S Methods and Tools to Simulate and Analyze non-linear beam Dynamics in Electron Storage Rings





Laurent S. Nadolski



Synchrotron SOLEIL, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

105

90

75

60

45

30

15







- Challenging requirements for today and tomorrow synchrotron light sources
- Tools
 - Tracking codes for storage rings
 - Frequency Map Analysis (FMA) like analysis
 - Momentum aperture & Touschek life time

Optimization methods

- New optimization method via genetics algorithms
- Resonance Driving Terms (RDTs)
- Tuning multipole magnets: sextupole and higher order
- Perspectives

SOLEIL Requirements for light sources

Low emittance storage ring

- <u>Route to diffraction limit in H-plane</u>
- Complex lattices (TME, MBA lattices)
 - See Dr. Shimosaki's talks
- Damping wiggler

Storage ring	Energy (GeV)	H-emittance
Petra III	6 Gev	1 nm.rad
PEPX	4.5 GeV	0.1 nm.rad
NSLS II	3 GeV	0.6 nm.rad
MAX IV	3 GeV	< 0.3 nm.rad
Spring 8 upgrade	6 GeV	10 pm.rad
APS upgrade	7 GeV	15 pm.rad

- A **Dynamic** Accelerator:
- High ratio of straight sections to hosted tens of insertion devices
 - Apple II, III, Figure 8
 - SC, CRYO undulator/wiggler
 - Controlled polarization undulator
 - Fast switching of B-field (ms scale)

- High brilliance, flux
 - Diffracted limited in both planes

• Taylored filling pattern

- Short vs long bunches
- Low alpha lattice
- Multi-beam facility (MLS, SOLEIL)
 - M. Ries' s talk today

• Large on dynamics aperture (15-20mm)

- Waiting for on-axis injection

• Large momentum aperture (2-6%)

- Touschek lifetime
- Reduce beam losses
- Reduce activation
- Reduce running cost
- Top-up operation (MTBF 1 week)
- Ultra-low coupling operation

Figures of merit

How to get there?

- High horizontal phase advance
- Strong focusing lattices, low dispersion function
- Strong chromatic sextupoles
- Strong chromatic aberrations
- Many sextupoles
 - Families
 - Individually powered
- Higher multipoles
 - Octupole (Max IV)
 - Decapole (soon or later)

20 years after the first 3GLSs

- shift from simple lattice (ALS: 2 sextupole families, TBA based lattice) to highly complex and multi-parameter lattices
- Optimization of non-linear parameters
 - Amplitude/momentum tune dependence
 - Non-linear Twiss functions
 - Robust to IDs freely controlled by users



Tracking codes

- Long term tracking based on symplectic integrators
 - Implicit or explicit schemes
- Popularized by Ruth and Forest 1983-1990, use of Lie Algebra (Neri, 1988), Yoshida techniques (1990), Channel and Scovel (1990), Mclachlan (1995), Sanz-Serna (1998), Laskar integrators (2001)
 - Preserves energy, bounded errors,
 - Phase stability
 - Used by MADX/PTC, Tracy, OPA, LEGO, ELEGANT, etc.





What is included in the Model?

Tracy II & MADX/PTC track exact (SOLEIL case)

Systematic multipole errors

- Large momentum acceptance, large dispersion function → high order multipoles
- From magnetic measurements:
 - Add true m-poles (both systematic and non systematic)
 - Dipole: fringe field, gradient error, edge tilt errors
 - Quad.: <u>fringe field</u>
- Beam based

SYNCHROTRON

- Multipoles deduced from turn by turn measurement, off-axis field integrals
- Coupling errors
- Insertion devices
 - Taylor expansion
 - Radia kick maps
 - Sorting magnets: Genetics algo.

