

Recent Developments in Understanding Beam Loss in High-intensity Synchrotrons

G. Franchetti 26/6/2007 GSI, Darmstadt



Overview

- High intensity effect for short term storage / long term beam loss mechanisms in absence of space charge
- Role of the transverse detuning in a single passage through a resonance
- Particle trapping
- High intensity bunch dynamics in proximity of a resonance
- Elements of beam loss predictions/ example for SIS100
- Outlook

2

Accelerators at the high intensity frontier



G. Franchetti

FAIR @ PAC07



G. Franchetti

SIS100 high intensity scenario



G. Franchetti

Reasons for beam loss limitations

Experimental findings in SIS18



High intensity effect for short term storage

Coherent resonances for collective beam modes

$$\omega = n_x Q_{x0} + n_y Q_{y0} + \Delta \omega$$

3rd order mode





I.Hofmann Phys. Rev. E 57, 4713, (1998).

The growth rate of depends on the tune depression

Space charge resonances: driven by the space charge itself (Montague)

Metral et al. EPAC 2004, WEPLT029; I.Hofmann et al. PAC 2005, MOPC003

2:1 resonance

Intrinsic incoherent resonances

individual particles inside the beam can get • • into resonance with an oscillating beam mode.

Example: 2:1 resonance in Linac -> halo formation, parametric resonance

Envelope Mode



Space charge force resonant with particle frequency

Mismatch conversion into tails I.Hofmann et al. EPAC 2002, WEYGB001

Estimates of this effect in SIS100

C. Benedetti THPAN030

Self consistent coasting beam response to machine resonance

Driven inchoerent resonances: the beam modes are resonantly driven by the machine resonances: **collective beam response**

Sextupole strength used in the CERN-PS experiment



Envelope errors excite space charge induced resonances S. Machida NIM A 384 (1997) 316

Long term beam loss in absence of space charge

Lattice induced nonlinear resonances

$$n_x Q_{x0} + n_y Q_{y0} = m$$

G. Guignard, CERN 78-11, (1978); A. Bazzani et al., CERN94-02 (1994).

Transverse-longitudinal coupling induces synchro-betatron resonances

$$Q_{x,y} = n \pm mQ_z$$

A. Piwinski and A. Wrulich, DESY 76/07 (1976).



Role of the space charge detuning

Standard nonlinear components

$$\Delta Q_a(\epsilon_x) = a_1 \epsilon_x + a_2 \epsilon_x^2 + O(\epsilon_x^3)$$
$$\Delta Q_x \propto \frac{1}{1 + [x_m/(2\sigma_x)]^2}$$

The space charge

The space charge detuning has a different nature from the lattice nonlinear errors induced detuning

	small amplitudes	large amplitudes
Lattice nonlinear error	zero	Large
Space charge	Maximum	zero

Consequence: when the bare tune is set near a resonance, the particle amplitude evolves as



11

Amplitude growth \longrightarrow detuning \longrightarrow Exit from the resonance \longrightarrow Amplitude Stops growing 6/26/07 G. Franchetti

Single passage through a resonance

The role of transverse detuning is **different** when the stop-band is dynamically crossed



Effect of space charge for a single passage through a resonance

Example of resonance crossing in 1.4 x 10⁶ turns of a beam with low space charge

Rms emittance growth for different Speeds of resonance crossing



Particle trapping in phase space

Increasing steadily the space charge



Particle trapping into a resonance



Adiabatic / Non adiabatic Regimes Condition for a particle to remain trapped

Tune on the Fixed point $Q_{xf}(n)$



If during 1 revolution around the fixed point the island moves less than its size than the particle can remain trapped

$$T \equiv rac{\partial x_f(n)}{\partial n} rac{1}{Q_{xf}(n)\Delta x(n)}$$

T << 1 characterize the adiabatic regime

A.W. Chao and Month NIM 121, 129 (1974). A. Schoch, CERN Report, CERN 57-23, (1958) A.I. Neishtadt, Sov. J. Plasma Phys. 12, 568 (1986)



What happens when the space charge tune spread crosses a resonance ?



