Plasma Lens Experiments at the Final Focus Test Beam*

B. Barletta^{2,4}, S. Chattopadhyay⁴, P. Chen¹², D. Cline², W. Craddock¹², W. Gabella², I. Hsu⁹, T. Katsouleas¹¹,

P. Kwok², P. Lai¹¹, W. Leemans⁴, R. Liou¹¹, D. D. Meyerhofer¹⁰, K. Nakajima⁸, H. Nakanishi⁸, C. K. Ng¹²,

Y. Nishida¹³, J. Norem¹, A. Ogata⁸, S. Rajagopalan², J. Rosenzweig², A. Scssler⁴, J. Spencer¹²,

J. J. Su⁷, G. Westenskow⁵, D. Whittum⁸, R. Williams³, J. Wurtele⁶.

Abstract

We intend to carry out a series of plasma lens experiments at the Final Focus Test Beam facility at SLAC. These experiments will be the first to study the focusing of particle beams by plasma focusing devices in the parameter regime of interest for high energy colliders, and is expected to lead to plasma lens designs capable of unprecedented spot sizes. Plasma focusing of positron beams will be attempted for the first time. We will study the effects of lens aberrations due to various lens imperfections. Several approaches will be applied to create the plasma required including laser ionization and beam ionization of a working gas. At an increased bunch population of 2.5×10^{10} , tunneling ionization of a gas target by an electron beam - an effect which has never been observed before - should be significant. The compactness of our device should prove to be of interest for applications at the SLC and the next generation linear colliders.

I. INTRODUCTION

Plasma focusing devices are compact, simple, and very strong focusing elements. The focusing strengths for typical parameters are equivalent to $\sim 10^9$ Gauss/cm focusing magnets. In principle, such strong fields are capable of focusing beams to very small spot sizes [1-6] and perhaps even capable of avoiding [7] inherent (Oide) limitation [8] in discrete strong focusing. Our goal is to show the effectiveness of plasma lenses in the parameter regime of interest for SLC and the next generation high energy linear colliders. The experience gained is expected to yield new final focus designs capable of producing spot sizes smaller than ever produced before.

There are two low energy, low density beam experimental results which confirm the theory of the beam-plasma interaction performed at ANL [9,10] and Tokyo University [11]. While such experimental results have been useful, however, the beam densities involved in the ANL and Tokyo

- ²University of California, Los Angeles, California
- ³Florida A & M University, Tallahassee, Florida
- ⁴Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California
- ⁵Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California
- ⁶Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,
- Massachusetts ⁷National Central University, Taiwan
- ⁸National Laboratory for High Energy Physics (KEK),
- Tsukuba, Japan
- ⁹National Tsing-Hua University, Taiwan
- ¹⁰University of Rochester, Rochester, New York
- ¹¹University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California
- ¹²Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California
- ¹³Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya, Japan

experiments were 6 to 7 orders of magnitude lower than the nominal colliding beam density at the SLC and the next generation linear colliders, so that the experience is insufficient to design or evaluate a plasma lens in a high energy collider detector. A beam such as the FFTB offers a unique environment to test all aspects of plasma focusing of high energy, high density, and low emittance beams.

II. PARAMETER STUDIES

When ignoring the effects due to the return current, the focusing strength for underdense plasma lenses is governed by the plasma density n_p ,

$$K = \frac{2\pi r_e}{\gamma} n_p \quad , \tag{2.1}$$

whereas for the overdense plasma lenses the strength is determined by the beam density n_b ,

$$K = \frac{2\pi r_e}{\gamma} n_b \quad . \tag{2.2}$$

The plasma return current tends to reduce the focusing effect of the lens [12]. The effect is approximately given by

$$K_{rc} = \frac{K}{1 + (k_p \sigma_r)^2}$$
, (2.3)

where σ_r is the *rms* size of the beam and $k_p = \sqrt{4\pi r_e n_p}$ is the plasma wavenumber. The effect is appreciable only when the plasma is considerably denser than the beam.

