# **TRANSVERSE JITTER TOLERANCE ISSUES** FOR BEAM-DRIVEN PLASMA ACCELERATORS

G. R. White, T. Raubenheimer, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, 2575 Sand Hill Road, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

# title of the work, publisher, and DOI Abstract

Transverse jitter tolerances are considered for beamdriven plasma accelerators. A simple model for jitter transfer from the drive to witness beam is developed and concrete examples are studied for: high-brightness witness bunch injectors; high-energy boosters for FEL's; and future Linear Colliders. Compared with an existing PWFA driver facility ([1, 2]), the calculated tolerances are 18X -170X tighter than achievable, even considering any upgrades with existing technology.

#### **OVERVIEW**

maintain attribution The electron beam-driven Plasma Wakefield Acceleration (PWFA) concept has been actively pursued in the past two decades with multi-GeV accelerating gradients demonstrated [1, 2]. Other test facilities are under con- $\frac{1}{2}$  demonstrated [1, 2]. Other test facilities are under con-struction and aim to demonstrate preservation of the accelerated beam quality [3, 4] and research practical applica- $\frac{1}{2}$  tions such as FEL drivers. As the community moves to-5 wards progressing the PWFA concept into a viable engiincering solution for a practical accelerator, it is timely to is consider requirements for the required supporting infra-is structure.

Any In this report, we consider the jitter requirements on the main particle beams (henceforth referred to as "witness" beams) used in future accelerators powered by PWFA ac-20 celeration cells and the contribution from the jitter of the  $^{\textcircled{O}}$  "drive" beams used to form the PWFA acceleration plasma Bubble. The drive beam is usually mismatched to the ac-Seclerated bunch in terms of its geometric emittance, fre-• quently having order-of-magnitude larger emittance; this is true for laser-driven as well as beam-driven plasmas. The В stability requirements of the drive beam are dictated by the phase-space of the higher-quality witness beam.

As specific examples, we consider high-brightness witö ness bunch injectors (HBI), high-energy "doubler" appli-<sup>2</sup> For each, we investigated the transverse tolerance requirements on the drive beam and the conventional accelerator be component tolerances (RF, magnet, alignment etc.) neces-sary to meet these. The calculated tolerances are The calculated tolerances are sary to meet these. compared to an existing PWFA driver facility [2, 3] and are 18 to 170 times tighter than achievable, even é considering any up-grades with existing technology. Designing an accelerator facility to deliver the required Ξ drive beam stability, then, represents a significant challenge, requiring considerable R&D breakthroughs on many subsystems to develop new technology and from understand how these tolerances could eventually be met.

Work supported by the Department of Energy under Contract Number: DE-AC02-76SF00515.

Typical requirements for a beam-driven PWFA application are to drive the plasma cell with a multi-nC electron bunch which is highly compressed (>10 kA peak current) and tightly focused (<<100 µm rms transverse size at plasma entrance). State-of-the-art high-brightness electron accelerators utilizing rf photo-injectors with conventional rf acceleration cavities and magnetic bunch compression systems can meet these requirements, but the achievable bunch emittances are necessarily in the multi µm-rad range. This should be compared with the nm-rad scale of required vertical emittance for the witness beam in a LC application. The acceleration channel seen by the witness bunch in the PWFA cells is formed by the drive beam and by design strongly focuses the witness beam within the plasma channel. It will therefore steer the witness beam according to any misalignment of the driver bunch. Given the large disparity between drive and witness bunch emittances, one would naively expect very tight tolerances on the allowable drive beam jitter.

To investigate the magnitude of the driver jitter tolerance challenge, we put forward a simple analytic model of jitter transfer between the drive and witness beams which we test using a particle tracking model. Using this jitter transfer model, we describe the jitter amplification of a physically realizable plasma cell and calculate the drive beam jitter tolerances implied by the witness bunch jitter requirements. Finally, we look at a currently under-construction accelerator facility (FACET-II) designed to deliver highcompression electron beams and compare the expected jitter performance with the calculated requirements.

# WITNESS BUNCH JITTER REQUIREMENTS

The allowable jitter of the witness bunch as it is delivered to either the undulators of an FEL or the collision point of a collider are shown in Table 1 below. The required jitter tolerances for an FEL application are dependent on the design of the undulators, typically the beam jitter must be a small fraction of the beam size in the undulators for lasing to occur and a value of  $0.1\sigma$  is used here for reference. The LC requirements are more complicated, and discussions can be found in the various LC design reports. The combined effect of all jitter sources (i.e. multiple PWFA stages) must sum to beneath the requirements stated below.