• Collective effects IPAC'11, September 5-9th, 2011



Laurent S. Nadolski

Turn by turn (TbT)data beam smearing

- **TbT BPM precision**: 10µm ~10 mA: limitative factor Frequency shift
- Algo. to precisely determine tune loose their precision *R. Bartolini et al. Part. Acc. 55, 247 (1995)*
- Lines excited by resonance of order (m+1) decohere m times faster than the tune line. *R. Thomas,* PHd Thesis (2003)
- Gain, coupling correction (LOCO based)







IPAC'11, September 5-9th, 2011

Laurent S. Nadolski

SULLEIL BPM signal reconstruction

R. Bartolini and J. Rowland, DLS internal note, AP-SR-REP-0171 (March 2010)



Numerical inversion of the map $(x,y) \rightarrow (A,B,C,D)$ given by the electrostatic model

Use (x, y) as fit parameter to reproduce the four buttons readings (A, B, C, D) 3 buttons are sufficient to converge to machine precision Courtesy of R. Bartolini



Frequency Map Analysis

Laskar A&A1988, Icarus1990 NATO-ASI 1996

Construction of frequency map

with high precision:
$$\frac{1}{\tau^4}$$
 for Hanning Filter

- Refined Fourier technique
- Fast convergence (reduce tracking time)
- Give a global view of the transverse dynamics in a 2D map
- Mapping between DA/tune space using diffusion index

Determination of tune diffusion vector

and construction of diffusion map

 $D|_{t=\tau} = \nu|_{t\in(0,\tau/2]} - \nu|_{t\in(\tau/2,\tau]}$

Does not provide a way to optimize

 Determination of resonance driving terms associated with amplitudes a_{j,k} Bengtsson PhD thesis CERN88-05

On-momentum Dynamics --Working point SOLEIL lattice (18.2,10.3)



Bare lattice (no errors)

SYNCHROTRON

WP sitting on Resonance node

> $v_x + 6v_z = 80$ $5v_x = 91$ $v_x - 4v_z = -23$ $2v_x + 2v_z = 57$

Frequency Map Analysis: ALS and BESSY-II

Qy

6.74

ALS linear lattice corrected to 0.5% rms β-beating

SYNCHROTRON

FM computed including residual β-beating and coupling errors



theoqd23.erg

A very accurate description of machine model is mandatory

- Fringe fields: dipole, quadrupole (and sextupole) magnets
- Systematic octupole components in quadrupole magnets
- Decapoles, skew decapoles and octupoles in sextupole magnets

Laurent S. Nadolski

BESSY-II with harmonic sextupole magnets, chromaticity, coupling

Qu

P. Kuske (BESSY-II)



The SOLEIL energy acceptance of the bare machine is large : +/- 4% Agreement of a few percents (a factor 2 common 10 year ago) SYNCHROTRON Complete optimized linear and non-linear model



IPAC'11, September 5-9th, 2011

Laurent S. Nadolski

TOUSCHEK LIFETIME LOCAL MOMENTUM ACCEPTANCE

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{T_{\frac{1}{2}}}} = \left(\frac{r_e^2 cN}{8\pi \gamma^3 \sigma_l}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{C\left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{acc}(s)}{\gamma \sigma_x(s)}\right)^2\right]}{\sigma_x(s)\sigma_z(s)\sigma_x(s)\varepsilon_{acc}^2(s)} ds$$

Local $\varepsilon_{acc}(s)$ is determined by:

SYNCHROTRON

 RF bucket momentum height (longitudinal energy acceptance)

aperture of the vacuum chamber, or by dynamic aperture (transverse) (if the induced amplitude after a Touschek scattering exceeds one of these two transverse limits).







Lattice optimization

GLASS – Global Analysis of All Stable Solutions

•Scan for optimum lattice solution for highly periodic lattices (few parameters)

D. Robin, et al., Physical Review Special Topics 024002 (2008)

•A billion of lattices scanned with 3 quadrupole and 2 sextupole families

After 1 day of computation, 1 million of stable solutions
Then compute main properties of these solutions to build up a large exhaustive database

•Solutions sorted by emittance values, tunes, DA sizes, momentum apertures but also brilliance

•Give a global view of the lattice, very practical

LEIL 13 areas of solutions identified counter intuitive and/or promising



7 Regions with low (<10 nmrad) emittances

Courtesy of D. Robin, NLBD workskop, Diamond 09

Laurent S. Nadolski



IPAC'11, September 5-9th, 2011

19



Multi-Objective Genetics Algorithms MOGA

- Exhaustive and global scanning is not possible in a finite for most 3GLSs
- Indeed large number of parameters (~10 families of quadrupoles, of sextupoles)
- Genetic algorithms are a very promising solution
 - 1. Based on direct tracking
 - 2. Open new optimization windows
 - 3. Give solutions never thought about
 - 4. Beam-based checked
- Work started since almost 10 years at APS and followed by over labs and starts to give nice and practical results
- APS (M. Borland et al), ALS, BNL, LSLN, TPS, ...