Beam Parameters	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
$\mathcal{E}[\text{GeV}]$	50	50	50
N [10 ¹⁰]	1.0	1.0	1.0
ε_n [10 ⁻⁵ m-rad]	3.0	3.0	3.0
β_0^* [cm]	7.5	7.5	7.5
σ _{r0} [μm]	4.74	4.74	4.74
β_0 [cm]	8.03	8.03	8.03
σ ₀ [μm]	4.91	4.91	4.91
σ_{z} [mm]	0.47	0.47	0.47
$n_{b0} [10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	5.3	5.3	5.3
Lens Parameters			
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	0.2	1.0	10
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$	0.2 12.5	1.0 28.0	10 88.5
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$	0.2 12.5 -2.0	1.0 28.0 -2.0	10 88.5 -2.0
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$ l [cm]	0.2 12.5 -2.0 0.3	1.0 28.0 -2.0 0.3	10 88.5 -2.0 0.3
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$ $l [\text{cm}]$ $f [\text{cm}]$	0.2 12.5 -2.0 0.3 3.80	1.0 28.0 -2.0 0.3 2.92	10 88.5 -2.0 0.3 3.60
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$ l [cm] Focused Beam	0.2 12.5 -2.0 0.3 3.80	1.0 28.0 -2.0 0.3 2.92	10 88.5 -2.0 0.3 3.60
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$ l [cm] f [cm] Focused Beam $\beta_r^* [\text{mm}]$	0.2 12.5 -2.0 0.3 3.80 3.7	1.0 28.0 -2.0 0.3 2.92 2.1	10 88.5 -2.0 0.3 3.60 3.4
Lens Parameters $n_p [10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ $k_p \sigma_z$ $s_0 [\text{cm}]$ l [cm] f [cm] Focused Beam $\beta_r^* [\text{mm}]$ $\sigma_r^* [\mu\text{m}]$	0.2 12.5 -2.0 0.3 3.80 3.7 3.35	1.0 28.0 -2.0 0.3 2.92 2.1 2.55	10 88.5 -2.0 0.3 3.60 3.4 3.23

Table 1: Round Beam Focusing

^{*}Work supported by DOE contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois

A. Round Beam Focusing

The plasma is created by an intense laser before the arrival of the particle beam bunch. The gas density will be varied to cover all regimes of the plasma lens from underdense to overdense and to the total compensation limit, at which focusing degrades due to return current. Typical parameters corresponding to these lenses are shown in Table 1.

In the table, \mathcal{E} is the beam energy and \mathcal{E}_n is the normalized emittance. The initial beta at the vacuum waist is β_0^* and s_0 is the beginning of the lens with respect to this waist. The beta function at the entrance to the lens is β_0 and l is the lens thickness. The focal length of the lens is $f = s^* - s_0 - l/2$ where s^* is the distance of the new focal point from the initial one without plasma. The plasma density is n_p and n_{b0} is the peak beam density at the entrance to the plasma.

B. Flat Beam Focusing

With the designed FFTB beam parameters while $N = 2.5 \times 10^{10}$, the beam is intense enough to produce a high density plasma by impact ionization and should even reach the tunneling ionization threshold when close enough to the initial focal point. Theory [6] and simulations [13] of such a scheme suggest substantial plasma focusing. Typical parameters for such plasma lenses are shown in Table 2.

In Case 4, the tunneling ionization threshold is reached right from the start of the lens, and the ionization is quickly saturated. With the complimentary impact ionization, we expect that the plasma so produced should be reasonably uniform, and the aberrations should be much mild. In Case 5, the vertical beam size goes down to ~38 nm, which is less than 2/3 of the 60 nm designed FFTB minimum vertical beam size.