Table 1: Required Delivered Witness Beam Jitter to FEL Undulator Section or Collision IP

Application	Horizontal Jitter	Vertical Jitter
	Requirement / $\sigma$	Requirement / $\sigma$
PWFA LC	Insensitive	0.3
HBI	0.1	0.1
EDA	0.1	0.1

Content **THPGW087** 3774

MC3: Novel Particle Sources and Acceleration Techniques

#### **PWFA CELL JITTER TRANSFER MODEL**

The PWFA acceleration cell is modeled in 3 sections: a central uniform-density gas section, and an input and output linear density ramp section to match the beam in/out of the accelerating section. The drive beam enters the PWFA cell with arbitrary position and angle and ionizes a plasma column through the cell. This plasma column has extremely large transverse focusing forces (>10<sup>6</sup> T/m). The witness beam enters the plasma column at the correct accelerating phase behind the drive beam and is strongly focused along the plasma channel defined by the drive beam. where it oscillates through many beta function wavelengths. The length of the plasma cell is assumed to be tightly matched to the correct length so that the phase advance of the witness bunch through the plasma channel is such that the witness bunch exits the channel with purely a positional offset.

The witness beam oscillates about the incoming drive beam trajectory. As the drive beam has considerably larger transverse emittance, this causes a "jitter amplification" in terms of comparing the jitter with respect to the relative beam sizes of the drive and witness bunches. This situation is depicted schematically in Fig. 1 below.



Figure 1: Jitter transfer model for a PWFA acceleration cell. The witness beam is focused along the plasma channel defined by the drive beam.

The beam dimensions within the plasma can be expressed in terms of a Twiss beta function expressed in terms of the plasma density and normalized beam energy:

$$\beta_{d,w} = \sqrt{2\gamma_{d,w}} \frac{c}{\omega_p},\tag{1}$$

$$\omega_p = \left(\frac{e^2 n_p}{\varepsilon_0 m_e}\right) \approx 5.6E4. \sqrt{n_p} , \qquad (2)$$

where,  $n_p$  is the plasma density (cubic cm).

The input and output density ramp sections are configured for a periodic match condition. The optimal ramp length and density profile is given by [5], and for a 2m central accelerating plasma cell length is shown in Fig. 2 (left) below which shows the ramp density profile, where the ramp length is given by:

$$l = \beta_{p0} \sqrt{\left[\frac{(N+1)\pi}{\ln\beta_{goal}/\beta_i}\right]^2 + \frac{1}{4}}, \frac{L}{l} = \left(\frac{\beta_{goal}}{\beta_i} - 1\right)$$
(3)

where N=1,2,3...

Now, assuming equal input and output drive-beam beta functions  $\beta$  and a phase advance of  $\psi$ , the position and angle offsets of the witness beam  $(\Delta_v^w, \Delta_A^w)$  as it exits the plasma cell can be written in terms of the position and angle offset of the incoming drive beam ( $\Delta_{\nu}^{d}$  and  $\Delta_{\theta}^{d}$ , respectively) and the total plasma cell length (L= $2L_{ramp} + L_p$ ):

$$\Delta_{\gamma}^{w} = \Delta_{\gamma}^{d} (1 - \cos \psi) + \Delta_{\theta}^{d} (L - \beta \sin \psi)$$
(4)

$$\Delta_{\theta}^{w} = (\Delta_{y}^{d}/\beta)\sin\psi + \Delta_{\theta}^{d}(1 - \cos\psi)$$
(5)

Now we can calculate the outgoing witness beam offset due to the drive beam, normalized by the rms witness beam publisher, size at the output of the plasma cell. To simplify the expression, we define  $M = \beta_{goal} / \beta_i$  (note that M is defined by the ramp and is the same for drive and witness beams),  $\beta_i$ is the plasma beta function, and  $\varepsilon_{\nu}^{d}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\nu}^{w}$  are the drive and witness beam geometric emittance.

Finally, we introduce the factor N as the jitter factor for the drive beam, normalized by rms beam size, and assume that the jitter phase is random so  $N^2 = \langle \Delta_v^{d^2} \rangle / \varepsilon_v^d \beta_d M =$  $\langle \Delta_{\theta}^{d^2} \rangle \beta_d M / \varepsilon_{\nu}^d$ . Further assuming that the plasma density is perfectly tuned so that there are  $2n\pi$  betatron oscillations, the expected outgoing witness beam jitter is:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta_y^w}{\sigma_y^w}\right)^2 = \frac{L^2 N^2 \{\varepsilon_y^d / \beta_d M\}}{\varepsilon_y^w \beta_w M} \quad and \quad \left(\frac{\Delta_\theta^w}{\sigma_\theta^w}\right)^2 = 0. \tag{6}$$

Note the strong dependence on M.

