

ELECTROMAGNETIC AND BEAM DYNAMICS MODELING OF THE LANSCE COUPLED-CAVITY LINAC

S. S. Kurennoy, Y. K. Batygin, D. V. Gorelov, LANL, Los Alamos, NM, USA

Abstract

The 800-MeV proton linac at LANSCE consists of a drift-tube linac, which brings the beam to 100 MeV, followed by a coupled-cavity linac (CCL) consisting of 44 modules. Each CCL module contains multiple tanks, and it is fed by a single 805-MHz klystron. CCL tanks are multi-cell blocks of identical re-entrant side-coupled cavities, which are followed by drifts with magnetic quadrupole doublets. Bridge couplers – special cavities displaced from the beam axis – electromagnetically couple CCL tanks over such drifts. We have developed 3D CST models of CCL tanks. Their electromagnetic analysis is performed using MicroWave Studio. Beam dynamics is modeled with Particle Studio for bunch trains with realistic beam distributions using the CST calculated RF fields and quadrupole magnetic fields to determine the output beam parameters. Beam dynamics results are crosschecked with other multi-particle codes.

INTRODUCTION

Realistic 3D models of accelerator structures proved to be useful for studying various EM effects, mechanical tolerances, and beam dynamics. One example is CST models of LANSCE drift-tube linac (DTL) tanks [1]. On various occasions, they were used to calculate details of DTL element heating, tuning sensitivities, fine features of beam dynamics and particle losses, etc. Another example is CST modeling of the FNAL 4-rod RFQ. We received a CAD model of this RFQ from its manufacturer, Kress GmbH, to help us evaluate a 4-rod RFQ option for LANL. The CAD model was imported into CST [2] and simplified for EM analysis. Our EM calculations revealed unexpected longitudinal fields in the end gaps, which are purely 3D effects and were not (and could not be) taken into account in the RFQ designed with standard codes. The beam dynamics study with CST Particle Studio showed that the end-gap field reduced the beam output energy. This incidental discovery helped our FNAL colleagues to understand the reason for the incorrect RFQ output energy, which puzzled them for over a year before that, and showed how to correct it [3]. Fortunately, the fix was easy: just removing an end-wall plug in the RFQ outer box.

Here we apply a similar approach to the LANSCE coupled cavity linac (CCL). As a first step, we build a simplified CST model of the first CCL tank (T1) in the module 5 (M5T1). The model is fully parametrized and applicable for all tanks in the CCL modules. More details and pictures can be found in report [4]. All geometrical and design electromagnetic parameters of CCL cavities are summarized in the original 1968 document [5].

CST MODELING OF CCL

EM Model of Module 5 Tank 1 (M5T1)

The first module of CCL, module 5 (M5; the count includes four preceding DTL modules), starts at beam energy of 100 MeV and consists of four tanks. Each tank in M5 contains 36 identical re-entrant accelerating cavities (cells, AC), which are side coupled by 35 coupling cavities (CC). The coupling cavities are located off axis (side-coupled structure) and alternate their transverse positions on both sides of the beam path. Drifts after each tank contain a doublet of two EM quadrupole magnets. For M5T1, the AC length is 8.0274 cm and inner radius 12.827 cm. The tank total length is 289 cm, and the drift after T1 is 72.3 cm.

The CST model of the AC cavity starts with creating a parametrized profile curve for a quarter of the cavity vacuum volume, making a figure of rotation, and its mirroring. The CC vacuum volume is then added to the AC, and the edges of the coupling slot formed by the AC-CC intersection are rounded. After that, the cavity frequency is tuned to the operating mode frequency, 805 MHz, by adjusting the AC gap. In practice, some additional metal was left on the drift-tube noses of manufactured half-cavities, and it was scraped by a special tool to adjust the frequency before cavity brazing. We follow a similar procedure in our CST model by adjusting the AC gap width, using an optimizer in the CST eigensolver. To find all the tank modes, we need to consider bridge couplers. The end cells are tuned such that the field amplitudes there for operating mode are the same as in inner cells, so it is sufficient to calculate fields in one structure period, shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Electric field (a) and surface-current magnitude on the cavity inner surface (b) in one period of M5T1. Red color indicates higher values, blue – lower ones.

