode

~200 pnA operation is available for light ions (^{48}Ca).

Maximum Beam Intensity

Extraction efficiency of ring cyclotrons

ion	(nA)
²³⁸ U ⁸⁶⁺ (07/07/03)	4
⁸⁶ Kr ³⁴⁺ (07/11/04)	1100
²³⁸ U ⁸⁶⁺ (08/11/16)	35
⁴⁸ Ca ²⁰⁺ (08/12/21)	3500
	-

	⁴⁸ Ca (08/12/21)	²³⁸ U (08/11/16)
RRC	95%	86%
fRC		117%*
IRC	93%	86%
SRC	82%	66%

* may include 20~30% errors

(yy/mm/dd)

Transmission efficiency problem (238U)

Transmission efficiency from ion source to each accelerator (Charge stripping efficiencies are not included) Transmission efficiency (%) 238U (07/07/03) 00 86Kr (07/11/04) 238U (08/11/16) 75 48Ca (08/12/21) Charge stripping efficiencies ⁴⁸Ca : 30% 50 ⁸⁶Kr : 12% ²³⁸U : 4 ~ 5% 25 0 **RILAC** RRC fRC IRC SRC I. S.

> 238 U 2% (2007) → 16% (2008) 86 Kr 9% (2007) → 48 Ca 35% (2008)

To obtain good transmission efficiency (²³⁸U beam)



Effect of secondary electrons



Precise phase adjustment of flattop resonators



Charge stripper problem

(I) $^{238}U^{35+} \rightarrow ^{238}U^{71+} @ 10.75 \text{ MeV/nucleon} (0.5 ~ 0.6 \ \mu\text{A})$



Stability of injector (RILAC)

allowable limit = ± 0.1 degree in phase & $\pm 0.1\%$ in voltage



Correlation between fluctuations of RF voltages and commercial electricity



C.C. = *correlation coefficient*

Emittance analysis of ⁴⁸Ca beam (08/12/21)

Utilizing the data of beam widths obtained by beam profile monitors, we estimated beam emittances based on the first order ion optics.

(1)Emittance (IRC-injected beam) = 2.2 ~ 2.3 π mm mrad (unnormalized, within 4 σ region) (2)Emittance (SRC-injected beam) = ~1.7 π mm mrad (unnormalized, within 4 σ region) (3)No notable emittance growth was observed.

(4)Corresponding normalized-RMS emittance is **0.19** π mm mrad.



Emittance analysis for ²³⁸U beam (08/11/16)

Emittance estimation based on 1st order optics (π mm mrad, unnormalized, within 4 σ region)

	vertical	horizontal
RRC extraction	2.6	~ 2.4
fRC extraction	1.4	5
IRC injection	2.1	5 ~ 6
SRC injection	I.4	~ 4

Vertical direction : no problem Horizontal direction : Large emittance growth

Emittance Growth during fRC acceleration



(I)Emittance growth in horizontal direction = 1.7 Horizontally over-focused

(2) Vertically, beam was strongly defocused.

Second-order aberration

Other improvements (2007~2008)

(I)Upgrade of vacuum pumps for low-energy region of the injector linac.

(2)RF contacts introduced for the radial probes to suppress leakage RF fields from flat-top resonators (TE01 mode).

(3)Interference filters were introduced to the phase probe of fRC to obtain hight S/N ratio.

(4)Beam-phase and RF-fields monitoring system using lock-in amplifiers (SR844) was developed.

(5)Beam interlock system to protect hardwares started its operation.

(6)Type-E thermocouple gauges installed to SRC-EDC to measure heat load caused by beam loss.

(7)Water-cooling thermal baffles were introduced to decrease temperature of a RF shield in front of cryopumps. (SRC)

(8) Faraday cups were modified to suppress effectively secondary electrons.

(9) High-Temperature Superconducting SQUID beam monitor started its operation.

(10)Ion-beam core monitor operating with 50 KHz has been developed.

(11)Beam line bypassing IRC was constructed and commissioned.

To obtain high-intensity uranium beam 28 GHz-ECRIS + RILAC2



Summary

- •Oil contamination problem of He refrigerator of SRC was solved.
- •SRC works well as a good isochronous cyclotron.
- •Transmission efficiency was improved.
- •200-pnA ⁴⁸Ca beam & 0.4-pnA ²³⁸U beam are now available.
- •A series of experiments were performed in these 6 months.
- Charge strippers used in ²³⁸U acceleration are most important problem.
 Stability of RILAC should be improved.
- •New superconducting ECRIS will start its operation in 2009.
- •Construction of a new injector linac was started.