Merit functions

 NSLS II: Size of on (x> 15 mm) / off-momentum dynamics aperture (2-3 %)

 $f_1 = S(\delta = 0)$ $f_2 = S(\delta = -2.5\%) + S(\delta = 2.5\%),$

• Adding tune shift with amplitude: faster convergence

$$f_1 = \sum_{\delta} S(\delta, y = 1 \,\mu \mathrm{m})$$
$$f_2 = \left(\frac{\partial \nu_x}{\partial J_x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \nu_x}{\partial J_y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \nu_y}{\partial J_y}\right)^2,$$

- NSLSII: 3 harmonics + 6 chromatic sextupoles
- Tracking codes: Pelegant (APS), Tesla (BNL), ...
- Small number of turns for DA tracking (64-128)
- Then results post filtered using FMA to select best and robust solutions

S LEIL Maximal survival area in δ -x plane





Population of 6000, computation of 300 generation take less than a week on 96 Xeon 2.33 GHz CPU in a Sun Grid Engine cluster. L Yang, PRSPAB 14 054001 (2011)

Laurent S. Nadolski

Multiobjective optimization of dynamic aperture

Lingyun Yang, Yongjun Li, Weiming Guo, and Samuel Krinsky Photon Sciences Directorate, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA



SYNCHROTRON

Amazing results verified by experiments

- Multi-objective optimization is effective, pretty robust to errors and very promising
- Experiment results at APS (M. Borland et al., PAC09)
 - Optimization of operation model
 - 24-bunch filling pattern (chro. 6): lifetime improved by 25%
 - Hybrid mode (chro. 11): lifetime improved by 10%
 - Breaking the symmetry of the sextupole: Lifetime 25% better APS slightly than operational lattice as predicted with the same injection efficiency (90-100%)
 - Application to Diamond Light Source: 25 % lifetime improvement.



SYNCHROTRON



IPAC'11, September 5-9th, 2011

Laurent S. Nadolski

25

The "standard method" for sextupole optimization LEIL J. Bengtsson, The sextupole scheme for the SLS: an analytic approach, Internal report SLS-TME-TA-1997-12

a) get the sextupole [+quadrupole] Hamiltonian:

 $\Rightarrow \int_{\text{cell}} [H_2(s) + H_3(s)] \, ds = \sum h_{jklmp} \text{ with}$

$$h_{jklmp} \propto \sum_{n}^{N_{\text{sext}}} (b_3 L)_n \beta_{xn}^{\frac{j+k}{2}} \beta_{yn}^{\frac{l+m}{2}} D_n^p e^{i\{(j-k)\phi_{xn} + (l-m)\phi_{yn}\}} \\ - \left[\sum_{n}^{N_{\text{quad}}} (b_2 L)_n \beta_{xn}^{\frac{j+k}{2}} \beta_{yn}^{\frac{l+m}{2}} e^{i\{(j-k)\phi_{xn} + (l-m)\phi_{yn}\}}\right]_{p \neq 0}$$

$$h = \sum_{n}^{N_{\text{sext}}} V_n e^{i\Phi_n} \ [+\dots \text{ quads for } p \neq 0 \dots]$$

Sextupole_n \leftrightarrow complex vector: Length $V_n = V_n (b_3, L, \beta_x, \beta_y, D)$ Angle $\Phi_n = \Phi_n (\phi_x + \phi_y)$

- $\Phi_n = 0 \ \forall \ n \rightarrow$ tune shifts
- $\Phi_n \neq 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{resonances}$



b) ... 9 first order sextupole terms: adjust 2 real, suppress 7 complex...