Table 1: Calculated EM Parameters of M5T1

Parameter	Value	Units
Quality factor Q	17630	
T ($\beta = 0.4311$)	0.862	
Energy gain per AC	0.110	MeV
Cavity shunt impedance R_{sh}	3.398	M Ω
Effective impedance $Z_{eff} = R_{sh}T^2/L$	31.45	M Ω/m
Averaged power dissipation per AC	4.79	kW
Maximum peak electric field	8.3	MV/m
Max peak surface magnetic field	27.4	kA/m

Calculated parameters of this CCL tank are summarized in Table 1. The field and power (100% duty) values in Table 1 are scaled to the nominal gradient of $E_0T = 1.37$ MV/m and assume ideal copper surface with conductivity $\sigma = 5.8 \cdot 10^7$ Sm/m. The results are close to the old ones [6], except the maximum magnetic field here is higher by 40%. This is because in [6] we used rough hexahedral meshes, while now CST allows using adaptive tetrahedral meshes, which better resolve regions of high field-energy density. It affects only a tiny region, so that the overall wall power dissipation remains practically unchanged.

PIC Modeling of M5T1 with Particle Studio

Using the calculated RF fields, we can model beam dynamics in M5T1 with CST Particle Studio (PS) Particle-in-Cell (PIC) solver. The RF fields are extracted from eigensolver in the beam region, scaled to the T1 nominal gradient, and imported into PS. Since the operating mode fields are periodic, we could use the results for one period and repeat such an import 18 times with proper shifts along the beam line. It is more convenient to calculate and extract RF fields for a longer section of T1. For the full tank, 36 AC, the computer memory requirements for a good quality solution with the tetrahedral eigensolver with adaptive mesh become excessive. As a trade-off, we take a section with 12 AC, one-third of M5T1, for field computations. Because of the structure vertical symmetry and the operating mode symmetry in the longitudinal direction, we can restrict the computational domain to one-quarter of the section. Adaptive mesh iterations in the eigensolver increase the mesh density in regions with higher field energy density. The resulting mesh after three adaptive iterations contains 2.7M tetrahedra for one-quarter of the considered section (1/3) of T1. The solution takes about 45 minutes total on a PC with 40 cores but requires 140 GB of RAM.

The initial macro-particle distribution for Particle Studio (PS) Particle-in-Cell (PIC) runs consists of one bunch of 50k particles with the average energy of 100 MeV. It is matched to M5T1 and corresponds to 10-mA proton beam current; it was generated by Beampath [7]. The total bunch charge is 49.7 pC. The bunches in the CCL follow with the repetition frequency 201.25 MHz provided by the DTL, so

that only one out of every four RF buckets at 805 MHz contains a bunch. This distribution was reformatted with Matlab for PS input and imported into CST. All particles are injected into the structure in the transverse plane located at $z = -1$ cm at different times corresponding to their positions along the bunch and move in the positive z -direction. The bunch injection time is adjusted to ensure that the bunch center reaches the middle of the first AC cavity at the correct RF phase, -36° . The magnetic fields of the quadrupole doublet after T1 are generated in Matlab in the hard-edge approximation. These quadrupole fields, as well as the properly scaled calculated RF fields, are imported into PS, see [4] for more details.

For Particle Studio (PS) runs the simulation volume was cut transversely in both x and y to $[-3$ cm, 3 cm], somewhat larger than the cavity aperture radius of 1.5875 cm, to reduce the required hexahedral mesh size. The cut volume for PS simulations and imported fields are shown in Fig. 2.




Figure 2: Calculation volume for PS runs. Insets show imported RF electric field (part; bottom) and magnetic field of the quadrupole doublet (top left). Thin blue and green arrow lines indicate locations of imported fields.

PIC simulations were performed on hexahedral meshes $\sim 20M$ points with steps 0.066 cm in x , y , and 0.05 cm in the longitudinal (z) direction. The PS run with 5 bunches of 50K macro-particles takes 36 minutes on a PC with GV100 GPU; see Fig. 3. The simulated time in this case is 50 ns; it takes ~ 28 ns for a bunch to pass through M5T1.




Figure 3: Snapshot of 5 bunches of 50K particles in M5T1 at $t = 24$ ns. Color indicates particle energy; the scale is on the right. Particles move from top right to bottom left.

Our PIC simulations show no particle losses. This is because the initial distribution is well matched to the tank and contains only 50K macro-particles. It is somewhat idealized compared to realistic beams coming to M5T1 from the transition region. In addition, the simulated structure is rather short, less than 4 m out of the 700-m CCL. The input and output beam parameters are summarized in Table 2. The table lists transverse normalized rms and longitudinal rms emittances, in π mm-mrad.