#### BEAM DYNAMICS SIMULATION

To verify the simple analytic model for drive beam to witness itter transfer outlined above, we built a sliced tracking model using Lucretia [6]. A particle traversing each slice of the PWFA cell feels a radial electric field according to the plasma density in that slice  $(n_{e0})$ :

$$E_r = \frac{1}{2} \frac{e n_{e0}}{\varepsilon_0} r \tag{7}$$

Each slice is modelled with a radial linear focusing force:  $K_q = dE_r/dr \ 1/cB\rho$ .

The PWFA configuration shown in Fig. 2 performs a X10 beta function ramp, with Twiss parameters through the PWFA cell shown in the right-hand plot.



Figure 2: Plasma density profile and Twiss parameters for a 2m PWFA accelerating stage with an incoming/outgoing plasma density ramp according to [6].

Note that no acceleration of the witness beam is considered in this PWFA cell model. This is a reasonable simplification for higher witness beam energies (E<sub>w</sub>>>10GeV, assuming ~10GV/m acceleration gradient). For lower energies, the beam dynamics are more complicated, but we assume the basic assumptions set out in the analytic expression hold for the sake of the following arguments.

#### **PWFA LC Application Tracking Example**

By offsetting the PWFA element in first position, and then angle, the beam dynamics of the witness bunch as it is tracked through the PWFA cell can be seen in Fig. 3 below. As shown, with the  $2n\pi$  phase-advance condition, we are only sensitive to incoming drive beam angles, which manifest as pure positional offsets of the witness beam. The beam parameters for this simulation are shown in Table 2.

BY 3.0 licence (© 2019). Any distribution of this work

2

he

of

terms

the

under

used

è

may

work

from

DO and

work,

of

maintain attribution to the author(s), title

must

**MC3: Novel Particle Sources and Acceleration Techniques** 

10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-208-0

Table 2: Beam Parameters for Tracking Simulation Example Shown in Fig. 3

ler,	គ្ន៍ Example Shown in Fig. 3							
lisl	Parameter	Symbol	Value					
puł	Witness energy	$E_{w}$	1.0 TeV					
ľk,	Witness emittance	$\gamma \epsilon^{w}{}_{x,y}$	10, 0.035 µm-rad					
WC	PWFA flat-top length	L <sub>p</sub>	2.0 m					
the	$\beta$ matching factor	М	10					
of	Drive energy	Ed	25 GeV					
litle	Drive emittance	$\gamma \epsilon^{d}_{x,y}$	5, 5 µm-rad					
hor(s),	$\Delta y_d = 0.01 \sigma$		$\Delta \theta_d = 0.01\sigma$					
the aut								
to 1	0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 S [m]	····]	S [m]					
ion	j <sup>104</sup>	- (av) > 10 <sup>-0</sup>	<u></u> * <sub>NY</sub>					
ibut	10 <sup>-8</sup> 00.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 S(m)	3 10 <sup>-6</sup> 0.5	1 1.5 2 2.5 3 S [m]					
uin attri	Burkey Charles (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	3 Bundeh Cartolid						
maints	S m	Bunch Angle S						
ıst	0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 S [m]	3 0 0.5	1 1.5 2 2.5 3 S[m]					

Ĩ Figure 3: Particle tracking of a witness bunch through a s offset (left column) or 1% angular offset (right column of plots). From top to bottom, plots shows and a emittance, bunch centroid and bunch trajectory angle (each distribution normalized by rms size/divergence).

#### **PWFA LC JITTER TOLERANCE**

Any Using the analytic jitter transfer model introduced above, we calculate the jitter tolerance requirements for the 6 drive beam accelerator assuming an acceleration of 25 GeV  $\stackrel{\scriptsize \odot}{\sim}$  per PWFA stage and an initial witness beam energy of 25 <sup>©</sup>GeV. The drive beam energy is set at 25 GeV, with 5 μmg rad normalized transverse emittance. We only consider jit-ter in the vertical plane, where the witness beam normal- $\overline{2}$  ized transverse emittance is 35 nm-rad [7]. Table 3 below shows the jitter requirements for the drive beam for a 1 TeV ВΥ and a 3 TeV collider, assuming a  $2m\beta$  matching length in  $\bigcup$  each PWFA cell and  $L_p=1$  or 2m for the flat-top PWFA accelerating length. be used under the terms of