First order sextupole [+quadrupole] Hamiltonian

• 2 phase independant terms \rightarrow chromaticities:

$$\begin{split} h_{11001} &= +J_x \delta \left[\sum_{n}^{N_{sext}} (2b_3 L)_n \beta_{xn} D_n - \sum_{n}^{N_{quad}} (b_2 L)_n \beta_{xn} \right] &\to \xi_x \\ h_{00111} &= -J_y \delta \left[\sum_{n}^{N_{sext}} (2b_3 L)_n \beta_{yn} D_n - \sum_{n}^{N_{quad}} (b_2 L)_n \beta_{yn} \right] &\to \xi_y \end{split}$$

• 7 phase dependant terms \rightarrow resonances: $h^N := h$ for N cells, $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$|h_{jklmp}^{\infty}| = \frac{|h_{jklmp}|}{2 \sin \pi [a_x Q_x^{cell} + a_y Q_y^{cell}]} \qquad a_x = (j - k) \quad a_y = (l - m)$$

$$h_{21000} = h_{12000}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_x$$

$$h_{30000} = h_{03000}^* \longrightarrow 3 Q_x$$

$$h_{10110} = h_{01110}^* \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_x$$

$$h_{10200} = h_{01020}^* \longrightarrow Q_x + 2 Q_y$$

$$h_{10020} = h_{01200}^* \longrightarrow Q_x - 2 Q_y$$

$$h_{20001} = h_{02001}^* \longrightarrow 2 Q_x$$

$$h_{00201} = h_{00021}^* \longrightarrow 2 Q_y$$

$$\Rightarrow d\beta/d\delta \ (\delta = \Delta E/E)$$

e) ... still not the end: 13 more terms in 2nd order: 5 real, 8 complex (Pandora's box has a false bottom!)

Second order sextupole [+first order octupole] Hamiltonian

 $\sum_{n} \sum_{m} (b_3 L)_n (b_3 L)_m \times (\beta_n, \phi_n \beta_m, \phi_m \ldots) + \left[\sum_{q} (b_4 L)_q \times (\beta_q, \phi_q \ldots) \right]$

 \bullet 3 phase independant terms \rightarrow amplitude dependant tune shifts:

$$\frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial J_x} \quad \frac{\partial Q_x}{\partial J_y} = \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial J_x} \quad \frac{\partial Q_y}{\partial J_y}$$

• 2 phase independant off-momentum terms \rightarrow second order chromaticities:

$$\xi_{x/y}^{(2)} = \frac{\partial^2 Q_{x/y}}{\partial \delta^2}$$

• 8 phase dependant terms

 \rightarrow octupolar resonances:

$$\begin{array}{ll} h_{40000} \rightarrow 4Q_x & h_{31000} \rightarrow 2Q_x \\ h_{00400} \rightarrow 4Q_y & h_{20110} \rightarrow 2Q_x \\ h_{20200} \rightarrow 2Q_x + 2Q_y & h_{00310} \rightarrow 2Q_y \\ h_{20020} \rightarrow 2Q_x - 2Q_y & h_{01110} \rightarrow 2Q_y \end{array}$$



Versatile Sextupoles

all 120 sextupoles were delivered with H&V corrector coils \Rightarrow make skew quadrupoles and auxiliary sextupoles

120 sextupoles in 9 families: SF(24), SD(24), SE(24) \rightarrow chromaticities SSA(12), SSB(12), SMA(6), SMB(6), SLA(6), $SLB(6) \rightarrow D.A.$ SD, SE, S*B: 72 H&V correctors \rightarrow orbit correction

- S*A: 24 skew quads $(\eta=0) \rightarrow$ betatron coupling

SF: 12 skew quads $(\eta > 0) \rightarrow$ vertical dispersion **12** auxiliary sextupoles \rightarrow resonance suppression







f) Tool for sextupole optimization (OPA)

Analytical						
expressions						
for 1 st and 2 nd						
Hamiltonian						
modes.						
(J.Bengtsson)						

