COMPLEX UNIT LATTICE CELL FOR LOW-EMITTANCE SYNCHROTRONS

12th International Particle Accelerator Conference
May 24-28, 2021
• The beam emittance:

\[ \varepsilon_x = F \cdot \frac{E^2}{J_x N_B^3} \]

• The equations for chromaticity compensation by sextupoles:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_{x1} - \xi_{x0} &= \phi \lambda(s) \eta \beta_x ds \\
\xi_{y1} - \xi_{y0} &= \phi \lambda(s) \eta \beta_y ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{SF} &= \frac{4\pi[\beta_{yD}(\xi_{x1} - \xi_{x0}) + \beta_{xD}(\xi_{y1} - \xi_{y0})]}{2\eta_F (\beta_{xF} \beta_{yD} - \beta_{yF} \beta_{xD})} \\
I_{SD} &= \frac{4\pi[\beta_{yF}(\xi_{x1} - \xi_{x0}) + \beta_{xF}(\xi_{y1} - \xi_{y0})]}{2\eta_F (\beta_{yF} \beta_{xD} - \beta_{xF} \beta_{yD})}
\end{align*}
\]

Increasing the length of the drift section and forming the dispersion bumps helps to keep the integrated strength of sextupole small.
• Several bends are combined into a lattice unit cell and share a family of chromatic sextupoles:

\[
\text{Bends + Quadrupoles}
\]
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The magnet layout of the complex cell

• A simple ring with identical unit cells is created to study the characteristics of the complex cell:

• 360 bends;
• 432 m;
• \( J_x \leq 2 \);
• The normalized phase advance \( \nu_x = 108 \)
• \( 0.1 \cdot \nu_x \leq \nu_y \leq 0.5 \cdot \nu_x \)
Complex cell

- Compared with the TME cell, the complex cell can effectively decrease the integral strength of the sextupoles. There is no significant difference in the integral strength of the sextupoles with three and four bends complex cell. As the chromaticity will be raised, when the number of bends in an unit cell increased.

Black : TME cell; Red : Complex cell with two bends; Blue : Complex cell with three bends; Green : Complex cell with four bends
Complex Cell 17 BA vs Homogeneous 17 BA
Those two lattices realize similar emittance, about 21 pm·rad, but the Jx of complex cell 17 BA lattice is much lower than homogeneous 17 BA, and the momentum compaction factor is reasonable.

Table 1: Lattice Parameters: Complex Cell 17 BA vs Homogeneous 17 BA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Complex Cell 17BA</th>
<th>Homogeneous 17BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Circumference[m]</td>
<td>537.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy[GeV]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of cells</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emittance [pm.rad]</td>
<td>21.68</td>
<td>21.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse tunes</td>
<td>96.14/27.14</td>
<td>96.16/27.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromaticity</td>
<td>-116.2/-128.8</td>
<td>-92.7/-123.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Momentum compaction factor</td>
<td>$4.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$5.4 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping partition numbers</td>
<td>1.77/1.0/1.23</td>
<td>2.12/1.0/0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damping time</td>
<td>13.9/24.6/19.9</td>
<td>12.0/25.4/28.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The Integral Strength of Sextupoles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Complex cell 17 BA</th>
<th>Homogeneous 17 BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF1</td>
<td>2193.5</td>
<td>6840.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD1</td>
<td>1620.9</td>
<td>3286.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF2</td>
<td>2801.6</td>
<td>6622.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD2</td>
<td>2221.6</td>
<td>3831.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

And the complex cell 17 BA lattice obtains much lower integral strength of sextupoles.
Complex Cell 17 BA vs Homogeneous 17 BA
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