TUBLA01

Beam based calibration for beam position monitor

15-SEP-2015 IBIC2015 Melbourne M. Tejima, KEK

Contents

- Calibration at the beginning
 - Including mapping of BPM head, alignment, and gain calibration of electric circuit.
- Beam based alignment
 - BBA in next year beam commissioning of KEKB.
- Beam based gain calibration
 - Gain calibration of BPM in the KEKB
 - We have done BBGC in every 2-3 month since 2003.
 - New method for gain calibration of BPM in the J-PARC
 - Total least squares method is applied in J-PARC
- High-precision BPM system
 - Monitoring the consistency error and applying the beam-based gain calibration

Calibration of BPM

Systematic errors in BPM

- Setting error of BPM to Q-mag. Measurement of $\Delta x = ?$, $\Delta y = ?$ Offset error
- Imbalance error in 4-outputs Relation among V₁, V₂, V₃, V₄ when the beam locates in the center

Gain error

the output signals travel through 4 separate cables, connectors, attenuators, and switches

BPM model



STEP 1, Mapping of BPM head

All BPMs were mapped at a test bench with a movable antenna .

Test bench for the mapping



result for mapping







STEP 2, Alignment of BPM heads against to the Q-magnets

Measurement of the mechanical offsets of the BPM heads to the Q-magnets.

Photograph of Measurement tool



Results of alignment of BPM heads against to the reference plane of the Q-Magnets



STEP-3, Gain calibration of the electronics

Attenuation of cable, switch, electronics, etc.

We measured the distribution of signal attenuation of the all electronics We used a dummy head instead of BPM heads.

Results for ration between output signal B,C and D against to A in all electronics



Output signals: A, B, C, D



Beam based calibration

Beam Based Alignment

 Measurement of the offset of BPM to the field center of the adjacent Q-magnet using the beam.

Beam Based Gain Calibration

• Calibration of the gain imbalance among four outputs of a BPM using the beam.

Beam based alignment(BBA) - Principal -

- BBA is searching the a beam QM_m orbit which is insensitive to the change of field strength of Q-magnet.
- This orbit must passing through the magnetic center of Q-magnet.
- The measured beam position for this orbit is corresponding to offset origin of BPM.



$$\Delta Xm = 0$$
 then δxm offset

Actual procedure for BBA



The orbital change due to ΔI_Q can be monitored not only the BPM but by any other BPMs in the ring.

Distribution of offsets with BBA in J-PARC MR



Distributions of offsets with BBA In KEKB

OFFSET by BBA @LER



After gain correction, offset by BBA @ LER



Offset by BBA @HER



After gain correction, offset by BBA @ HER



The BPM offsets measured by BBA were set in the data base for BPM system

The effect of BBA correction in J-Parc MR



COD without / with the BBA offset data (red / blue lines)

The effect of BBA correction in KEKB MR



before BBA



after BBA

Beam Based Gain Calibration BPM with four button

- The relative gain of the output data may drift due to unpredictable imbalance among output signals from the pickup electrodes.
- The output signals(Vi) must travel through separate paths, such as feed-through, cables, connectors, attenuators, switches, and then are measured by the signal detectors.
- The most probable source of the gain drift is the change in the electrical characteristics of the transmission line of the signal by temperature fluctuation.
- Such as reason, the calibration of the gains of every BPMs are need.

Gain conception of BPM model



The output voltage model (V_i) for beam based calibration

$$V_i = qg_i F_i(x, y)$$

- *i-th* electrode (*i*=1,2,3,4)
- (*x*,*y*): beam position
- q: proportional factor to the beam current
- g_i : relative gain factor
- *F_i(x,y)*: response function normalized to
 F_i(0,0)=1

Response function of 4 outputs

$$F_{1}(x, y) = 1 + a_{1}x + b_{1}y + a_{2}(x^{2} - y^{2}) + b_{2}(2xy) + a_{3}(x^{3} - 3x^{2}y) + b_{3}(3xy^{2} - y^{3}) + a_{4}(x^{4} - 6x^{2}y^{2} + y^{4}) + b_{4}(x^{3}y - xy^{3}) F_{2}(x, y) = F_{1}(-x, y), \quad F_{3}(x, y) = F_{1}(-x, -y), F_{4}(x, y) = F_{1}(x, -y)$$

These coefficients (a_1, a_4) , (b_1, b_4) were determined by fitting the calculated mapping by the finite boundary element method.

Beam mapping & Gain analysis

Measurement of m times beam positions by changing beam orbit .

$$V_{i,j} = g_i q_j F_i(x_j, y_j)$$

i=1,,,4, j=1,,,m

Analysis by non-linear least square method

$$J(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[V_{i,j} - g_i q_j F_i(x_j, y_j) \right]^2$$

$$a = (g_2, g_3, g_4, q_1, x_1, y_1, \dots, q_m, x_m, y_m) \quad \text{fitting parameters}$$

but $g_1 = 1, g_2 = g_2 / g_1, g_3 = g_3 / g_1, g_4 = g_4 / g_1$

To minimize the sum of the squares of residuals. the software technique is a **Marquardt method**.

