

EPICS Archiver Appliance – Installation and Use at BESSY/HZB

Switching from Channel Archiver to the Archiver Appliance after 20 years of successful use. Thomas Birke – Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH

ABSTRACT: The EPICS Archiver Appliance went into operation at HZB/BESSY in April 2018. After running for a year as an optional new archiver, the Archiver Appliance switched places with the old Channel Archiver and is now the central productive archiver in currently three installations at HZB. To provide a smooth transition from the Channel Archiver to the EPICS Archiver Appliance for end users as well as applications, some frontends like e.g. the ArchiveViewer and other applications needed some modifications to be fully usable. New retrieval frontends are also provided and will replace the ArchiveViewer in the future. In addition the versatile retrieval API rapidly improved the development of Python applications for analysis and optimization.

MOTIVATION

At the beginning of user operation at BESSY-II back in 1998, the Channel Archiver was the best choice to archive control system data in an EPICS based control system environment. This setup has been a reliable workhorse for many years and users gladly accepted the ease of use of the ArchiveViewer to access data from anywhere in the world, launching it using JavaWebStart from the central BESSY/HZB Archiver Web page.

With the amount of data growing over the years, managing the size-limited archive index files of 30 TB of archived data became a serious issue for administrators as well as for users.

In 2015, M. Shankar released a work-in-progress version of the EPICS Archiver Appliance [1]. Even this early implementation solved many problems showing up with the Channel Archiver . A first instance has been setup to archive a few high-volume PVs for about three months sliding window. It has been used since then mostly for post-mortem analysis of data that couldn't be archived with the main Channel Archiver due to insufficient hardware resources.

CURRENT INSTALLATION @ HZB

Running instances of Archiver Appliance

Instance	# of PVs	GB/year	comment							
FASTZC	612	32200	High volume PVs, only 3 months kept							
BESSY	66658	5900								
bERLinPro	1408	50	Rapidly increasing [2]							
MLS	13515	560	Planned for beginning of 2020							

EPICS Fast Archiver - Short Term at HZB/BESSY																				
Home Reports M	iances Integra			He	lp -															
Instance Name Status PV Count Connected Event Rate Data Rate (GB/day) Instance Name Status PV Count Connected Event Rate Data Rate (GB/day)					ay) 🔶	EPICS Archiver Appliance at HZB/BESSY						Help								
Tastarch	rioning	0.12		212.00	00.11				<u> </u>				1							
Here are the some detailed metrics of the appliance fastarch						🔍 25 🔹 🚧 🌩 Page 1 of 1 🌩 🖶 😂						EPICS Archiver Appliance at HZB/BERLinPro								
Attribute			Detail			Instance Name	Status	PV Count	Connected	Event Rate 🔶	Data Rate (GB/day)									
Appliance Identity fastarch					archappl1 직	Working	66658	66262	5,893.39	14.87	Ho	ome Reports	ation							
Total PV count 612				6 H																
Disconnected PV count 17					Here are the some	letailed met	trics of the appli	ance archappl1			🔍 25 v 🎽 🗰 Page 1 of 1 🗮 🗮 🚭									
Connected PV count 595				Attributo				Dotail									ا ا			
Paused PV count 0					Anniance Identity							Instance Name	Status	PV Count	Connected	Event Rate		.ay) 🚽		
Total channels 2920					Total PV count							aaplbpro1 🌂	Working	1408	1384	11.23	0.13			
Approx pending jobs in engine queue			1		Disconnected PV count				396											
Event Rate (in events/sec) 21.			212.09	04		Connected PV cou	Connected PV count					Here are the some detailed metrics of the appliance aaplbpro1								
Data Rate (in bytes/sec)			1,096,310.	01	Paused PV count				0			Attribute					Detail			
Data Rate in (GB/uast)			32 109 93			Total channels				275380			Appliance Identity					aaplbpro1		
Time consumed for writing samplehuffers to STS (in secs)			0.04			Approx pending jobs in engine queue				1			Total PV count						7	
Benchmark - writing at (events/sec)			47 933 01		Event Rate (in events/sec)				5,893.39			Disconnected PV count				24				
Benchmark - writing at (MB/sec) 235			235.62			Data Rate (in bytes/sec)				184,802.91			Connected PV count				1384			
PVs pending computation of meta info				1	Data Rate in (GB/day)							Paused PV count								
Total number of reference counted channels 2891				Data Rate in (GB/year)				5,427.7			Total channels									
Total number of CAJ channels 2891		1	Time consumed for writing samplebuffers to STS (in secs)				0.69			Approx pending jobs in engine queue										
Channels with pending search requests 17 of 2891				Benchmark - writing at (events/sec)				85,306.51			Event Rate (in events/sec)									
Total number of ETL(0»1) runs so far 979					Benchmark - writing at (MB/sec) 2.5							Data Rate (in bytes/sec)								

