## **In-Situ Secondary Electron Yield Measurement at Fermilab Main Injector** Yichen Ji, Linda Spentzouris Illinois Institute of Technology Department of Physics **Robert Zwaska** Fermi National Accelerator labortary

#### Abstract

#### Studies of in-situ Secondary electron yield (SEY) measurements of material samples at the Main Injector (MI) beam pipe wall location started in 2013. These studies aimed at understanding how the beam conditioning of different materials evolve if they function as MI vacuum chamber walls. The engineering run of the SEY measurement test stand was finished in 2014. From 2014 to 2016 the Fermilab accelerator intensity has increased from $24 \times 10^{12}$ to $42 \times 10^{12}$ protons. Beam conditioning of SS316L and TiN coated SS316L has been observed throughout this period. Improvement of the data acquisition procedure and hardware has been performed. A deconditioning process was observed during the accelerator annual shut down in 2016.

#### **Data acquisition procedure**



by the following equation.

#### The samples and test stand



Figure 1: Two samples are studied in the experiment, stainless steel 316L (SS316L), the same material as the MI vacuum chamber, and Titanium Nitride (TiN) coated SS316L. The samples were installed in September 2014 and kept in the accelerator vacuum chamber ever since. They are curved pieces that are mounted on a floating arm. The samples make up part of the accelerator vacuum chamber wall so they are directly exposed to the operating accelerator and experience conditioning directly from the Electron Cloud generated. During measurements, the sample is retracted into the electrically isolated arm. A Kimball physics ELG-02 electron gun, kept in the same vacuum, directs an electron beam onto the sample and a Keithley 6487 Pico-Ammeter is used to measure the SEY of the sample.

#### **Typical SEY data**



the sample surface.

## **SEY Measurement Results**

This measurement aimed to understand how the SEY evolves under the operation of MI. The peak SEY was measured at different typical operational MI beam intensities. These measurements also allowed the SEY to be known as a function of the MI integrated intensity (total exposure). The peak SEY was calculated by averaging all of the nine data points at each incident energy and then finding the maximum.



#### **Figure 2:** $I_p$

**Figure 3:**  $I_t$ 

During a measurement bias voltage is applied to the sample. The primary current  $I_p$ is measured by applying a +150V bias voltage to the sample that ensures recapture of all secondary electrons. The total current  $I_t$  is measured by applying a -20V bias voltage to the sample that repels all low energy secondary electrons. Then the secondary emission current is given by  $I_{SEY} = I_t - I_p$ . Then, the SEY can be calculated

$$SEY = \frac{I_{SEY}}{I_p} = \frac{I_t - I_p}{I_p} \tag{1}$$

**Figure 4:** Typical  $I_p$ ,  $I_t$  and SEY vs incident energy from an actual measurement. This measurement was performed on September 2nd 2016 on SS316L. The system measures  $I_p$  at one point since  $I_p$  should only be determined by the electron guns. The  $I_t$  current is measured as the position of the gun electrons are varied across a  $3 \times 3$  grid on

Figure 5: The operational intensity of the MI over time. The intensity was steady during the first half of 2014 and started to gradually increase during the second half of 2014. There are two month annual shutdowns every year. In May of 2016, an accident happened that brought down the intensity, it was resolved and the intensity went back up.



Figure 6: SEY over time for SS and TiN coated SS for two different machine runs. The peak SEY decreased over time as expected. The 2015 annual shut down happened between July and November. Major deconditioning was observed during the annual shut down. The samples were kept in the MI vacuum chamber for the whole period. The SEY increased from 1.32 to 1.89 for TiN and from 1.55 to 1.97 for SS316L. When the intensity of the MI went down in May of 2016 to  $28 \times 10^{12}$  protons, a gentle deconditioning was observed for the ss316L compared to TiN6.



Figure 7: Typical operational beam intensity vs SEY for SS and TiN coated SS. The peak SEY conditioned to 1.18 for TiN and 1.35 for SS316L. This plot shows that the majority of the conditioning happens during exposure to the first  $20 \times 10^{19}$  protons for SS316L and the first  $10 \times 10^{19}$  protons for the TiN coated sample. As the beam intensity went up to  $42 \times 10^{12}$  protons, the same trend followed, as both TiN and SS316 conditioned further after exposure to  $10 \times 10^{19}$  protons.