Mapping data by steering magnets for the gain calibration



Result of calibration in KEKB

These data are first measurements by beam based gain calibration



The BPM gains measured by BBGC were also set in the data base for BPM system

Correlation in the offset between BBA and BBGC

$$x_{offset} = K \cdot \frac{1 - 1/g_2 - 1/g_3 + 1/g_4}{1 + 1/g_2 + 1/g_3 + 1/g_4}$$
$$y_{offset} = K \cdot \frac{1 + 1/g_2 - 1/g_3 - 1/g_4}{1 + 1/g_2 + 1/g_3 + 1/g_4}$$

K is coefficient to position



We converted the measured value of BPM gain into BPM offset value, and tried to compare the offset with the offset from BBA.

Some part of BBA is caused by gain drift.





Correlation in the offset between BBA and BBGC

BPM head with diagonal cut in J-PARC MR



The horizontal and vertical beam positions are independently detected by two pairs of pickup

Output of diagonal cut electrodes

$$V_{L} = \lambda \left(1 + \frac{x}{a} \right), \quad V_{R} = g_{R} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{x}{a} \right),$$
$$V_{D} = g_{D} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{y}{a} \right), \quad V_{U} = g_{U} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{y}{a} \right),$$

 λ : proportional factor to beam current *a*: radius of diagonal cut cylinder g_R, g_U, g_D : relative gain to the g_L *x*, *y*: beam position

By eliminate λ , x, y and a

$$V_L = -\frac{V_R}{g_R} + \frac{V_U}{g_D} + \frac{V_D}{g_D}$$



Expression of m times measurement

Matrix representation

$$Ax = b$$

$$\boldsymbol{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -V_{R,1} & V_{U,1} & V_{D,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -V_{R,j} & V_{U,1} & V_{D,j} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -V_{R,m} & V_{U,m} & V_{D,m} \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\\ / g_R \\ 1/\\ / g_U \\ 1/\\ / g_D \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{L,1} \\ \vdots \\ V_{L,j} \\ \vdots \\ V_{L,m} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $g_L=1$

What LS & TLS

Reference: I. Markovsky and S. V. Huffel, Signal Processing 87



$$\Delta r = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(-g_{R}V_{R,i} + g_{U}V_{U,i} + g_{D}V_{D,i} - V_{L,i} \right)^{2}$$

 V_R, V_U, V_D have no error , V_L have error

$$\Delta d = \frac{1}{\|\boldsymbol{G}_{\perp}\|^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(-g_R V_{R,i} + g_U V_{U,i} + g_D V_{D,i} - V_{L,i}\right)^2$$

$$G_{\perp} = (-1, -g_R, g_U, g_D)$$

Normal vector to the plane in (V_R, V_U, V_D, V_L) space expressed as $-g_R V_R + g_U V_U + g_D V_D - V_L = 0$

Linear least squares

Least squares

Put the A^T to both sides

A have no error , b have error

Total least squares

$$A^{T}Ax = A^{T}b$$
$$[A^{T}A - \sigma^{2}I]x = A^{T}b$$

Put the $[A^T A - \sigma^2 I]^{-1}$ to both sides

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{TLS} = \left[\left(\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A} \right)^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^2 \boldsymbol{I} \right]^{-1} \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

 σ : the smallest singular value of [*Ab*] *I*: unit matrix

 A^T : transposed matrix of A

A and b have error

Simulation of LS & TLS

For the simulation, The gains set $g_R = 1.01, g_U = 1.005, g_D = 0.975$

$$V_{L} = \lambda \left(1 + \frac{x}{a} \right), \quad V_{R} = g_{R} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{x}{a} \right),$$
$$V_{D} = g_{D} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{y}{a} \right), \quad V_{U} = g_{U} \lambda \left(1 - \frac{y}{a} \right),$$

5x5=25 positions with 0.2% Gausssian noise. =>12500 points

Simulation result gu g_D g_R 1.01 True 1.005 0.975 LS 1.015 0.988 1.034 TLS 1.012 0.977 1.005

Reconstruted mapping data . Black is Position(x,y) without correction Red is (x,y) with TLS correction



TLS method indicate d good reproducibility of gains

Beam test

Output data in nine displacements of beam positions at a BPM.

1.5

BPM001





1 0.5 Y[mm] 0 1 -0.5 5 -1 --1.5 -2 -3 -2.5 -1.5 2 2.5 -2 -0.5-1 0 05 15

Reconstructed mapping data. Red: (x, y) without correction, Black: (x, y) with TLS gains

BPM001	gL	gυ	g _D
TLS	1.0062	1.0024	0.9873
LS	1.0103	1.0045	0.9892
BPM002	gL	g∪	G _D
TLS	0.9568	0.9811	0.9463
LS	0.9617	0.9838	0.9487

X[mm]

 $\Delta g pprox 0.5\%$ Difference in the relative gains between LS and TLS

Result of the relative gains of all BPMs in J-Parc MR



Kick angles of the steering magnets

To obtain the data with mapped beam positions



Blue: Low intensity as 10^{13} [PPP] Red: High intensity as 10^{14} [PPP]

