## Superconducting RF for the Future: Is Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn ready for next-generation accelerators?

#### **Matthias Liepe**

Professor of Physics; Head Cornell SRF Group

**Cornell University** 











M. Liepe, Cornell University

#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

- I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?
- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

## I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?

- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

#### SRF 101







Slowed down by factor of approximately 4x109



#### **Beyond Niobium**

Material	$\lambda(nm)$	$\xi(nm)$	$\kappa$	$T_{\rm c}({\rm K})$	$H_{c1}(T)$	$H_{\rm c}({\rm T})$	$H_{\rm sh}({\rm T})$
Nb	40	27	1.5	9	0.13	0.21	0.24
Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn	111	4.2	26.4	18	0.042	0.5	0.42
NbN	375	2.9	129.3	16	0.006	0.21	0.17
$MgB_2$	40	6.9	5.8	40	0.051	0.34	0.33?

$$R_{BCS} \propto f^2 e^{(-const^*T_C/T)}$$

**Higher critical temperature = lower losses and/or higher operating temperature** 

$$E_{acc,max} \propto H_{sh}$$

**Higher superheating field** *H*<sub>sh</sub> = higher accelerating fields

#### Potential of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cavities

#### **Increased Accelerating Field**

	Niobium	Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn
Superheating field	240 mT	420 mT
Max. E <sub>acc</sub> (theoretical limit)	55 MV/m	100 MV/m

# $\Rightarrow Shorter accelerators \\\Rightarrow Higher energy gain$



Map shown for scale only.

#### Lower Cooling Cost and Complexity

	Niobium	Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn
Critical Temperature T <sub>c</sub>	9 K	18 K
Q <sub>0</sub> at <b>4.2 K</b>	6 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	6 x 10 <sup>10</sup>
Q <sub>0</sub> at 2.0 K	3 x 10 <sup>10</sup>	>1011

 $Q_0$  given for 1.3 GHz ILC-shape cavities



## Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn: High Temperature (4.2K) Operation



- ⇒ 4.2K operation with high cryoefficiency (game changer!)
- $\Rightarrow$  No superfluid helium
- ⇒ No need to use large, low frequency cavities to run at 4.2K
- ⇒ Simpler, smaller, cheaper helium refrigerators
- ⇒ Use of turn-key cryocoolers (for smaller applications)



### Potential Future Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF Applications

#### Large-scale SRF driven accelerators operating in continuous mode:

Key: reduced cryogenic cooling power

- Future FELs
- Electron-lon collider
- Future circular collider (FCC) ...

#### SRF driven, pulsed accelerators: Key: increased energy

# Recirculating Electron Rings ERL Cryomodules





#### **Small SRF driven accelerators:**

Key: reduced cryogenic cooling power and simplified cryo-systems

- Small-scale science accelerators
- Industrial and medial applications



#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

## I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?

- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

#### And so Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF R&D began 40 Years ago...



#### Tin Vapor Diffusion Process (Simplified)



#### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Challenge: Stoichiometry and T<sub>c</sub>



A. Godeke, Supercond. Sci. Tech, 2006

IPAC 2019

## More Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Challenges

- Material is brittle
- Low thermal conductivity

*Thin films avoid/reduce these* 

- Small coherence length  $\xi \sim 3$  4 nm
  - Sensitive to small defects
  - Small first critical field H<sub>c1</sub>
    - ⇒ Need to operate in the flux free metastable Meissner state

 $\Rightarrow$  Need high quality Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn films!





#### First Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cavities: Reality around 2000



Usable field gradients, but strong Q-slop (at least at lower frequency)
 ⇒ Practical or fundamental limits due to vortex entry above H<sub>c1</sub>?

#### The End?



Disappointing results. Interest in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn faded away....



#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

- I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?
- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

#### The Rebirth of the Phoenix: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF Mark II



A phoenix depicted in a book of legendary creatures by FJ Bertuch (1747–1822)

#### **Rebirth of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF**

M. Liepe, Cornell University

#### Cornell Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Vapor Diffusion Furnace



M. Liepe, Cornell University

#### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Coatings

Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn forms a polycrystalline layer on the surface of the niobium





**Before Coating** 

#### Cornell 1.3 GHz Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cavity Breakthrough 4.2K Performance



M. Liepe, Cornell University

IPAC 2019

#### The Rebirth of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF R&D



## JLAB and Fermilab Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Vapor Diffusion Furnaces



<u>"Siemens" configuration</u>, i.e., no secondary heater for the tin source

<u>"Wuppertal" configuration</u>, i.e., with secondary heater for the tin source

M. Liepe, Cornell University

## 1.3 GHz Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cavity Performance: State-of the Art



- ~4K operation with unprecedented Q >10<sup>10</sup> at typical CW operating fields
- Current quench fields: 16 22 MV/m (FNAL world record)

#### **Drastic Reduction in Cryogenic Losses**



>60% reduction in AC cooling power

Simplified cryo system (4.2K vs 2K operation)

#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

- I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?
- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

#### Quest 1: Higher (multi-GHz) RF Frequencies



- First high-performance Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn elliptical TM010 cavities
- Example: 50x more efficient than Nb at 2.6 GHz and 4.2 K
- 1.3 GHz and 2.6 GHz cavities have ~ same cryo loss / active length

## **Fermilab** Fermilab Frequency Dependence Study

- Frequency dependence of R<sub>BCS</sub>, R<sub>res</sub>, quench, trapped flux sensitivity
- 650 MHz is an interesting step between scaling up form a 1-cell 1.3 GHz to a 9-cell 1.3 GHz cavity
- Better understand how vapor diffusion process scales with different sized substrates Fermilab Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF program: a number of 1.3 GHz cavities already coated

and tested; these are the first 650 MHz and 3.9 GHz cavities









## Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn: Frequency Scaling of Trapped Flux Losses

