USING LAG COMPENSATOR IN ORBIT FEEDBACK*

Igor Pinayev†, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA

Abstract

Growing demand on the beam orbit stability requires higher loop gain within the operational bandwidth. Increasing the gain leads to the increase of the unity gain frequency and creates problems with systems stability due to the additional phase shifts caused by the trims (power supplies, eddy currents in vacuum chambers, etc.) and filtering of beam position data. Conventionally employed systems have 20 dB/decade slope near the unity gain providing 90° phase shift which is sufficient for stability. Utilizing one or more lag compensators allows to increase the gain at low frequencies while keeping phase margin acceptable. The paper provides more details on the proposed solution as well as simulations of how the transients will be modified.

INTRODUCTION

Rejection of the disturbance in the linear system feedback is proportional to the gain of the open loop. If the open loop gain is described by a transfer function G(s) the suppression of the disturbance is given by formula:

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{1+G(s)} \quad (1)$$

Increase of the slope to 40 dB/decade by adding additional pole into the signal chain increases the phase shift to 180° and makes system unstable. Increase of the implemented gain is limited by the requirement of the system stability. The phase shift caused by different elements of orbit feedback (trims with power supplies [1], eddy currents in vacuum chamber [1], filters implemented in the beam position monitors [2], latency in the signal propagation chain [3]) can turn negative feedback into positive one and make system unstable. Typically, the transfer function of the orbit feedback system is described by few poles. The lowest frequency pole is user defined and might be at zero frequency if integrator is utilized. The second pole is defined by hardware and is the main limiting factor in the system bandwidth. Therefore, at high frequencies the loop gain is inversely proportional to the frequency

$$|G(f)| \cong \frac{f_c}{f} \quad (2)$$

where f_c is crossover frequency where gain is unity. Since the slope of the Bode plot is usually fixed (-20 dB/decade for single pole), increase in suppression of the high-frequency disturbances requires increase of the crossover frequency.

LAG COMPENASTOR

Usage of lag compensation provides higher low-frequency gain [4]. The transfer function of lag compensator is

$$D(s) = \alpha \frac{Ts+1}{\alpha Ts+1}, \ \alpha > 1 \quad (3)$$

where α is the ratio between zero/pole breakpoint frequencies and T is time constant. At DC the lag compensator provides additional gain α > 1. But it also creates negative phase shift. For α=10 phase shift is almost 60° and can create a long ringing response or even cause instability. This drawback can be overcome by using more compensators with different time constants. This will increase the slope of the Bode diagram above 20 dB/decade but keep phase margin acceptable as it can be seen in Fig. 1.


Figure 1: Bode plot of the open loop with two and three lag compensators. Total gain increase is the same but maximal phase shift different for three-stage it is 40° and for two-stage it is 50°.

IMPLEMENTATION IN ORBIT FEEDBACK

Figure 2 shows implementation of stages lag compensator the single input – single output (SISO) system with integrator in error amplifier and single pole at 800 Hz. Three stages providing 20 dB gain at DC were used. Figure 3 shows noise suppression and phase of the residuals.

12ᵗʰ Int. Beam Instrum. Conf.

ISBN: 978-3-95450-236-3

IBIC2023, Saskatoon, Canada

ISSN: 2673-5350

JACoW Publishing

doi:10.18429/JACoW-IBIC2023-MOP043

Figure 2: Example of the open loop gain with integrator and a pole at 800 Hz. Additional gain at low frequencies is about 20 dB, the phase margin is about 60° with and without compensator. Dots correspond to the digital system with 10 kHz sampling rate.


Figure 3: Noise suppression plot for closed loop.

While the stability of the system does not change much with introduction of the lag compensator the transient response changes noticeably. Figure 4 shows that the overshoot increases from 2% to 16 % for system with lag compensator and longer tail appears.


Figure 4: Transient response to the disturbance of the feedback system.

CONCLUSION

Implementation of the lag compensator allows to increase the suppression of the low-frequency disturbances without increase of the feedback bandwidth. Such solution does not change system stability but affect slightly transient dynamics.

REFERENCES

[1] N. Hubert, L. Cassinari, J.-C. Denard, A. Nadji, L. S. N. Nadolski, and D. Pedeau, "Design of a Fast Orbit Feedback for SOLEIL", in *Proc. DIPAC'05*, Lyon, France, Jun. 2005, paper POT019.

[2] E. Plouviez, "Optimizing the BPM processor filter for the fast orbit correction", presented in 6th DEELS Workshop, ESRF, Jun. 2019.

[3] D. Bulfone, R. De Monte, V. Forchi, G. Gaio, and M. Lonza, "Design of a Fast Global Orbit Feedback System for the ELETTRA Storage Ring", in Proc. ICALEPCS'05, Geneva, Switzerland, Oct. 2005, paper P3_011.

[4] G.F. Franklin, J.D. Powell, and A. Emami-Naeini, *Feedback Control of Dynamic Systems*, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2006.