Controlled longitudinal emittance blow-up for high intensity beams in the CERN SPS

D. Quartullo,

H. Damerau, I. Karpov, G. Papotti, E. Shaposhnikova, C. Zisou



27/09/2021

ICFA-HB2021

Introduction

Controlled longitudinal emittance blow-up is needed to stabilize SPS proton beams in the longitudinal plane.

- > Larger emittances increase the intensity threshold for coupled-bunch instabilities.
- Blow up is achieved by injecting bandwidth-limited phase noise into the main RF system (Slide 3).
 - Phase noise should diffuse just the particles inside the bunch core, while tails _ should not be affected to avoid particle losses.
 - Blow up should occur along the ramp so that particles pushed outside the SPS buckets are not transferred into the LHC.
 - > Phase noise should have small leakage outside the frequency-band.

□ The determination of the frequency band is challenging.

- The synchrotron frequency distributions vary along
 - the batch due to collective effects,
 - the cycle due to non-constant machine programs.
- > An algorithm for frequency-band computations has been developed (Slide 4).



□ Simulations were compared with beam measurements (Slide 6).

Example of synchrotron frequency distribution and noise band at a certain cycle time



Example of f_{s0} evolution along the SPS cycle



Implementation of the phase-noise algorithm

- □ The LHC Injector Upgrade (LIU) Project included a redesign of the SPS LLRF controls and beam loops.
- □ Emittance blow-up was already operational in the SPS between 2010 and 2018.
 - > It had to be re-implemented in the new digital LLRF system.
- The algorithm for phase-noise generation remained unchanged during the upgrade.
 - It produces phase noise whose spectral density follows the designed frequency band along the cycle.
 - Very small leakage outside the band.
- □ The frequency bands are in general computed in the SPS high-level controls by performing very simple computations.
 - \succ f_{s0} is computed without collective effects.
 - Normalized f_{up}/f_{s0} and f_{down}/f_{s0} are kept constant along the cycle.

Example of phase noise in frequency domain



Example of phase noise in time domain



Algorithm for frequency-band determination

Example of inputs and 1st step of the algorithm



□ Inputs:

- machine parameters,
- bunch intensities and lengths at flat bottom, desired bunch lengths at flat top,
- the time interval when phase noise should be applied,

1st step: computation of bunch lengths when phase noise starts and ends.

- > Main principles: use of matched bunch-distributions, preservation of the full emittance along the cycle.
- **Q** 2nd step: computation of maximum, mean and minimum f_{down} values during blow-up.
 - \succ Each bunch has a different emittance and f_s distribution, so a different f_{down} .
 - Emittances are found by matching bunch distributions.

Outputs of the algorithm: $f_{up} \ge f_{s0}$, $f_{down,max}$, $f_{down,mean}$ and $f_{down,min}$ during blow-up.



Macro-particle simulations of the SPS cycle

□ Realistic longitudinal beam-dynamics simulations of 72 bunches were performed.

- > With collective effects, beam loops, emittance blow up using the computed frequency bands.
- > Starting from realistic bunch distributions at injection, $N_p = 1.2 \cdot 10^{11}$ ppb.

□ Selecting properly the phase-noise rms, we obtained the desired bunch lengths at flat top.

- > The spreads in values were at maximum 4%, acceptable if also found for HL-LHC beams.
- **Good bunch quality at extraction** (e.g. fully filamented distributions in phase space).

□ No losses were observed in simulations, thanks to the fact that the profile tails were not diffused.

> The full emittance ε_f remained constant all along the cycle.

Extracted bunch lengths along the batch for different target bunch lengths



Extracted profile of the bunch 72, target bunch length of 2 ns



Comparison to beam measurements

□ Comparisons between beam measurements and simulations of one batch of 72 bunches along the cycle including phase noise.

The optimal f_{down} varied between 0.637 f_{s0} and 0.653 f_{s0} .

- > However, we set simply $f_{down} = 0.64 f_{s0}$ along the blow-up time interval, both in measurements and simulations.
- Can we still obtain stable beams at flat top and the desired extracted bunch length of 1.65 ns?
- > A constant f_{down}/f_{s0} would greatly simplify the phasenoise setup in operation.
- Good agreement in bunch lengths between measurements and simulations, both during the ramp and at extraction.
 - > The desired average bunch length of 1.65 ns was achieved.
 - The 0.11 ns spread found in measurements would be acceptable for HL-LHC beams.
 - Noise strength adapted to measurements results.
- □ These comparisons showed that a constant f_{down}/f_{s0} can still provide acceptable results in operation.

Max, mean and min bunch-length evolutions in simulation and measurements





