## **Progress on Machine Learning for the SNS High Voltage Converter Modulators**

M. I. Radaideh, C. Pappas, D. Lu, J. Walden, S. Cousineau Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

T. Britton, K. Rajput, L. Vidyaratne, M. Schram Jefferson Laboratory, Newport News, VA, USA

### Introduction

The High Voltage Converter Modulators (HVCM) used to power the klystrons in the Spallation Neutron Source (SNS) linac were selected as one area to explore machine learning (ML) due to reliability issues in the past. HVCMs continue to be problematic for the SNS that experience a wide range of failures from mild to catastrophic, causing lost beam time for the SNS. **HVCMs are ranked as the 2<sup>nd</sup> source of downtime behind the liquid mercury target.** There are 15 HVCMs in the SNS powering 92 klystrons in the SNS, distributed over 4 sections: RFQ (radio-frequency quadrupole), DTL (drift-tube linac), CCL (couple-cavity linac), and SCL (super-conducting linac). Progress in the past 2 years has resulted in generating a significant amount of simulated and measured data [1] for ML models. Applications in anomaly detection, fault classification, and capacitor degradation prognosis were pursued, and promising results were achieved. This work discusses the progress to date and present results from these efforts.

#### **Methods** 3 phase, full wave rectifiers, 120 kHz HVCM Operation Resonant Capacitors HV Oil Tank, - The three-phase (A, B, C), 13.8 Transformers, Qa1 () Qa3 HV Safety Enclosure Resonant Capcitors kVAC line power is input and **Storage Capacitor Rectifiers and Filtering** ~130 kV and IGBT Switches 1.3 ms pulses



## **Results – continued**

#### • Anomaly Detection with Autoencoders

- The ConvLSTM is applied to the HVCM system powering the RFQ (radio-frequency quadrupole) section. The confusion matrix is shown in the **left Figure below**. A very good performance is observed, as the model identifies 39/50 faults, while keeping low false positive rate (8/81 are false positive) [3].
- Similarly, we applied the VAE to detect the anomalies by accounting for the system and operational differences between the modules (RFQ, DTL, CCL, SCL) through a one-hot encoding input to the decoder [1]. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, which describes the relationship between the true positive and false positive rates, is shown in the **right Figure below**. Although our model is not perfect, it still provides up to 60% true positive rate for false positive rate within 10%, implying we can detect 60% of the anomalies in the HVCM modules with VAE.

# Single-module results using ConvLSTM (RFQ) Confusion Matrix for ConvLSTM 73 8 -70 -60 -60 -50 -40 -30

#### Multi-module results using VAE (RFQ, DTL,CCL, SCL)



converted to  $\pm 1300$  VDC by the transformers six-pulse and а controlled rectifier. The output filtered with two voltage İS capacitors (C1, C2) that store sufficient charge to produce 1.3 ms pulses. The DC voltage is provided three IGBT-based H-bridge to circuits operating at a nominal 20 kHz switching frequency [2].

- The high voltage pulses from the resonant capacitors are recombined and rectified by diodes (Da1 to Dc2), forming the output pulses with a 120 kHz switching frequency, which are then filtered by (C3, C4, L1) and applied to the cathode of the klystrons [2].



(a) 13.8 kVAC switch gear and magnetics, (b) six pulse-controlled rectifier unit, (c) high voltage enclosure and tank with a klystron (red) in background, (d) HVCM controller rack [3].

#### Machine Learning Modeling

Recurrent neural networks (RNN) are adapted to work for HVCM signal data. We tested hybrid layers such as







#### Prognostics of Capacitor Degradation

- We have produced LTspice simulations for the CCL system, which agrees well with the measured waveforms. A CNN model that contains 4 Conv1D layers is used for capacitor prediction. The output of these 4 layers is flattened and fed into 3 independent branches, each is a fully-connected layer with 16 nodes feeding into one node. These 3 branches predict the 3 capacitances: Ca, Cb, Cc.
- The predictions on all capacitance values can be found in the Histogram below, showing very small percent error on both the training and testing sets. The results in the table show 1%-7% errors on predicting the capacitances, which are below the manufacturer's tolerance (10%). These promising results show that a well-trained ML model can be used to predict a degraded capacitance value, which is essential for accurate prognostics.



## Conclusions

ъ

Significant research progress has been done in developing and exploring ML models to improve the reliability of the HVCM at the SNS. The next goals for the team will focus on:

ConvLSTM, which is a type of RNN for spatio-temporal prediction that has convolutional structures in both the input-to-state and state-to-state transitions.

developed variational We also а (VAE) for anomaly autoencoder detection, which consists of Conv1D layers. Instead of encoding the input single point like space as а ConvLSTM, it is sampled from a normal distribution.



## Results

#### AutoEncoder Reconstruction Loss

The ConvLSTM is trained to learn how to reproduce the normal waveforms (e.g., C-flux) as in the **left Figure below**. The difference between model prediction (reconstructed waveform) and the original waveform is called reconstruction error, which is used to determine the error threshold. When feeding an anomaly waveform as in the **right Figure below**, ConvLSTM struggles in reconstructing that waveform such as in the time range 1.25-1.5 ms. As a result, a large reconstruction error is observed that exceeds the threshold; leading to fault detection. ConvLSTM was compared to two other models based on long short-term memory (LSTM) and gated recurrent unit (GRU) showing comparable performance [3].



- 1- Extending the fault detection methods to fault prognosis, where fault scenarios will be modeled in the lab, and ML will be tested in discovering them as early as possible.
- 2- Leveraging ML models such as generative adversarial networks for fault classification to be able to identify the fault type.
- 3- We will extend the capacitor degradation prognostics to cover real dynamic measurements. This will involve a designed experiment setup at which the degraded capacitor values will be measured at different times.

## References

- 1. Radaideh, M. I., et al., Real electronic signal data from particle accelerator power systems for machine learning anomaly detection. Data in Brief, 43, 2022, pp. 108473. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2022.108473</u>
- 2. Reass, W.A., et al., Operational results of the Spallation neutron source (SNS) polyphase converter-modulator for the 140 kV Klystron RF system. In: Proceedings of the 2001 Particle Accelerator Conference, 18-22 June 2001, Chicago, IL, United States
- 3. Radaideh, M. I., et al., Time Series Anomaly Detection in Power Electronics Signals with Recurrent and Convlstm Autoencoders. Preprint Under Review. Available at SSRN 4069225. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4069225</u>

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for support from the Neutron Sciences Directorate at ORNL in the investigation of this work. This work was supported by the DOE Office of Science under grant DE-SC0009915 (Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Scientific User Facilities program). This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-000R22725 and DE-AC05-76RL0-1830 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan.

## **Contact Information**

Dr. Majdi I. Radaideh (lead author & presenter), radaidehmi@ornl.gov





