© 1979 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE.

# IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science, Vol. NS-26, No. 3, June 1979

### A MINUS-I QUADRUPOLE SYSTEM FOR CONTAINING ABERRATION-CORRECTION OCTUPOLES\*

# Stanley Fenster†

#### Abstract

Octupoles may be used to correct the third order spherical aberration of quadrupole transport systems. Crosstalk in the coupling of an octupole placed at a given point causes it to add a term with the wrong sign in the y-channel if it has the right sign in the x-channel, thus severely reducing efficiency. It is often convenient to utilize a special correcting section insertion which is seen as a +I transfer matrix by the first order focussing. Within point-to-point thin lens optics we give two-parameter systems with 16 magnets having locations with large S where S = 0 and vice versa for octupole placement.

The problem is to set up a -I quadrupole system which contains two special locations. At the first, S is large and S is zero; while at the second the  $x^{x}$  reverse is true. <sup>Y</sup>Octupoles are placed at these locations and make corrections free of channel crosstalk. The layout is:

#### -I System

Section 1

subsection part lAa part lAb subsection part lBa part lBb		Note:	Part B is the same as A but with the order of the elements reversed. Sub- section 2 is the same as 1 but with the polarities of the quadrupoles re- versed. Section 1 is
Section 2			X = -I, Y = +I. Section 2 is $X = +I, Y = -I.$
subsection part 2Aa part 2Ab	2A		,
subsection part 2Ba	2B		

The basic module for the lAa part is a five element OFODO sequence of drift spaces and thin lenses and part lAa consists of two such modules notated in English and Greek respectively as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1\text{Aa:} & \underbrace{\ell \ P \ m \ Q \ n} & & \underbrace{\lambda \ \pi \ \mu \ \tau \ \nu} \\ & \text{one module} & \text{one module} \\ & 1 \ \text{Aa} & 1\text{Ab} \end{array}$$

part 2Bb

For example, the second element (P) after the source has transfer matrix  $% \left( {{{\bf{P}}} \right)$ 

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-P & 1
\end{array}\right)$$

in the X-channel. Each module is one of the modes:

$$PP = point-to-point = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ x & x \end{pmatrix} R_{12} = 0$$

$$LP = parallel-to-point = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ x & x \end{pmatrix} R_{11} = 0$$

$$PL = point-to-parallel = \begin{pmatrix} x & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix} R_{22} = 0$$

$$LL = parallel-to-parallel = \begin{pmatrix} x & x \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} R_{21} = 0$$

\*Work supported by the U. S. Department of Energy †Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439 USA For the present model we have chosen

Y

X <sub>1A</sub>			PL	and	LP
Y <sub>1A</sub>			PP	and	LL
X <sub>1Aa</sub>	LL	Y <sub>lAa</sub>	LP	and	PL
X <sub>1Ab</sub>	LP	Y <sub>lAb</sub>	LP	and	PL

This decomposition makes the problem tractable because the one LL zero of X<sub>1Aa</sub> and the two (PL and LP) zeroes of Y<sub>1Aa</sub> are easy to solve. By matrix multiplication

(LP and PL) · (LP and PL) = PP and LL

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \cdot & Y_{1Aa} & = & Y_{1A} \\ & & LP \cdot LL & = LP \\ & & X_{1Ab} \cdot & X_{1Aa} & = & X_{1A} \end{array}$$

Thus  $Y_{1A}$  is automatically PP and LL, while  $X_{1A}$  needs to have one condition satisfied  $(X_{1A})_{22} = 0$ ) to become LP and PL. We should also like to specify, at the end of subsection IA, the value of S  $(=[X_{1A}]_{12})$ . A count shows that 3+3+1+1 = 8 restrictions have been put on the 10 parameters in the two modules of IAa leaving two (mQ and  $\pi\mu$ ) free. One finds, with notation