SYNCHROTRON

S

Numeric differentiatio for 1st,2nd,3rd chromaticity

 $S(b_3 l)^2$ included in minimization

	🙀 Chroma										
		Target	Value			Weight	i	nc 🕹	Name	K [1 <i>]</i> m2]	loc
	CrX lin	5.00	4.90		_	0.0	+	•	SD	<u><</u> -4.978	> >> res off 🔽
nd	Cr¥ lin	5.00	5.06		_	0.0	+		SE	<< < −2.002	> >> res off
	Qx	H21000	29.92			7.0	+	~	SF	< < 4.652	> >> res off 🗸
	3Qx	Н30000	5.57		—	7.0	+		SLA	<	> >> res off
	Qx	H10110	28.12		_	7.0	+	Г	SLB	<u>र</u> ब द्र 2, 860	>>> res off
	Qx-2Qy	H10020	1.81		_	7.0	+		SMA		
n)	Qx+2Qy	H10200	8.00		_	7.0	+		SMB		
	2Qx	H20001	29.32		_	2.0	<u>+</u> F		CC7	<u>s</u>	
	2Qy	H00201	47.11			2.0	<u>+</u>		DOM	<<<<><<	>>> res off
	Crx sqr	0.00	-151.62		<u> </u>	4.0	± !	 	SSB	<< < 4.212	> >> res off
	Cri sqr	0.00	78.07			5.0	<u>+</u>	K.n ≂	nax +/- 15.0	delta K 0.200	
n	dOvn nv	0.00	-1321.32			9.0	± !	× 🗖			
	dOun	0.00	-627 70			9.0	<u>+</u>			. ,	
ł	20 x	H31000	1504.35			8.U 2.0	± 	-			
	40x	H40000	2196.30			3.0	피	× .			
	20x	H20110	4036.61			3.0					
	2Qy	H11200	8725.54			4.0	÷				
ı	2Qx-2Qy	H20020	32673.46			3.0	+				
	2Qx+2Qy	H20200	10592.53			3.0	+				
	2Qy	H00310	1065.68			3.0	+				
	4Qy	H00400	3493.41		_	3.0	+				
	CrX cub	1000.00	222.40		_	3.0	+			$\gamma = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$	
	CrY cub	-1000.00	209.09		_	6.0	+				
	Sum(b3L))^2/1e3	0.06		_	7.0	+	(select	Minimizer initial step 0.250)
	1 perio	ds Scaling [mm m	irad, %]: 2Jx 30	2Jy 10	dp/p 3	[Res] x10	^ 4		Start 1	63E+02 Exit	
									ec	pürtésy of A.	Streun

lock



Comparison real lattice to model IL linear and nonlinear optics

Frequency Maps and amplitudes and phases of the spectral line of the betatron motion can be used to compare and correct the real accelerator with the model



Combining the complementary information from FM and spectral line should allow the calibration of the nonlinear model and a full control of the nonlinear resonances IPAC11, September 5-9th, 2011 Laurent S. Nadolski Courtesy of R. Bartolini

Superior Analysis of betatron motion

Example: Spectral Lines for tracking data for the Diamond lattice



Spectral Lines detected with <u>SUSSIX</u> (NAFF algorithm)

e.g. in the horizontal plane:

- (1, 0) 1.10 10⁻³ horizontal tune
- (0, 2) 1.04 10⁻⁶ Q_x + 2 Q_z
- (-3, 0) 2.21 10⁻⁷ 4 Q_x
- (-1, 2) 1.31 10⁻⁷ 2 Q_x + 2 Q_z
- (-2, 0) 9.90 10⁻⁸ 3 Q_x
- (-1, 4) 2.08 10⁻⁸ 2 Q_x + 4 Q_z

Each spectral line can be associated to a resonance driving term

J. Bengtsson (1988): CERN 88–04, (1988). R. Bartolini, F. Schmidt (1998), Part. Acc., **59**, 93, (1998). R. Tomas, PhD Thesis (2003) **Courtesy of R. Bartolini**



Least Square Fit of the sextupole gradients to minimize the distance χ^2 of the two Fourier coefficients vectors

Courtesy of R. Bartolini

Simultaneous fit of (-2,0) in H and (1,-1) in V

S

SYNCHROTRON





Conclusion (1)