Evaluation of gain correction 4 buttons pickups at the KEKB

Beam position calculation from the 4 outputs

$$X = \frac{V_1 - V_2 - V_3 + V_4}{V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4}, \quad Y = \frac{V_1 + V_2 - V_3 - V_4}{V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4}$$
 Normalization

$$x = F_X(X, Y), y = F_Y(X, Y)$$

$$F_{X}(X,Y) = a_{0} + a_{1}X + a_{2}Y + a_{3}X^{2} + a_{4}XY + a_{5}Y^{2} + a_{6}X^{3} + a_{7}X^{2}Y + a_{8}XY^{2} + a_{9}Y^{3}$$

$$F_{Y}(X,Y) = b_{0} + b_{1}X + b_{2}Y + b_{3}X^{2} + b_{4}XY + b_{5}Y^{2} + b_{6}X^{3} + b_{7}X^{2}Y + b_{8}XY^{2} + b_{9}Y^{3}$$

3rd order polynominals

The coefficients (a_n , b_n) are obtained by fitting of the mapping data.

Four Positions by selected 3 outputs

The beam position is also obtainable from the output voltage of any three electrodes chosen out of four electrodes.

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = (V_1 - V_2)/(V_1 + V_2), X_2 = (V_3 - V_4)/(V_3 + V_4) \\ Y_1 = (V_2 - V_3)/(V_2 + V_3), Y_2 = (V_1 - V)/(V_1 + V_4) \end{cases}$$

$$(x_{1}, y_{1}) = (F_{X}^{ABC}(X_{1}, Y_{1}), F_{Y}^{ABC}(X_{1}, Y_{1}))$$

$$(x_{2}, y_{2}) = (F_{X}^{BCD}(X_{2}, Y_{1}), F_{Y}^{BCD}(X_{2}, Y_{1}))$$

$$(x_{3}, y_{3}) = (F_{X}^{ACD}(X_{2}, Y_{2}), F_{Y}^{ACD}(X_{2}, Y_{2}))$$

$$(x_{4}, y_{4}) = (F_{X}^{ABD}(X_{1}, Y_{2}), F_{Y}^{ABD}(X_{1}, Y_{2}))$$

Normalization

3rd order polynominals

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{X} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}} & \text{with} \quad \overline{X} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_{i}) \\ \sigma_{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}} & \text{with} \quad \overline{Y} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (Y_{i}) \end{cases}$$

Consistency error

If the four outputs have ideal correlation, $((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3), (x_4, y_4))$ should coincide with each other.

Consistency error in LER at KEKB



The consistency error became very small

Four positions of diagonal cut pickups at J-PARC

$$x_{1} = \frac{V_{L} - V_{R}/g_{R}}{V_{L} + V_{R}/g_{R}}a, \quad y_{1} = \frac{V_{U}/g_{U} - V_{D}/g_{D}}{V_{U}/g_{U} + V_{D}/g_{D}}a$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{V_{L} - V_{R}/g_{R}}{V_{U}/g_{U} + V_{D}/g_{D}}a, \quad y_{2} = \frac{V_{U}/g_{U} - V_{D}/g_{D}}{V_{L} + V_{R}/g_{R}}a$$

$$x_{3} = \left(\frac{2V_{L}}{V_{U}/g_{U} + V_{D}/g_{D}} - 1\right)a, \quad y_{3} = \left(\frac{2V_{U}/g_{U}}{V_{L} + V_{R}/g_{R}} - 1\right)a$$

$$x_{4} = \left(\frac{-2V_{R}/g_{R}}{V_{U}/g_{U} + V_{D}/g_{D}} + 1\right)a, \quad y_{4} = \left(\frac{-2V_{D}/g_{D}}{V_{L} + V_{R}/g_{R}} + 1\right)a$$

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_{X} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_{i} - \overline{X})^{2}} & \text{with} \quad \overline{X} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (X_{i}) \\ \sigma_{Y} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (Y_{i} - \overline{Y})^{2}} & \text{with} \quad \overline{Y} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{4} (Y_{i}) \end{cases}$$

Consistency error

Consistency error of the beam test at J-PARC

	Before		After	
	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[mm]
BPM001	0.524	0.518	0.018	0.018
BPM002	0.964	0.954	0.025	0.025

Consistency error before and after gain calibration of BPMs at J-PARC MR

Conclusions

- We should pay special attention to guarantee the precise measurement of beam positions over a long time.
- The BBA calibration is necessary for correction of the BPM offset error.
- The BBGC is also important for correction of the imbalanced gains among four outputs of a BPM.

We have realized a high-precision BPM system by monitoring the consistency error and applying the beam-based gain calibration

Thank you for your attention

Appendix

Diurnal variation of BPM consistency

Increasing the temperature made impedance of coaxial cable drift.

- BPM signal cables were installed from ground level to tunnel.
- Part of the total cable length are cabled in outdoor area.
- Effect of air temperature and sunshine caused the diurnal variation of BPM consistency.
- To avoid sunshine, cables are wrapped by the insulating sheet.