the expressions

$$\ell = \frac{b(1-a^2) (2-b^2) S_x}{(1+b) (1-b^2) (1+a+2 a^2)}$$

$$P = \frac{(1+a+2 a^2) (1-b^2) (1+b)}{2ab (1+a) (2-b^2) S_x}$$

$$m = \frac{2 a^2 b (2-b^2) S_x}{(1+a+2 a^2) (1-b^2) (1+b)}$$

$$Q = \frac{(1+a+2 a^2) (1-b^2) (1+b)}{2ab (2-b^2) S_x}$$

$$n = \frac{b(2-b^2) (1+2 a) S_x}{(1+a+2 a^2) (1-b^2) (1+b)}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{(1-b-b^2) S_x}{(1+b)^2 (1+a)}$$

$$\pi = \frac{(1+b) (1+a)}{b S_x}$$

$$\mu = \frac{b^2 S_x}{(1+a) (1+b)}$$
(1+a)

$$= \frac{(1+a)}{b(1-b)} S_{x}$$

τ

$$v = \frac{(1-b) S}{1+a}$$

$$S_{y} = (Y_{1A})_{12} = \frac{b(1+a) (2-b^{2}) S}{(1-b^{2}) (1+a+2a^{2})}$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{_{\mathbf{v}}}$  is the peak of the X-channel sinelike function. The system allows all a, b choices within the limits

$$0 < a < 1$$
  
 $0 < b < \frac{\sqrt{5-1}}{2} = 0.618034$ 

to keep all drift distances positive.

For a trial system it was found

$$(S_x)_{max} = (S_y)_{max} = 30 m$$

was adequate to keep the octupole strength near 1  $\ensuremath{\text{T/m}}$  . As an example we have chosen

$$a = 0.85$$
  $b = 0.20$ 

in an attempt to get a small total length

$$L = 4(l+m+n+\lambda+\mu+\nu),$$

We find (MKS units)

£ = 0.85973117	λ = 8.5585586
P = 0.10263132	$\pi = 0.37000000$
m = 4.4767956	$\mu = 0.54054056$
Q = 0.18986795	$\tau = 0.38541667$
n = 8.36494947	v = 12.972973

The resulting cosinelike and sinelike trajectories are listed below

S	C <sub>x</sub>	s <sub>x</sub>	C <sub>y</sub>	sy
13.701476 22.260035 22.800575	1.0 1.0 0.54054056 0.54054056 0.54054056 0.43243243 0.0	20.416672 36.250005 30.000000	1.0 1.459 0.0 -1.4932394 -1.8861971 -1.8861971	6.8778458

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{X}_{1\mathrm{A}} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 30 \\ -\frac{1}{30} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{1\mathrm{A}} &+ \begin{pmatrix} -1.8861971 & 0 \\ 0 & -0.53016733 \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Octupoles are placed at 1A and 2A. A similar type of problem was solved for a beam rotator.

Alternate solutions are possible. One needs to consider only subsection 1A, which is divided into parts 1Aa, 1Ab, and repetitions as specified above. A part a or b may contain two or three magnets. There are sixteen cases, based on the following matrix multiplication table:

$PL \cdot LP = LL$	$LL \cdot PL = PL$
PL·PP = PL	LL·LL = LL
LP·PL = PP	$PP \cdot LP = LP$
LP·LL = LP	$PP \cdot PP = PP$

In the list below, N indicates the number of magnets per module. The example, given above corresponds to the first line of this list.

Хль	X <sub>Aa</sub>	Y <sub>Ab</sub>	Y <sub>Aa</sub>	N <sub>Ab</sub>	N <sub>Aa</sub>	XA	YA
LP LL PL PP	LL PL PP LP	(PL & LP)		2 2 3 3	2 2 3 3	LP PL PL LP	
PL PP LP LL	PP LP LL PL	(LL & PP)		2 2 3 3	2 2 3 3	PL LP LP PL	
PP LP LL PL	LP LL PL PP	(PL & LP)		3 3 2 2	2 2 3 3	LP LP PL PL	(LL & PP)
LL PL PP LP	PL PP LP LL	(LL & PP)		3 3 2 2	2 2 3 3	PL PL LP LP	

# References

1. S. Kowalski and H. Enge, "Beam Rotator", Proc. Fourth Int'1. Conf. on Magnet Technology, CONF-720908, Brookhaven, 1972.

2. Karl Brown has emphasized +I sections as sextupole sacs.