#### Sensitivity = $\Delta R/B_{trapped}$ 2.5 2.6 GHz 650 MHz Fermilab 1.3 GHz $\wedge$ 1.3 GHz A 2 Lowestfrequency 1.3 GHz B Cornell 3.9 GHz 0 S [nΩ/mG] 1.5 0.5 middle frequency 0 10 5 15 0 $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{acc}}$ **‡** Fermilab Cornell University

- Initial results show unexpected, slow scaling with frequency above ~1 GHz:
  - ~  $\sqrt{f}$  dependence
  - Good news for high frequency Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn
- Non monotonic frequency dependence <1 GHz?</li>

M. Liepe, Cornell University



## Quest 2: Even Higher Q<sub>0</sub>



IPAC 2019

#### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Vapor Diffusion Growth



D. Muller, P. Cueva

#### New insights => Higher-performing Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities

N. Sitaraman, T. Arias

IPAC 2019



## Quest 3: Higher Quench Fields



#### Signature of Quench in Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn

- Weak dependence on RF frequency
- So significant change vs T (1.7K to 4.2K)
- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity field limited by localized defects
- Just below quench: Quantized jumps in losses
  - **Vortex entry?**

12

10

at quench region (mK), Red:

Blue: dT a

**Temperature** 

Time (s) Time

1.2

0.2

#### Candidate Defect: Grain Boundaries?

#### Ginzburg-Landau Simulation of Vortex Nucleation In Grain Boundaries

M. Transtrum / A. Pack

BRIGHTBEAMS



• Geometry of grain boundaries lowers vortex entry field ( for  $\xi << \lambda$  Nb3Sn!)



- Surface roughness enhances
   local surface fields
- Short EP can ~half roughness



#### Quest 4: Lower Cost via Coating on Bulk Copper

our sample

- Electrochemical plating studies at FNAL and Cornell
- Magnetron sputtering at CERN:



#### The Life Story of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF (as of now)

- I. Promise: Why Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for SRF?
- II. Early Years and Disappointments
- III. Rebirth: Let's try again...
- IV. Growing Up: The quest for higher performance
- V. Maturity: Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF accelerator applications

## Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Multi-cell Cavities for Accelerator Applications



- JLAB: 5-cell 1.5 GHz cavity coated and RF testing started
- Fermilab: Coating successful with 1.3 GHz 9-cell sample host cavity – very good uniformity. Full coating and RF testing of 9-cell coming this summer
- Cornell: Multicell coating facility under development

# **Fermilab**





## (Potential) Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Accelerator Applications

#### Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities for Upgraded Injector Test Facility (UITF) @ Jlab



Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn on Copper Studies at CERN for FCC



"The A15 compounds have the potential to outperform niobium..."

D. Abbott et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 214801 B. DiGiovine et al., Proc. AIP Conf. 1563, 239 (2013) http://wiki.ilab.org/ciswiki/index.php/Main\_Page

See FCC conceptual design report @ fcc.web.cern.ch

## **Fermilab** Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn for Compact SRF Accelerator at Fermilab

- Development underway for compact Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn SRF cavity based accelerator at Fermilab, with cooling by cryocooler
  - Industry, medicine, security, science
- R&D on conduction cooling shows feasible method to remove heat without cryogens



R.C. Dhuley et al. "Thermal Link Design for Conduction Cooling of SRF Cavities Using Cryocoolers," *IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity* Vol. 29-5 (2019)







M. Liepe, Cornell University

## Jefferson Lab Compact High-Power CW SRF for Industrial Application

- 1-year design collaboration among JLAB, AES, General Atomics
- Funded by DOE-HEP (Accelerator Stewardship)
- Use in wastewater and flue-gas treatment
- 1 MeV, 1 A electron beam



Patent on Cryomodule design filed on 01/29/18

Slide by G. Ciovati



#### Cornell University Compact Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn Cryomodule Development at Cornell



 Design study of conduction cooling of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity from cryocooler indicates feasibility

#### Is Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn ready for next-generation accelerators?

#### ✓ Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn coating facilities established (650 MHz – multi-GHz)

Cornell, JLAB, FNAL ... more coming

#### ✓ Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn 1-cell vapor diffusion coatings at Cornell, JLAB, FNAL demonstrate performance needed for applications

- ~4K operation with unprecedented Q >10<sup>10</sup> at 1.3 GHz
- Drastic reduction in cooling power and complexity
- 16 22 MV/m quench fields (similar to LCLS-II cavities)
- Robust and reproducible process
- Robust cavities (no issues with HPR, long term stability...)
- Demonstration of multi-GHz Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities opens path to compact SRF

#### Is Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn ready for next-generation accelerators?

- ✓ First multicell Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavities underway
  - Good uniformity of coating already achieved
- Cryomodule and technical R&D started
  - ✓ Compact cryomodules
  - $\checkmark\,$  Cryocooler with conduction cooling

#### ✓ Future R&D (addressing technological challenges):

- Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn cavity frequency tuning (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn films are brittle)
- Microphonics control at ~4K
- ⇒ First applications within 5 years realistic goal
   ⇒ Ongoing R&D will lead to even higher performance and further cost reduction (e.g. Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn on copper)...stay tuned

. . .

## Thank you for your attention!

Special thanks to

Sam Posen, FNAL

Grigory Eremeev, TJNAF

Marco Arzeo, CERN

Sitemen Posen Posen Posen Posen CERN

Nathan Sitaraman, Paul Cueva, Alden Pack, Ryan Porter, CBB

for providing some of the material for this talk!

"Now this is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end. But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning."

Sir Winston Churchill, Speech in November 1942