

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder by using bio-inspired structures

Simone Andresen

June 28th, 2018



ALFRED-WEGENER-INSTITUT
HELMHOLTZ-ZENTRUM FÜR POLAR-
UND MEERESFORSCHUNG



HELMHOLTZ
RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization


4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Introduction





Alfred-Wegener-Institute

- Leading position in polar & marine science
- ~1000 employees
- Intensifies its activities in technology transfer

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology
optimization

4 Bionic lattice
structures


5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



What is biomimetics?

- “bios” (life) + “mimesis” (to imitate)
- Solutions in nature are optimized
- Natural selection discards unnecessary things
 - Darwin (1895): “On the Origin of Species”
 - “Survival of the fittest”



Charles Darwin

(1)

- Learning from nature to develop solutions for technical problems

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Why marine organisms as biological examples?



1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology
optimization

4 Bionic lattice
structures


5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Why marine organisms as biological examples?

- 100,000 different species of diatoms with an enormous variety of shell structures are known
- Characteristics of the shell structures:
 - Lightweight
 - Very high stiffness
 - Expected to have positive impact on vibration characteristics



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen




Why marine organisms as biological examples?

“Glass” shells (SiO_2)
resist a pressure of
approximately **700 t m⁻²**.




That is equal to 150
cars on a manhole
cover!



(Hamm et al. 2003, Nature 421, 841-843)



(2)



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



PETRA IV at DESY

PETRA III storage ring will be converted into an ultralow emittance synchrotron radiation source PETRA IV.



© DESY 2016

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Project goals

- Systematic development of new girder designs considering several approaches using bio-inspired structures
- Goal: optimizing the PETRA IV girder
 - 1st natural frequency of more than 52 Hz
 - Maximal deflection (linear static): 0.5 mm
 - Maximal girder mass: 2,500 kg

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Support study



(3)

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Model assembly

- Starting with PETRA III girder
- Girder abstracted as a hollow cuboid (material: steel)
- Shell elements
- Three heavy magnets considered as point masses
- Software: Rhinoceros (Grasshopper) combined with the Solver OptiStruct (Altair)
- Support points were varied in a parameter study



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Parameter study

Parameter that were varied:

Number of support points

3, 4, 5 and 6

Location of support points

Lower girder surface
(Bessel points)

Upper girder edges
(close to the magnets)

Constraints at each support point: $X=Y=Z=R_x=R_y=R_z=0$

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures


5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Considering the girder pedestals

Evaluating how the pedestals influence the natural frequency




Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Results



- 6 support points close to the magnets (load) will be considered (keeping in mind that the support is modeled as quite stiff in the simulations)

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Results

- Best support point configuration:
6 support points at the upper girder edges



- Support point
- Point mass (magnet)


Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Topology optimization




Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
 - 2 Support study
 - 3 **Topology optimization**
 - 4 Bionic lattice structures
 - 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Model assembly



- Bright grey: design space,
dark grey: non-design space
 - Software: HyperWorks (Altair)
 - Goal: Maximize stiffness
 - Constraints: 1st natural frequency > 100 Hz
 Volume < 10 % of design space volume


Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Results




Topology optimization result

Artificial element density
(densities > 0.3 are displayed)

Properties of the resulting structure:

1st natural frequency: 91 Hz - mass: 863 kg - maximal static deflection: 0.03 mm



Modal analysis result

Normalized vibration
amplitude of the
1st eigenmode

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization: Results

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder



- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Further optimization: Results

Smoothing the topology optimization result, deriving curves from it, projecting them on the outer girder walls as ribs and varying the rib thicknesses in parameter studies.




Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Bionic lattice structures




Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Model assembly

- Construction of lattices inside the hollow girder



- Boundary conditions, material properties and loads were analogous to the previous studies.
- Lattice parameters were varied to develop different structures and find the best parameter combination.

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen




Generating bionic lattice structures

Lattice structures were built by connecting neighboring points.



Point distribution
inside a volume



Connecting each point
with several neighboring points

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder


- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Generating bionic lattice structures

Lattice structures were influenced by attractors.



Point distribution
(here: regular)

Defining an attractor
(here: red point)

Point distribution density is changed close to the attractor

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen





Results

High potential of bionic lattice structures:

Natural frequency can be increased by leaving the stiffness and/or mass constant.

One mass oscillator (1 DOF):

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{c}{m}}$$



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion


Simone Andresen



Results

Best girder structure (pedestals are included)

- 1st natural frequency: 70 Hz
- Girder mass: 2489 kg
- Maximal deflection (linear static): 0.02 mm



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Conclusion



Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Support study
- 3 Topology optimization
- 4 Bionic lattice structures
- 5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Conclusion

- Support point number and location have a huge impact on the girder properties.
- Pedestals should have a high stiffness.
- Topology optimizations in combination with further optimizations to find optimal rib thicknesses leads to promising girder designs.
- The use of bionic lattice structures also allows the development of girder designs with high natural frequencies and stiffness.

Optimizing the PETRA IV girder

1 Introduction

2 Support study

3 Topology optimization

4 Bionic lattice structures

5 Conclusion

Simone Andresen



Images

- (1) <http://www.charles-darwin-jahr.at/index.php?m=viewarticle&ar=76> (06/22/2018)
- (2) https://www.google.com/url?sa=i&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=images&cd=&ved=2ahUKEwjhrcT7u-TbAhUJGuwKHY5GCegQjxx6BAgBEAI&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.bild.de%2Fratgeber%2Fevergreen%2Fverkehrsordnungswidrigkeit%2Falltagsfrage_gullideckel-48699678.bild.html&psig=AOvVaw0CmhRN6iVYW9WBXEEzcuN3&ust=1529660609455012 (06/21/2018)
- (3) <https://www.dreamstime.com/stock-illustration-cartoon-boy-asking-question-image52969173> (06/21/2018)
- (4) <http://posandnegaimpactsofsocnetsites.blogspot.com/2014/12/conclusion.html> (06/19/2018)

Thank you very much for your attention

Simone Andresen

Alfred Wegener Institut, Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung
Research section Bionic Lightweight Design

Bussestr. 27, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

Tel: +49 471 4831 2827

E-Mail: simone.andresen@awi.de



ALFRED-WEGENER-INSTITUT
HELMHOLTZ-ZENTRUM FÜR POLAR-
UND MEERESFORSCHUNG



HELMHOLTZ
RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES