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## Abstract

We are looking for feasible and quantitative method to evaluate space charge induced beam loss in J-PARC MR. One possible way is space charge simulation and theory based on measured Twiss parameter. Twiss parameter measurement using turn-by-turn monitors is presented. Resonance strengths of lattice magnets and space charge force are estimated by the measured Twiss parameters. Emittance growth and beam loss under the resonance strengths are discussed.

## INTRODUCTION

Beam loss and emittance growth due to space charge force is caused by resonance excitation due to nonlinear components. We use one turn map to study nonlinear effects in circular accelerators $(\boldsymbol{x}(s+C)=\mathcal{M} \boldsymbol{x}(s))$. Nonlinear components in accelerators are nonlinear magnets such as sextupoles and octupoles, and space charge force. One turn map including the space charge force is expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(s)=\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{-: H_{I}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, s_{i}\right):} M\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{I}$ is generator of transformation of nonlinear element, magnets or space charge. where $M\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is a linear operator for transformation from $s_{i}$ to $s_{i+1}$. Note that operator product is done left to right different from matrix product.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}(s) & =\left[\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} M^{-1}\left(s_{i}, s\right) e^{-: H_{I}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, s_{i}\right):} M\left(s_{i}, s\right)\right] M(s) \\
& =\left[\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{-: H_{I}\left(M\left(s, s_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{x}, s_{i}\right):}\right] M(s) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $M(s)$ is linear operator for one revolution at $s$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}(s) \approx \exp \left[-: \oint H_{I}\left(M\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{x}, s^{\prime}\right) d s^{\prime}:\right] M(s) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In one turn map, nonlinear components can be integrated with transferring the variables to locations of nonlinear elements.

We now consider sextupole magnets,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{I}=\frac{K_{2}}{6}\left(x^{3}-3 x y^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$x$ is represented by variables at reference position $s$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(s^{\prime}\right)=\sqrt{2 J_{x} \beta_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right)} \cos \left(\theta_{x}+\phi_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right)+\eta_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \delta \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ is betatron phase difference from $s . y$ is represented by similar form with $x \rightarrow y$.

The integral is expressed by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\oint H_{I} d s^{\prime}=\frac{1}{6} G_{30} J_{x}^{3 / 2}+\frac{1}{2} G_{12} J_{x}^{1 / 2} J_{y}  \tag{6}\\
G_{30}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} d s^{\prime} K_{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \beta_{x}^{3 / 2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) e^{3 i \phi_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right)}  \tag{7}\\
G_{1 \pm 2}(s)= \\
\int_{0}^{s} d s^{\prime} K_{2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \beta_{x}^{1 / 2}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \beta_{y}\left(s^{\prime}\right) e^{i\left(\phi_{x}\left(s^{\prime}\right) \pm 2 \phi_{y}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right.}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lattice of J-PARC MR has three fold symmetry. We have to take into account the symmetery in one turn map. When the tune is slightly deviate from nonstructural resonance, Eq.(3) gives a finite nonlinear term even in perfect three hold symmetry is kept. Thus we have to consider map of $1 / 3$ revolution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{\frac{p}{3}}(s) \approx \exp \left[-: \int_{\frac{(p-1) L}{3}}^{\frac{p L}{3}} H_{I}\left(M\left(s, s^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{x}, s^{\prime}\right) d s^{\prime}:\right] M_{\frac{p}{3}}(s) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=1,2,3$.
J -PARC MR is operated at tune $\left(v_{x}, v_{y}\right)=(21.35,21.45)$. Considering negative tune shift due to the space charge force, (1) $3 v_{x}=64$, (2) $v_{x}+2 v_{y}=64$ and (3) $v_{x}-2 v_{y}=21$ are resonances closed to the tune operating point. (1) and (2) are nonstructural resonance, while (3) is structure differential resonance. Parameter list are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Parameter List of J-PARC MR

|  | injection | extraction |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Circumference $C(\mathrm{~m})$ | 1567 |  |  |
| Energy $E(\mathrm{GeV})$ | 3 | 30 |  |
| Bunch population | $3 \times 10^{13}$ |  |  |
| \# bunches/harmonics | $8 / 9$ |  |  |
| Emittance $\left(10^{-6}\right) \mathrm{m}$ | 50 | 5 |  |
| Tune $(\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y})$ | $21.35 / 21.45$ |  |  |

## MEASUREMENT OF BETATRON MOTION

Betatron motion is excited by kickers in the horizontal and/or vertical directions. Beam position is measured at

186 BPM's in horizontal and vertical turn-by-turn. The positions are stored in 230 turns. Betatron frequency is determined by Fourier Transformation of the turn-by-turn data. Betatron phase is determined by real/imaginary part of the Fourier coefficient at the frequency peak. Figure 1 shows an example of horizontal betatron phase (top) and beta function (bottom) along MR. The fluctuation of the phase is $\sigma_{\phi_{x}}=0.0028$ and 0.0025 for the two shots. Phases of two shots, red and blue lines, are plotted in the figure. The two lines do not coincide each other. Power supply for main magnets fluctuate with frequency of $100 \mathrm{~Hz}-100 \mathrm{kHz}$, that results in shot-by-shot tune fluctuation 0.005 . Therefore the phase difference between two shots seems to be real. Horizontal beta function in bottom is calculated by the phase using the three BPM method. The standard deviations from setting values, $\sigma_{\Delta \beta / \beta}$ are $3.3 \%$ and $3.7 \%$ for the two shots.


Figure 1: Measured horizontal betatron phase difference from a setting lattice and beta function evaluatd by the phase.

## RESONANCE STRENGTH

Resonance terms, $G_{30}, G_{1 \pm 2}$ are evaluated by Eq.(7) using measured beta/phase and sextupole strengths. Figure 2 shows real and imaginary parts of $G_{30}$ along MR. $G$ for the two shots are plotted with red(real), magenta(imag.) and blue(real), cyan(imag.) lines. $G_{30}$ jumps at sextupole location. The variation of $G_{30}$ reflects 3 fold symmetry of the ring. Phase advance of each $1 / 3$ ring is $v_{x}=21.35 / 3$. The $G_{30}$ is integrated with phase $3 \phi_{x}$. The phase $3 \phi_{x}$ advances by 21.35 for each $1 / 3$ ring. Space charge force defocus particles in the beam depending on the amplitude. The tune becomes $21 . \dot{3}$ at an amplitude. Phase variation in $G_{30}$ at the amplitude is $21 . \dot{3}$ for each $1 / 3$ ring. When $G_{30}$ 's for every $1 / 3$ ring are equal, integral of $G_{30}$ whole ring is cancelled. When tune is deviate from $21 . \dot{3}$, even $G_{30}$ 's for every $1 / 3$
ring are equal, integral of $G_{30}$ whole ring has finite value. Nonlinear component in one turn map in Eq.(2) does not give strength of resonances for MR with 3 fold symmetry. Nonlinear component of each $1 / 3$ ring is evaluated as shown in Fig. 3. Magenta points are given for design lattice. Three points have the same value $\left(G_{30}=0.1-0.37 i\right)$. Red and blue points are given for two measurements shown in Fig. 1. The same analysis was done for $G_{1+2}$ as shown in Fig. 4.


Figure 2: $G_{30}$ given by measured betatron phase.


Figure 3: $G_{30}$ for every $1 / 3$ ring.


Figure 4: $G_{1+2}$ for every $1 / 3$ ring given by measured betatron phase.

## BPM ERRORS

Beam position monitor has a reading error of $300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for turn-by-turn mode [1]. The error is transferred to betatron phase error. Monte Carlo simulation for the BPM error are performed for 5 samples of random seeds. The phase error
is $\sigma_{\phi}=0.0013$ and 0.0011 for horizontal and vertical. The phase error is $1 / 2$ of measurement: i.e. the measured phase error in Fig. 1 is meaningful error.

Figure 5 shows $G_{30}$ and $G_{1+2}$ for the samples. The spread of $G_{30}$ and $G_{12}$ is narrower than the measurement in Figs. 3 and 4 . When the reading error is $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}, G_{30}$ and $G_{12}$ distribute $1 / 3$ area; that is, the measurement error is negligible.


Figure 5: $G_{30}$ and $G_{1+2}$ given by Monte-Carlo simulation for BPM errors.

## EFFECTS OF THE RESONANCES

We discuss emittance growth for the measured resonance strengths. Nonlinearity in phase space is characterized by the resonance width. The resonance width [2] is measure of the growth rate.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta J_{x}=4 m_{x} \sqrt{\frac{U_{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\Lambda}} \quad \Delta J_{y}=4 m_{y} \sqrt{\frac{U_{\boldsymbol{m}}}{\Lambda}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is determined by nonlinear force/potential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda \equiv m_{x}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{x}}{\partial J_{x}}+m_{x} m_{y} \frac{\partial v_{x}}{\partial J_{y}}+\left.m_{y}^{2} \frac{\partial v_{y}}{\partial J_{y}}\right|_{\boldsymbol{J}=\boldsymbol{J}_{R}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{R}=\left(J_{x, R}, J_{y, R}\right)$ is betatron amplitude in which the resonance condition is satisfied. The nonlinear motion satisfied the following relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta J_{x}}{m_{x}}=\frac{\Delta J_{y}}{m_{y}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation shows that differential resonances are not serious compare with sum resonances.

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{30}=\left.\frac{G_{30}}{6} J_{x}^{3 / 2}\right|_{J_{x}=J_{x} R,} \quad U_{1+2}=\left.\frac{G_{1+2}}{2} J_{x}^{1 / 2} J_{y}\right|_{\boldsymbol{J}=\boldsymbol{J}_{R}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$
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