- Tracking codes trustable, long term tracking, parallelized
- Agreement between model and experience: tune shift with amplitude, DA, non-linear chromaticities are good providing a good linear and non-linear model
- Model efficiently used for predicting performance, impact of IDs using turn by turn data
- FMA like techniques give a global view of dynamics
 Shift from 1D to 2D view
- Promising use RDTs based on TbT data (coupling correction¹, Non-linear LOCO like): will benefit from higher resolution TbT data
- MOGA enables us to optimize on/off dynamics aperture, use DAs, FMAs, RDTs in merit functions.
 - Shift from sequential to simultaneous

¹ talk by A. Franchi this afternoon



Conclusions (2)

3 GLSs run top-up operation mode

- MTFB >7 days
- High injection efficiency (low beam loss rate, green technology, accelerators ...)

• Preserving high performances

- Need to monitor linear and non-linear dynamics (Twiss functions, RDTs, Tunes, Chromaticities, ..)
- Soon ~100 pm RMS beam stability
- Strong need for beam-based measurement
 - Beam based alignment, girder based alignment
 - Multi-pole beam based correction + Feedback syst.
 - Beam-based coupling correction + feedback syst.
 - •

Development of on-line continuous tools to measure beta-beats, chromaticity evolutions, local coupling, performance degradation but without perturbing the user experiments



Perspectives

- Pushing high performance diagnostics
 - TbT BPM performance, imaging, emittance measurement, ...
- Pushing ID optimization
- Wanted features
 - To what extent can beam-based measurement replace magnetic measurements ?
 - RDT measurement A. Franchi, R. Thomas, F. Schmidt, PRSTAB 10, 074001 (2007)
 - SOLEIL: strong limitation for probing off-momentum dynamics (δ-x maps). Large RF frequency shifts make aging the cold tuning system of the superconductive cavities
 - A special cavity for kicking the beam energy over one turn would be very valuable

SULEIL Conclusions and Perspectives

Over the years

New Techniques have come out

New tools have emerged

Mass computing has become affordable New way of optimization

Open new horizon windows

Push limits of Accelerators

Makes us confident for the design and operation of ever brighter light sources, diffracted limited in H&V planes

SULEIL

Acknowledgments

- M. Borland and L. Emery (APS, USA)
- P. Kuske (HZB/Bessy II, Germany)
- X. Huang , Y. Cai, J. Safranek (SLAC, USA)
- C. Steier, D. Robin (ALS, USA)
- L. Yang (BNL, USA)
- K. Sotome (SPring8, Japan)
- S. C. Leemann, MAX-lab, Sweden
- A. Streun (PSI, Switzerland)
- R. Bartolini (Diamond/John Adams Institute, UK)
- A. Franchi (ESRF, France)
- R. Thomas, Y. Papaphillipou (CERN, Switzerland)
- A. Kling (Petra III, Germany)
- M. S. Chiu (TPS, Taiwan)
- SOLEIL Accelerator Physics Group

Mapped IDs à la ESRF

- Nonlinear maps of IDs are generated using the 3D RADIA code.
- To be read in a tracking code.
- BETA-ESRF does not take into account chromatic terms due to the edges of bending magnet.
- BETA-SOLEIL and TRACYII have been modified in order to read the IDs maps.
- Very good agreement between the two codes for ON and OFF momentum dynamic apertures.

The angular kicks experienced by the

particle are derived from the function:

$$\phi(x,z,s) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{s} B_{x} ds'\right)^{2} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{s} B_{z} ds'\right)^{2}$$

fis integrated over 1 period resulting in a potential function U:

$$U(x,z) = \int_{1 \text{ period}} \phi(x,z,s) \, ds$$

• The angular kick experienced by a particle over the undulator period is:

$$\Delta x' = -\frac{1}{2(p/e)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(x,z)$$
$$\Delta z' = -\frac{1}{2(p/e)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(x,z)$$

