© 1979 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE. IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-26, No. 3, June 1979

# A HIGH FLUX MUON CHANNEL INCORPORATING A HIGH QUALITY SPECTROMETER FIELD

D.E. Lobb

## TRIUMF Physics Department University of Victoria Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 2Y2

### Summary

## The example system

In a previous paper<sup>1</sup> the author presented properties of a three-coil channel that would have an acceptance > 1 sr within a momentum band of a few percent for muons produced in or near a thick production target. In this paper we present an extension of that design concept: a fourth coil is added in a Helmholz configuration with respect to the third coil to produce a large volume of uniform magnetic field suitable for the incorporation of any spectrometer (such as a time projection chamber) that tracks the paths of particles. Details of an example system are presented.

#### Introduction

Axially varying axi-symmetric magnetic field can provide a beam transport channel of large acceptance (> 1 sr) suitable for delivering a secondary particle beam into a target volume a few centimeters in diameter. The particles of interest originate in a small source volume on the system axis. Two Larmor periods of motion in the system are necessary. In the first Larmor period, annular slits define a band of transmitted momenta. A degrader then separates the momentum band of the desired particles from that of the undesired particles. In the second Larmor period, annular slits transmit only the desired particles.

Basic properties of these systems have been presented in papers by the author<sup>1</sup>,<sup>2</sup>. The example system is presented in fig. 1 and table 1. (Note that the current density is averaged over the entire cross section.) By suitable choice of currents in coils (3) and (4), a small beam spot could be produced at any desired location between coils (3) and (4). Coil (3) with current 1<sub>3</sub> and coil (4) with current 1<sub>4</sub> = 1<sub>3</sub> form a Helmholz configuration which has a local maximum in the axial field at z=462.5 cm. The remaining system (coil (4) with current 1<sub>1</sub>, coil (2) with current 1<sub>2</sub>, and coil (4) with current  $\Delta 1_4$ ) can be adjusted by changing the current  $\Delta 1_4$  so that a local minimum in the axial field is produced at z = 462.5 cm. The superposition of a local maximum and a local minimum results in an axial field distribution on the symmetry axis which is *better* than the pure Helmholz result.

We have used the program GFUN<sup>3</sup> to calculate the magnetic fields. The desired field would have  $B_z = B_0$  = const and  $B_z = 0$  everywhere within the volume of interest. If we take  $B_0$  to be the axial field on the axis at z = 462.5 cm (midway between coils (3) and (4)) we shall concern ourselves with the  $B_z$  field values 0.990  $B_0$ , 0.995  $B_0$ ,  $B_0$ , 1.005  $B_0$ , and 1.010  $B_0$ , and the  $B_r$  field values -0.010  $B_0$ , -0.005  $B_0$ , 0, +0.005  $B_0$  and +0.010  $B_0$ .



Fig. 1 The example system. The z axis is the axis of symmetry and all dimensions are in centimeters. The production target is located at P(z = -412 cm). The transmitted particles form a small spot at Q(z = 12 cm) where the degrader is located, and the experimental target is located at R(z = 466 cm). Contour plots of these field values as a function of r and z are presented in fig. 2. The finite size of coils (3) and (4) plays a negligible role in the field distributions. The field of the complete system differs only very slightly from that produced by a pure Helmholz configuration: in the former case near z = 430 cm, the B contours are a couple of cm further upstream and the B contours are a couple of cm further downstream.

From fig. 2 it can be seen that a cylindrical region 65 cm long and 80 cm in diameter has a field quality  $|B_r| \leq (\frac{1}{2} \aleph) B_0$ . For  $|B_z - B_0| \leq (\frac{1}{2} \aleph) B_0$ , we have an oblate spheroidal region with radius 32.5 cm out to the poles, and radius 40 cm out to the equator.

In fig. 3 we present the beam optical properties of the system for point sources at  ${\sf P}$  and  ${\sf Q}.$ 



Fig. 2 Contour plots of  $B_r(r,z)$  and  $B_z(r,z)$  for the field produced by the coils of fig. 1.  $(B_0 = B_z \ (0, \ 462.5) = 6.1 \ kG)$ 



Fig. 3 Phase space contour plots for point sources on the axis at z = -412 cm and z = 12 cm. The contours are the values of z at which the next axis intersection occurs. The axes are p, the momentum, and  $\alpha_0$ , the angle with respect to the z axis that the trajectory makes at the source location. The intersection of the phase space loci determine the parameters of the operating point of that part of the channel.

In figs. 4 and 5 we present the solid angle of acceptance for transmitted trajectories from disc sources at P and Q. Incident on the degrader at Q is a beam containing particles in the momentum range 112.75  $\leq$  p  $\leq$  123.25 MeV/c. The channel downstream of Q will accept particles in the momentum range 84.75  $\leq$  p  $\leq$  99.75 MeV/c. An 8.6 g/cm<sup>2</sup> Al degrader at Q will reduce the pion and electron momenta to below the



Fig. 4 The solid angle of acceptance for trajectories that leave a 1 cm disc source at z = -412 cm and are transmitted through the annular slits to z = 12 cm. ( $\int \Omega dp = 7.7 \text{ sr} \cdot \text{MeV/c}$ )

# References

- 1 D.E. Lobb. An Axi-Symmetric High Flux Muon Channel, presented at the Eighth International Conference on Cyclotrons and their Applications (1978)
- 2 D.E. Lobb. An Axi-Symmetric High Flux Secondary Particle Channel, accepted for publication in Nucl. Instr. and Meth. (1978)
- 3 A.M. Armstrong, C.J. Collie, N.J. Diserens, M.J. Newman, J. Simken and C.W. Trowbridge. Proc. Int. Conf. on Magnet Technology (Rome 1975) 168

accepted range (65.4  $\leq p \leq 84.2 \text{ MeV/c}$ ; 65.8  $\leq p \leq 73.4 \text{ MeV/c}$ ), while most of the muon beam will ebe transmitted to R (83.8  $\leq p \leq 97.6 \text{ MeV/c}$ ). At R, 50% of the muons will lie within a radius of 2.6 cm; 90% within a radius of 4.2 cm. The range of angles with respect to the system axis will be 28°  $\leq \alpha \leq 48^{\circ}$ .



Fig. 5 The solid angle of acceptance for trajectories that leave a 3 cm radius disc source at z = 12 cm and are transmitted through the annular slits downstream.  $(\int \Omega dp = 9.2 \text{ sr} \cdot \text{MeV/c})$ 

# Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank A. Olin for the original suggestion that a large volume of uniform field be incorporated into the channel.