# **NAPAC2016 Fermilab**

Figure 8: Integrated beam intensity vs SEY for SS and TiN coated SS for two different machine runs. The peak SEY decreased over time as expected. The 2015 annual shut down happened between July and November. Major deconditioning was observed during the annual shut down. The samples were kept in the MI vacuum chamber for the whole period. The SEY increased from 1.32 to 1.89 for TiN and from 1.55 to 1.97 for SS316L. When the intensity of the MI went down in May of 2016 to  $28 \times 10^{12}$  protons, a gentle deconditioning was observed for the ss316L compared to TiN6.

5/10/16 8/18/16

scheduled to be turned on in December 2016 and will continue to run at  $42 \times 10^{12}$  proton intensity. In 2017, the MI is scheduled to upgrade to even higher intensities. Further conditioning studies will be carried out for both TiN and SS316L. Once the peak SEY of TiN and SS316 reach a plateau at future MI intensities, the study of TiN and SS3161 will be concluded and new samples of other material will be installed.

## **Deconditioning measurement**

Deconditioning, or an increase in the SEY of the samples, was observed during the 2015 accelerator shut down. This year, the MI was turned off on July 30th 2016 and will remain shut down until at least November 2016. This provides a perfect opportunity to do a more detailed study of the deconditioning of the samples.

<b>Fable 1:</b> SEY Decondition	ning result
Table I. SET Decondition	ing result

Date	TiN	SS	
06-29	1.18	1.35	
08-01	1.33	1.51	
08-03	1.32	1.60	
08-04	1.34	1.60	
08-18	1.33	1.61	
09-02	1.33	1.61	
09-19	1.41	1.72	

#### Leakage Current

Leakage current causes most of the inaccuracy in an SEY measurement and must be compensated. During a typical measurement, we wait 3 minutes for the leakage current to level out, then take the value of the leakage current measurement and subtract from the overall measurement. Separate corrections are done for the primary current  $I_p$  and total current  $I_t$ .

$$SEY = \frac{I_{SEY}}{I_p} = \frac{(I_t - L_t) - (I_p - L_p)}{I_p}$$
 (2)





### ILLINOIS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY



Figure 10: 'Settled' leakage current starting 3 minutes after bias turned on, over same period of actual measurement

Table 2: Leakage current variations			
Leakage current	total variation	<b>RMS</b> variation	
TiN Arm, $L_p$			
1.6nA	22pA~(1.38%)	8.4pA~(0.53%)	
0.2nA	8.5pA~(4.25%)	16pA~(8%)	
10nA	140pA~(1.4%)	$14pA\;(0.14\%)$	
TiN Arm, $L_t$			
0.27nA	84pA~(31.1%)	4.1pA~(1.52%)	
0.1nA	88pA~(88%)	2.6pA~(2.6%)	
1nA	$170 pA \ (17\%)$	6.6pA~(0.66%)	
SS316L Arm, $L_p$			
5.7nA	160pA~(2.81%)	$6.2pA\;(0.11\%)$	
01nA	4.5pA~(0.45%)	$1.2pA\;(0.12\%)$	
0.3nA	0.1 pA~(0.03%)	0.3pA~(0.1%)	
SS316L Arm, $L_t$			
0.5nA	210pA~(42%)	3.8pA~(0.76%)	
0.1nA	9pA~(9%)	0.2pA~(0.2%)	
30pA	10pA~(33.3%)	0.1pA~(0.33%)	

#### Conclusion

Evolution of the Secondary Electron Yield (SEY) of SS316L and TiN coated SS316L samples in the environment of the Main Injector proton accelerator has been studied, and results from 2015-2016 are presented. The SEY of the samples steadily conditioned under beam operations to lower values; the lowest peak SEY reached was 1.18 for TiN and 1.35 for SS316L. The SEY values are expected to reach a low plateau after the MI upgrade, at which time the TiN study will be concluded. The SEY values of the samples have also been shown to decondition during periods when the accelerator is not operational. The study presented showed that after initial fast deconditioning, further deconditioning will be slow if the samples are retained in the evacuated, conditioned vacuum chamber. A leakage current study was done that shows a better strategy is needed for its compensation.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Science Foundation under the grant no. PHY-1205811, and Joint University - Fermilab Doctoral Program in Accelerator Physics and Technology.