

## LONGITUDINAL MOMENTUM MINING OF ANTIPROTONS AT THE FERMILAB RECYCLER



C. M. Bhat, P. W. Joireman, C. Gattuso, Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, 60510, USA

PAC2007, Albuquerque, New Mexico

June 25-29, 2007





# **Recycler** History

 1999 – Recycler Construction Completed
 Fall 2004 – Electron cooling installed in RR
 Jan 2005 – First mixed mode shots (ACC & RR) – Recycler becomes part of collider operations
 July 2005 – First observed electron cooling
 August 2005 – Electron cooling commissioned
 October 2005 – Recycler only shots – (L = 164e30 cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> on 10/31/05)



# Recycler - 8GeV Pbar Storage Ring

- Pbars produced in the Pbar Source, transferred to RR every few hours
- Pbar transverse and longitudinal emittances are cooled using stochastic and electron cooling systems in the Recycler
- - HLRF system 4 broadband cavities 2kVp
  - LLRF has 8 arbitrary waveform generators under DSP control



### Phase-space distribution of pbars in the RR and **Essence of Longitudinal Momentum Mining**

 $E_0 + \Delta E$ 

 $E_0 - \Delta E$ 

E

**Barrier Bucket** & Beam In the bucket Cavity Voltage WCM data 6 Joan 11µsec 200mV @ M 2.00us OmVS? 1 9.200

> Dense region of the phase space

Wall Current Monitor (WCM) signal

#### **Frequency Spectrum**



#### Pbars cooled using Stochastic cooling

Goal: Extract the dense region of the pbar distribution for Tevatron collider store and leave the rest back in the Recycler for the later transfers



PAC2007, June 25-29, 2007

B. E. Chase

⁄6



#### Issues and Solutions Goal -> Store 600E10 Pbars

- Poor Life-time and transverse emittance growth during mining
  - Cause: non-optimal transverse tunes
  - Solution: change transverse tunes to new values
- Large peak density at low emittances and higher intensities
  - Cause: hard (zero-length) mining barrier buckets
  - Solution: use soft (finite extent) mining barrier buckets
- Distortions of the Recycler HLRF voltage
  - Cause: non-linearities in cavity power amplifiers
  - Solution: Comb filter feedback around amplifiers
- About 20% longitudinal emittance growth during the formation of four 2.5 MHz bunches
  - Cause: non-adiabatic bunch expansion prior to 2.5 MHz bunch growth
  - Solution: adiabatic expansion by morphing to 2.5 MHz bunches
- Transverse instabilities at higher stash sizes and low emittances
  - Cause: resistive wall instability
  - Sølution: transverse damper system





### New tune operating points since Aug. 22, 2006 (A. Burov, et al. from a theoretical study)

Linux GxPA 1 RR cooling rate parameter: Linux GxPA 1 RR cooling rate parameters Wed 04-APR-2007 14:32:15 Wed 04-APR-2007 14:35:48 300 10 10 R:BEAM .Royclr E10 R: BEAM .Reyelr E10 Store=4915Store=4917 **Old Tunes** 225 225 7.5 7.5 **New Tunes** 0.414, 0.422) (0.456, 0.465) **RR-Beam RR-Beam** 150 150 R:FWHEMIE363 R:FWHEMIE363 .Inst1 mmmr 75 .Insti mmmr 25 2.5 2.5 R:FWVEMIE363 R:FWVEMIE363 .Insti mmmr Insti mmmr R:SHLIFE =2000 hr R:SHLIFE =112 hr D=1.31 (xE10/mm/eVs) D=2.12 (xE10/mm/eVs) 10:45:00 10:55:00 11:05:00 11:15:00 11:25:00 02:50:00 03:00:00 03:10:00 03:20:00 03:30:00 T1 = Tu 22-AUG-2006 10:45:00 T2 = Tu 22-AUG-2006 11:25:00 Mo 21-AUG-2006 02:50:00 Mo 21-AUG-2006 03:30:00

Average Trans. Emit. Variation > 200% and an RMS spread of 30% Average Trans. Emit. Variation ~ 30% and an RMS spread <10%

Result: Nearly constant transverse emittance pbars to the Tevatron

AC2007, June 25-29, 2007

B. E. Chase



### Hard Mining Barriers vs. Soft Mining Barriers



Peak density is too high. Consequently the beam becomes more unstable at higher intensities

Peak density is reduced by a factor of two. Can store more beam in the same bunch

Still, 40-50% bunch-to-bunch intensity variation for the Tevatron.



B. E. Chase



### Comb Filter Feedback around RF Power Amplifiers and Cavities (adaptive feed -forward)

Small voltages between barriers integrate to large distortions of the potential well



Bunch by bunch intensity variation <15%

13

The feedback system corrects For non-linear effects in the power amplifier and the low frequency response of the cavities

Without improvements: Intensity variation >200%

Intensity variation <15%



21

25

17

5

9

8

29

PRESENT

33







## Accomplishments

Peak density is reduced by ~35%.
So, in future we can increase the bunch intensity
Lower LE (<10% growth) pbar bunches to the Tevatron</li>
The bunch by bunch transverse emittance variation is reduced from 200% to 30%
Provide nearly equal intensity pbars bunches to Tevatron