Beam monitors in beam lines



ВТ	length (m)	PF	FC	P.S.
RRC - fRC	78	12	9	3
fRC - M04	60	9	6	I
M04 - IRC	59	15	5	3
IRC - SRC	62	11	5	3

P.S. = plastic scintillator (longitudinal, TOF)

PF = beam profile monitor

(wire-scanner type)





Modification of Faraday cup

Faraday cup used in 2007 Faraday cup used in 2008 **ICF 152** frange Permanent magnet (3 kG) **1**9 Suppressor electrode suppressor 6 Stroke (^{1²} kV) cooling electrode water Beam seam → Faraday cup Эð 70 ~900 V ~30 V ICF 152 SHV-R frange Feedthrough

Sizable beam loss disappeared with new FCs.

Emittance analysis of ²³⁸U beam (08/11/7)



Emittance Growth @ D-rebuncher



Turn Patterns



Reasons of low transmission efficiency especially in ²³⁸U acceleration

- (1) Beam monitors were not suited for uranium acceleration. Suppression of secondary electrons was insufficient.
- (2) Beam quality itself was bad due to the existence of thick carbon foils. Eloss = 1.4% for $(^{238}U^{35+} \rightarrow ^{238}U^{71+})$ / thickness uniformity = 30% Eloss = 9% for $(^{238}U^{71+} \rightarrow ^{238}U^{86+})$ / thickness uniformity = ~7%
- (3) Stability of the old injector linac was insufficient.

Charge stripper problem

(2) ⁸⁶Kr¹⁸⁺ @ 2.3 MeV/nucleon

Beam phase monitored by the phase-pickup probe / 25 m below the stripper (X51)



Lifetime of various carbon foils

⁸⁶Kr¹⁸⁺ @ 2.3 MeV/nucleon



Charge stripper status

lon	E (MeV/u)	Intensity (μA)	Quality	Lifetime
⁴⁸ Ca ¹⁰⁺	2.7	30	O.K.	O.K.
⁴⁸ Ca ¹⁶⁺	45	3	O.K.	O.K.
⁸⁶ Kr ¹⁸⁺	2.3	25		< 2 hours
¹³⁶ Xe ²⁰⁺	11	O.K. (gas stripper will be used)		
¹³⁶ Xe ⁴¹⁺	50	Not tested		
²³⁸ U ³⁵⁺	11	0.6	Not so good	< 15 hours
²³⁸ U ⁷¹⁺	50	0.2	Not so good	O.K.

Charge stripper problem

(I) $^{238}U^{35+} \rightarrow ^{238}U^{71+} @ 10.75 \text{ MeV/nucleon} (0.6 \ \mu\text{A})$

0.3 mg/cm² multi-layer PCC foil



very short lifetime without oven-conditioning



more than 24 hours oven (523K) for several hours

rotating carbon foil stripper 0.3 mg/cm² multi-layer PCC foil



broken, within 25 minutes

Electron Probe Micro-Analysis

0.5 mg/cm² PCC foil



Atomic Force Microscope image

(a)

substrate side



Fig. 2. AFM images of surface of the substrate side of the $300 \text{ }\mu\text{g/cm}^2$ -thick multi-layer PCC-foil: (a) non-irradiated part and (b) irradiated part.

(b)



Fig. 3. AFM images of surface of the evaporating source side of the 300 μ g/cm²-thick multi-layer PCC-foil: (a) non-irradiated part and (b) irradiated part.

H. Hasebe et al., RIKEN Accel. Prog. Rep. 42

evaporating source side

Voltage fluctuation of No.2 resonator of RILAC

Time	V (mV,VVM)	I @ A01 (nA)
15:17:20	62.9	562
15:18:00	63.0	519
15:18:35	63.2	416
15:19:30	62.9	570

Longitudinal beam profile vs Voltage of No.2 resonator



Effects of A01 stripper

(²³⁸U³⁵⁺, 10.75 MeV/nucleon, 2007, Oct. 2nd)



Stability of magnetic fields

²³⁸U acceleration



NMR measurement

estimated by coil currents

Turn pattern obtained by old MDP



fRC Turn Pattern





Comparison of Beam Profile

⁴⁸Ca beam on IRC-injection line