Automatized web requests

Over the years using the ChannelArchiver, several specialized web-based views have evolved. Some of these applications still have to be adapted to use the Archiver Appliance instead of the Channel Archiver as a data

providing backend.



Samples of web-based applications. Above: Overview of vacuum system status. Below: Showing a shift-overview of the main PVs to assess the overall performance during the previous operator shift.

cuum History BESSY-II

From day one on, scientists and engineers very much appreciated the ease of access to archived data from analysis applications written in python.

USER'S FAVOURITE



The **ArchiveViewer** – originally written by S. Chevtsov for the Channel Archiver – is still the favorite browser for archived data amongst users at HZB and hence needs to be maintained until an accepted replacement has been established!



Screenshots: Metrics screens of all running instances

Growth of Archived Data Volume 2006 – 2018



Over the past 12 years, the per year volume of archived data in the main archiver has grown to almost **6TB/year**.

New Applications using Archiver Data





SHORT TERM PLAN

- Problems with backup-system! Choice of **PARTITION YEAR** for LTS granularity causes >60000 files to change every day almost breaks daily incremental backups! Switch to **PARTITION MONTH** is imminent.
- Still work to do adapting all client scripts and applications to abandon Channel Archiver and completely switch to Archiver Appliance.
- Provide accepted alternative to ArchiveViewer.

CONCLUSION

- Overall a **full success** and a giant **leap forward** compared to Channel Archiver.
- Help from Murali Shankar (SLAC) in

It has been adapted by M. Shankar to optionally also retrieve data from the EPICS Archiver Appliance. A few local changes have been made to fix e.g. display of waveforms or to do proper data-reduction the way ArchiveViewer expects it.

Simplicity of using the ArchiveViewer resides in the installation-free start-mechanism JavaWebStart, that unfortunately has been discontinued.

Example plots created using **jupyter notebooks** analyzing machine performance.

Offline and Post Mortem Data-Analysis and the use of archiver data for **Machine Learning** in python have

vastly improved.

Machine Learning: Deep Reinforcement *Learning* – pre-training with historical data [3].

KEY REFERENCES

- (1) The EPICS Archiver Appliance, https://slacmshankar.github.io/epicsarchiver_docs/, Murali Shankar, SLAC, CA, USA.
- (2) Status of the Control System for the Energy Recovery Linac bERLinPro at HZB, Thomas Birke et al., Pres. at this conference: FRAPP06.
- (3) Adding Machine Learning to the Analysis and Optimization Toolsets at the Light **Source BESSY II**, Luis Vera Ramirez et al., *Pres. at this conference: TUCPL01.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The successful installation and setup of the several instances of the EPICS Archiver Appliance would not have been possible without the help of my colleagues Dennis Engel, Frederik Hammerschmidt, Stine Heise, Markus Ries, Andreas Schälicke, Peter Stange, Luis Vera Ramirez and all the users reporting inconsistencies and problems in our setup as well as in the software. Last but not least, a big thank you goes to Murali Shankar@SLAC for his patient assistance, fruitful help and tireless dedication.

understanding and fixing problems was very instructive and very much appreciated.

- Instant advantages gladly accepted by users:
 - **response time** of queries improved,
 - **no more split archives** due to limited index size (no need to pick the "right one"),
 - data-retrieval and -analysis with python applications increased by a magnitude.
- Maintenance effort has vastly decreased.

MORE INFORMATION



Thomas Birke

thomas.birke@helmholtz-berlin.de Fon: +49 30 8062-1-4934 www.helmholtz-berlin.de