P. Elleaume, EPAC'92



ID building strategy

Tolerances

$$\int B_x ds = \int B_z ds = \pm 20 \ G.cm$$

$$\iint B_x ds ds' = \iint B_z ds ds' = \pm 1 G.m^2$$

- A 3 step-process using ID builder (O. Chubar)
 - 1. Assembly: Module sorting according to magnetic measurements
 - \rightarrow Minimization of first and second integrals
 - 2. Shimming: using a merit function
 - ightarrow Minimization at different gap and phase values with weight factor
 - i. On axis first & second integral (angle & position) in H & V plane
 - ii. Skew and normal gradient for new IDs
 - iii. Phase error < 0.2°
 - 3. Magic fingers (different gap and phase values with weight factor)
 - \rightarrow Reduction of high field integral for large transverse amplitudes
- Expected or unexpected effects depending on gap, phase, current values
 - Orbit distortion (Feedforward)
 - Tune, chromaticity, coupling variations
 - ✤ Injection efficiency, lifetime variation (non-linearities, ...)

IDbuilder

O.Rudenko and O.Chubar, Proc. of 9th Int. Conf. on PPSN IX, p.362 (2006)



Variation Operators for Permutations:



Advantages : object function, arbitrary search space, search from ap population, mutation and

SYNCHROTRON

Multi-Objective Genetic Algo.

- 1: Initialize population.
- 2: repeat
- 3: crossover: $2 \rightarrow 2$
- 4: mutation: change children.
- 5: calculate obj. func. f_m
- 6: natural selection: "sorting"
- 7: **until** should stop
- **1** Initialize: the very first generation (random).
- **2 Crossover**: generate children from parents.
- **3 Mutation**: change the children slightly.
- A Natural selection: keep population fixed from generation to generation.

Courtesy of L Yang

Mapped IDs à la ESRF

- Nonlinear maps of IDs are generated using the 3D RADIA code.
- To be read in a tracking code.
- BETA-ESRF does not take into account chromatic terms due to the edges of bending magnet.
- BETA-SOLEIL and TRACYII have been modified in order to read the IDs maps.
- Very good agreement between the two codes for ON and OFF momentum dynamic apertures.

The angular kicks experienced by the

particle are derived from the function:

$$\phi(x,z,s) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{s} B_{x} ds'\right)^{2} + \left(\int_{-\infty}^{s} B_{z} ds'\right)^{2}$$

fis integrated over 1 period resulting in a potential function U:

$$U(x,z) = \int_{1 \text{ period}} \phi(x,z,s) \, ds$$

• The angular kick experienced by a particle over the undulator period is:

$$\Delta x' = -\frac{1}{2(p/e)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}(x,z)$$
$$\Delta z' = -\frac{1}{2(p/e)^2} \frac{\partial U}{\partial z}(x,z)$$

P. Elleaume, EPAC'92



ID building strategy

Tolerances

$$\int B_x ds = \int B_z ds = \pm 20 \ G.cm$$

$$\iint B_x ds ds' = \iint B_z ds ds' = \pm 1 G.m^2$$

- A 3 step-process using ID builder (O. Chubar)
 - 1. Assembly: Module sorting according to magnetic measurements
 - \rightarrow Minimization of first and second integrals
 - 2. Shimming: using a merit function
 - \rightarrow Minimization at different gap and phase values with weight factor
 - i. On axis first & second integral (angle & position) in H & V plane
 - ii. Skew and normal gradient for new IDs
 - iii. Phase error < 0.2°
 - 3. Magic fingers (different gap and phase values with weight factor)
 - \rightarrow Reduction of high field integral for large transverse amplitudes
- Expected or unexpected effects depending on gap, phase, current values
 - Orbit distortion (Feedforward)
 - Tune, chromaticity, coupling variations
 - ✤ Injection efficiency, lifetime variation (non-linearities, ...)



ID builder

O.Rudenko and O.Chubar, Proc. of 9th Int. Conf. on PPSN IX, p.362 (2006)



Variation Operators for Permutations:

Mutation : - e.g. swap items (magnets) at two randomly chosen positions - [54817263]

```
Crossover: - e.g. «order l» - [12345678]
[35681274] \implies [???4567?] \implies
```

Advantages : object function, arbitrary search space, search from ap population, mutation and