Beam Parameters	Case 4	Case 5
E[GeV]	50	50
<i>N</i> [10 ¹⁰]	2.5	2.5
ε_{nx} / ε_{ny} [10 ⁻⁵ m-rad]	3.0 / 0.3	3.0 / 0.3
$\beta_{x0}^* / \beta_{y0}^*$ [mm]	3.0 / 3.0	3.0 / 0.12
$\sigma_{x0}^* / \sigma_{y0}^*$ [nm]	1000 / 333	1000 / 60
β_{x0} / β_{y0} [mm]	4.33 / 4.33	4.33 / 33.5
$\sigma_{x0} / \sigma_{y0} \text{ [nm]}$	1200 / 400	1200 / 1000
σ_{z} [mm]	0.47	0.47
$n_{b0} [10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	7.7	2.8
Lens Parameters		
$n_p [10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$	2.0	2.5
$k_p \sigma_z$	125.1	139.9
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$	125.1 -2.0	139.9 -2.0
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$	125.1 -2.0 1	139.9 -2.0 1
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$ $\frac{l \text{ [mm]}}{f \text{ [mm]}}$	125.1 -2.0 1 1.6	139.9 -2.0 1 1.38 / 0.87
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$ $l \text{ [mm]}$ $f \text{ [mm]}$ Focused Beam	125.1 -2.0 1 	139.9 -2.0 1 1.38 / 0.87
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$ $\frac{l \text{ [mm]}}{f \text{ [mm]}}$ Focused Beam $\beta_x^* / \beta_y^* \text{ [mm]}$	125.1 -2.0 1 . 1.6 0.9 / 0.9	139.9 -2.0 1 1.38 / 0.87 0.75 / 0.047
$\frac{k_p \sigma_z}{s_0 \text{ [mm]}}$ $l \text{ [mm]}$ $f \text{ [mm]}$ Focused Beam $\frac{\beta_x^* / \beta_y^* \text{ [mm]}}{\sigma_{x_*}^* / \sigma_y^* \text{ [nm]}}$	125.1 -2.0 1 . 1.6 0.9 / 0.9 520 / 165	139.9 -2.0 1 1.38 / 0.87 0.75 / 0.047 480 / 38

Table 2: Flat	Beam	Focusing
---------------	------	----------



Figure 1: Outline of Plasma Lens Experimental Setup

III. EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

A. Experimental Setup

The outline of the experiments is shown in Figure 1. The setup mainly consists of a vacuum chamber with a plasma chamber embedded and ports for ionization laser, plasma diagnostics and beam size measuring devices. The setup is to be installed at the FFTB final focus region near Station 1027. An isometric view of the design is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: Plasma Lens Experiments at the FFTB.

B. Plasma Chamber

The plasma chamber is shown in Figure 3. The chamber itself is a small (1 - 3 mm) pipe machined out of a metal block which allows easy variation of lens thickness and good structural integrity. The particle beam enters the gas pipe and exits through 0.01 cm holes at the center of the block. A pressure differential is maintained between the gas connections for a laminar gas flow through the pipe, which should reduce gas loss. Pumping chambers are provided on both sides of the gas pipe to capture most of the leakage before it enters the vacuum chamber. Ionization, diagnostic, and beam size measurement lasers are injected through windows on the chamber. The shield in front of the chamber blocks photons accompanying the particle beam. Hydrogen gas will be used to minimize the background from beam-plasma interaction.

C. Vacuum System

For the maximum plasma density in Case 5 of $n_p = 2.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm⁻³, a H₂ pressure of 38.8 torr at room temperature is required. In order to keep pumping requirements



Figure 3: Plasma Chamber

within a practical range, the body of plasma chamber is hollowed out to create two pumping chambers as shown in Figure 3, which enable the majority of the gas to be picked up at a high pressure using a 30 liter/sec mechanical pump. The gas then flows out of the plasma chamber through two narrow, high impedance openings into the vacuum chamber for the experiments. This vacuum chamber is pumped through two 12.7 cm (5") ports by a 1000 liter/sec turbo molecular pump to a pressure of 1.5×10^{-6} torr. The beam line is a very large restriction to the flow of hydrogen out of the vacuum chamber. Approximately 6×10^{-11} gm/sec flows down the beam line where it is captured by two ion pumps. With the small quantities of hydrogen involved, hydrogen safety for the vacuum system should not be a problem.

D. Laser Systems

The ionizing laser pulse for the experiments will be generated from the same 1 μ m wavelength laser system which is currently being developed for the E-144 [14] experiment at the FFTB. The high-powered Nd:glass laser is based on the concept of chirped pulse amplification and compression (CPA) [15-18], which will produce pulses of 1 ps duration with energies up to ~2 J (~2 TW) at 1 Hz. The laser will be synchronized to the electron beam with an accuracy of ~1 ps.