Table 2: M5T1 Beam Parameters from PIC Simulations

Parameter	In	Out	Out/In
Particles	50,000	50,000	1
Average energy, MeV	100	103.42	1.0342
Tr. emittance ϵ_x , $\pi \mu\text{m}$	0.3687	0.3717	1.0081
Tr. emittance ϵ_y , $\pi \mu\text{m}$	0.3589	0.3632	1.0093
Long. emittance ϵ_z , $\pi \mu\text{m}$	1.7464	1.7522	1.0033
rms bunch length, deg	6.460	7.450	1.153
rms energy spread, MeV	0.2455	0.2258	0.920

An important observation is that the exit beam parameters are independent of the number of bunches. They are the same for all bunches in runs and coincide with those from PIC runs with a single bunch. This means that there is no influence of one bunch on the others, which is not surprising considering that the bunches are separated by four 805-MHz RF periods. The results in Table 2 agree well with those obtained using code Beampath [7] with the same initial beam distribution.

A few more PS runs with different initial macro-particle distributions were performed to evaluate dependence on beam current and effects of beam mismatch. First, we used the above initial macro-particle distribution: one bunch of 50k macro-particles with the average energy of 100 MeV, matched for 10 mA, but changed the beam current to 15 mA so that the total bunch charge is 74.5 pC. There were no particle losses, but the transverse emittance increases at the exit of M5T1 were somewhat higher: 1.1-1.3% instead of 0.8-0.9% for the matched case of 10 mA in Table 2. The longitudinal emittance increase remained practically the same as in Table 2, about 0.33% [4].

Another case for comparison is a different initial macro-particle distribution, which was used in [4] originally. It turned out to be not well matched to T1. For that particle distribution, the transverse emittance increases were 2.2%, and the longitudinal emittance increase was 3.1%, noticeably larger than the values in Table 2. However, no particle losses were observed even in that case.

CONCLUSION

We developed simplified 3D CST models of CCL tanks of the LANSCE linac. The CST model for Tank 1 of Module 5 (M5T1), the first tank in the CCL linac, is presented.

The 3D RF fields of the operating mode in M5T1 are calculated with CST MicroWave Studio. Beam dynamics is modeled with the PIC solver in CST Particle Studio for bunch trains with a matched initial beam distribution. The PIC simulations of M5T1 use imported CST calculated RF fields and quadrupole magnetic fields. The output beam parameters agree with results from other beam dynamics codes. The beam emittance growth in M5T1 is rather small, cf. Table 2, which can be expected since the structure is relatively short, the initial particle distribution was well matched and contained only 50k particles.

One obvious extension of this work is to use other, more realistic beam distributions in PS PIC simulations. Another useful extension would be to study effect of misalignments in the CCL modules. Since we only know measured misalignments of the CCL tank entrance and exit, we can extend our modeling results to consider such tilted tanks. The tank tilts are very small, so this can be done by simple transformations of the fields calculated in CCL tanks without misalignments [8]. The quad displacements are also measured and can be included in particle simulations by quad field shifts. The misalignments effects in CCL have already been studied with Beampath [8] and will be checked with CST.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Kurennoy, "Beam Dynamics Modeling of Drift-tube Linacs with CST Particle Studio", in *Proc. IPAC'16*, Busan, Korea, May 2016, pp. 689-691.
doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2016-MOP0R042
- [2] CST Studio, Dassault Systemes: www.3ds.com/products-services/simulia/products/cst-studio-suite/
- [3] J. S. Schmidt *et al.*, "Investigations of the output energy deviation and other parameters during commissioning of the four-rod radio frequency quadrupole at the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory," *Phys. Rev. ST-AB*, vol. 17, p. 030102, 2014. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.17.030102
- [4] S. S. Kurennoy, "EM and Beam Dynamics Modeling of CCL with CST Studio," LANL, Los Alamos, NM, USA, Rep. LA-UR-22-27127, Oct. 2022.
- [5] L. N. Engel, "Geometrical and Electromagnetic Parameters of the Accelerating and Coupling Cells of the 805 MHz Linac for LAMPF", LANL, Los Alamos, NM, USA, Rep. MP-3-58, 1968.
- [6] S. S. Kurennoy, S. Konecni, J. F. O'Hara, and L. J. Rybarczyk, "Heating and stress in the LANSCE side-coupled linac RF cavities," in *Proc. EPAC'08*, Genoa, Italy, paper THPP027, p. 3428, 2008.
- [7] Y. K. Batygin, "Particle-in-cell code BEAMPATH for beam dynamics simulations in linear accelerators and beamlines," *Nucl. Instr. Meth. A*, vol. 539, pp. 455-489, 2005.
doi:10.1016/j.nima.2004.10.029
- [8] Y. K. Batygin, S. S. Kurennoy, "Effect of Lattice Misalignment on Beam Dynamics in LANSCE Linear Accelerator," presented at NAPAC'22, Albuquerque, NM, USA; paper TUPA48, this conference.