Differences with synchro-betatron resonances

Chromaticity induced tune modulation by linear synchrotron oscillation

$$Q_x = Q_{x0} + \xi \frac{\Delta p}{p_0} \cos(\frac{Q_{z0}}{R}s)$$

The transverse amplitude - tune dependence is unaffected by the tune modulation

Space charge induced transverse detuning amplitude

$$\Delta Q_x = \Delta Q_{x0} e^{-z^2/(2\sigma_z^2)} \frac{1}{1 + (\epsilon_{xs}/\epsilon_{x0})\lambda}$$

The transverse amplitude - tune dependence is also **z** - **dependent** via synchrotron oscillations

This is the main difference with respect to all ripple/chromaticity induced tune modulations $\left|\right\rangle$

No space charge Induced sidebands



Trapping and scattering regime vs. synch. tune



Halo size

Particles by trapping or scattering diffuses out and form an halo. The outer position of the halo is function of the distance from the resonance



The new role of the chromaticity in a high intensity bunch

Effective single particle tune \tilde{Q}_x in presence of the chromaticity



If $Q_{x0} - \Delta Q_{x,chr}$ is below the resonance but Q_{x0} is above the resonance, then during the synchrotron motion there will be z^{*} where $\delta p/p$ is such that \tilde{Q}_x is **on the resonance**

Chromaticity induced stop-band



Elements of long term high intensity beam loss prediction

- 1) Distance from the resonance
- 2) Space charge tune-spread

 $Q_x - Q_{x,res}$

 ΔQ_x

- $\Delta Q_{x,chr}$ 3) Chromaticity tune-spread
- K_n 4) Resonance strength (driving term)







Benchmarking with CERN-PS experiment



G. Franchetti, I. Hofmann, G. Arduini, E. Benedetto, M. Giovannozzi, T. Linnecar, M. Martini, E. Metral, G. Rumolo, E. Shaposhnikova, F. Zimmermann LHC Lumi 2006, October 16-20 2006, Valencia, Spain



SIS100 high order trapping/scattering induced beam loss



Ongoing SIS18 experiment to further test our model

S317 Experiment

Experimental studies on trapping effect in high intensity beam are in progress



Bunched beamCoasting beam N_{part} = 3.2 x 109 N_{part} = 1 x 109 ΔQ_x = 0.040 ΔQ_x = 0.005 ΔQ_y = 0.070 ΔQ_y = 0.01Bf = 0.45

S317: O.Choriny, A.Parfenova, C.Omet, M.Kirk, I.Hofmann, G.Franchetti, P.Schuett, P. Spiller, T. Giacomini, P. Forck, T. Mohite, S. Sorge, O. Boine-Frankenheim



Outlook

Status achieved

We have demonstrated that our theoretical model can predict beam loss over some 10⁵ turns within a factor of two of experiment

Prediction for FAIR: SIS100 beam loss

- Effect of the self-consistency on beam loss
- Assessment of the level of resonance compensation for controlling the amount of beam loss on halo collimators
- Evaluation of the impact of the high intensity nonlinear dynamics on the efficiency of the SIS100 halo collimation system

Future application: Incoherent effect in Electron Clouds

The principles of particle trapping driven by high intensity are found in incoherent electron cloud effects.

Thanks to

GSI	O. Choriny, W. Bayer, O. Boine-Frankenheim, C. Omet, B. Franczak, P. Forck, T. Giacomini, I. Hofmann, M. Kirk, H. Kollmus, T. Mohite, A. Parfenova, P. Schuett, P. Spiller
CERN	E. Benedetto, C. Carli, R. Cappi, M. Giovannozzi, M. Martini, E. Metral, R.R. Steeremberg, G. Rumolo, F. Zimmermann
ITEP	P. Zenkevich, A.Bolshakov, V. Kapin
SRI	A.I. Neishtadt
Univ. Bologna	G. Turchetti, C. Benedetti, A. Bazzani