For the plasma lens experiments, a small portion of the laser energy will be splitted off and frequency doubled to provide a 0.5 μ m wavelength pulse for plasma diagnostics [19]. The bulk of the energy will be focused with a cylindrical lens to form the plasma lens.

E. Beam Size Monitors (BSM)

For the round beams of Case 1, 2, and 3, beam sizes of $\sim 2 - 4 \ \mu m$ are involved. The BSM for these cases is a wire scanner using carbon fibers [20]. Fibers of $\sim 4 \ \mu m$ in diameter should allow the measurement of beam sizes to $\sim 2 \ \mu m$ and sustain beam intensity of 1×10^{10} . The bremsstrahlung yields are measured using existing bremsstrahlung detectors installed for the FFTB wire scanners.

For Case 4 and 5, spot sizes with σ_x at ~0.5 - 1 μ m, and σ_y of order 40 nm are involved. The BSM for these cases is a version of the Laser-Compton Monitor (LCM) developed by T. Shintake [21]. Since only Mode 1 and 3 of the monitor [22] are sufficient for Case 4 and 5, the implementation will be simpler than the LCM installed for the FFTB. The required

laser is shared with the FFTB version of the monitor by mechanically inserting a beam splitter/mirror into the existing laser transport line.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The series of experiments to be performed will serve to characterize plasma focusing devices, and if successful, will lead to practical applications at the SLC and the next generation of linear colliders. The primary goal of our experiments is to study the focusing of high energy and high density particle beams by plasma lenses of various densities and thicknesses. Plasma focusing of positron beams will be demonstrated for the first time. With a bunch population of about 2.5×10^{10} from the FFTB, we will demonstrate the tunneling ionization of a gas target by an electron beam, and establish the plasma lens as a simple, compact and economical add-on device for luminosity enhancement in linear colliders. Furthermore, the total compensation of beam self-fields by the plasma can be of interest for beamstrahlung suppression in future linear colliders.

V. REFERENCES

- [1] P. Chen, Part. Accel. 20, 171 (1987).
- [2] P. Chen, J. J. Su, T. Katsouleas, S. Wilks, and J. M. Dawson, IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci. 15, 218 (1987).
- [3] J. B. Rosenzweig and P. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 39, 2039 (1989).
- [4] P. Chen, S. Rajagopalan, and J. B. Rosenzweig, Phys. Rev. D 40, 923 (1989).
- [5] J. J. Su, T. Katsouleas, J. M. Dawson, and R. Fedele, Phys. Rev. A 41, 3321 (1990).
- [6] P. Chen, Phys. Rev. A 45, R3398 (1992).
- [7] P. Chen, K. Oide, A. Sessler, and S. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1231 (1990).
- [8] K. Oide, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1713 (1988).
- [9] J. B. Rosenzweig et al., Phys. Fluids B 2, 1376 (1990).
- [10] J. B. Rosenzweig et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 98 (1988).
- [11] H. Nakanishi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1870 (1991).
- [12] D. H. Whittum et al., Part. Accel. 34, 89 (1990).
- [13] P. Chen, C. K. Ng, and S. Rajagopalan, SLAC-PUB-5954 (1992); submitted to Phys. Rev. A.
- [14] "SLAC Proposal E-144," SLAC (1991).
- [15] S. Augst, D Strickland, D. D. Meyerhofer, S. L. Chin, and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2212 (1989).
- [16] D. Strickland et al., Opt. Comm. 56, 219 (1985).
- [17] P. Maine, D. Strickland, P. Bado, M. Pessot, and G. Mourou, IEEE J. Ouant. Elec. 24, 398 (1988).
- [18] Y. H. Chuang, D. D. Meyerhofer, S. Augst, H. Chen, J. Peatross, and S. Uchida, J. Opt. Soc. Am B 8, 1226 (1991).
- [19] J. Sheffield, "Plasma Scattering of Electromagnetic Radiation," Academic Press, New York (1975).
- [20] C. Field et al., Nuc. Inst. Meth. A 295, 279 (1990).
- [21] T. Shintake, Nuc. Inst. Meth. A 311, 453 (1992).
- [22] T. Shintake et al., KEK Preprint 92-065 (1992).