Table 3: LC PWFA Jitter Tolerance

Lp	<i>N</i> : Jitter Tolerance / $\sigma_{y,y'}$	
	E <sub>CM</sub> =1 TeV	E <sub>CM</sub> =3 TeV
1.0 m	4.6E-4	1.1E-4
2.0 m	3.8E-4	9.0E-5

# **"HBI" APPLICATION JITTER TOLERANCE**

Using the parameters shown in Table 4 below, the jitter requirements for a drive beam to produce an accelerated witness beam with  $<0.1\sigma$  jitter is given by N=0.02. For this application, there is in fact no incoming witness beam as the witness beam is born within the plasma channel. Here the part of the incoming witness beam is played by the source laser, for which the jitter requirements are not considered.

Table 4: HBI Application Parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Value
Witness energy	Ew	2.5 GeV
Witness emittance	$\gamma \epsilon^{w}_{x,y}$	0.05 µm-rad
PWFA flat-top length	Lp	10 cm
$\beta$ matching factor	M	900
Matching ramp length	L <sub>ramp</sub>	1.0 m
Drive energy	Ed	10 GeV
Drive emittance	$\gamma \epsilon^{d}_{x,y}$	5 μm-rad

# **"ENERGY DOUBLER" APPLICATION** JITTER TOLERANCE

An example application considered here is the doubling of the LCLS-II HE beam energy from 8 to 16 GeV using a 1m PWFA acceleration section with a 1m  $\beta$  ramp (M=500). The assumed witness normalized emittance is 0.1 µm-rad and drive beam energy is 10 GeV with 5 µm-rad normalized emittance. Given these parameters, the drive beam jitter tolerance requirement is N=0.008 to provide for a witness beam with  $< 0.1\sigma$  jitter at the FEL undulator section.

# CALCULATION OF EXPECTED DRIVE **BEAM ACCELERATOR JITTER**

The required relative beam jitter tolerance for the applications considered above range from 9E-5 to 0.02. To put this in context we consider a design for a real-world accelerator designed to generate electron pulses to drive a PWFA experimental program, FACET-II.

From this study, we conclude for a "warm" driver accelerator source the beam jitter in beam size units is  $0.7 \times 0.2\sigma$ for current technology (horizontal x vertical), and 0.4 x  $0.015\sigma$  using upgraded components; the horizontal is more sensitive due to coherent synchrotron radiation in the bunch compressors. More detail can be found in [8].

#### CONCLUSIONS

We put forward here a simple analytic expression for the transfer of jitter from a drive beam to the accelerated witness beam in a PWFA acceleration cell. This was verified by using a separate particle tracking model. Using this jitter transfer model, we evaluated the jitter requirements for a PWFA LC and two FEL driver applications. The required jitter tolerance on the drive beam were found to be in the range 9E-5 to 0.02. Expected jitter values were studied for an injector facility based on FACET-II. This was found to be too noisy by a factor of 170 to drive the PWFA LC and by a factor of >18 times in the horizontal to drive an FEL application even considering upgraded driver accelerator systems within currently understood technology limits.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Litos et. al., "High-efficiency acceleration of an electron beam in a plasma wakefield accelerator", Nature, vol. 515, Nov. 2014.
- [2] "FACET-II Technical Design Report", SLAC-R-1072.

MC3: Novel Particle Sources and Acceleration Techniques

**THPGW087** 3776

mav

this

from

Content

**A22 Plasma Wakefield Acceleration** 

- [3] Blumenfeld *et. al.*,"Energy doubling of 42 GeV electrons in a metre-scale plasma wakefield accelerator", *Nature*, vol. 445, no. 741, Feb. 2007.
- [4] A.Aschikhin et. al., "The FLASHForward facility at DESY", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 806, Nov. 2016, p. 175-183.
- [5] X. Xu et al., "Physics of Phase Space Matching for Staging Plasma and Traditional Accelerator Components Using Longitudinally Tailored Plasma Profiles", *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 116, p. 124801, 2016.
- [6] The Lucretia Project, http://www.slac.stanford.edu/ accel/ilc/codes/Lucretia/
- [7] J.-P. Delahaye et al., "A Beam Driven Plasma-wakefield Linear Collider from Higgs Factory to Multi-TeV", in Proc. 5th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'14), Dresden, Germany, Jun. 2014, pp. 3791-3793.

doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2014-THPRI013

[8] G. White, T.O. Raubenheimer, in preparation, 2019.