

Work supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation SERI



Simulations of electron-ion effects and relevance to LHC experience in 2017

L. Mether, G. Iadarola, K. Poland, G. Rumolo

61st ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High-Intensity and High-Brightness Hadron Beams Daejeon, Korea 18 - 22 June, 2018

Motivation



Throughout 2017 operation, abnormal losses were observed in the LHC

• Located in the half-cell '16' Left of Point 2 (16L2)

68 premature dumps with the following signature occurred during 2017:

- Sudden onset of high beam losses in 16L2
- Coherent beam motion with extremely fast rise times (~1–100 turns)
- Beam dump either due to losses on the collimation system or directly in 16L2

To stay operational, the LHC was limited to fewer than the nominal number of bunches for most of the 2017 run









The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:

Macroparticles or "flakes" of the frozen gases (mainly nitrogen or oxygen) could be detached, possibly triggered by e-cloud, and enter the beam



The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:

Macroparticles or "flakes" of the frozen gases (mainly nitrogen or oxygen) could be detached, possibly triggered by e-cloud, and enter the beam

- 1. A macroparticle enters the beam halo
- 2. The particle becomes ionized by the beam protons
- 3. The positively charged macroparticle is repelled by the beam
- The events show a characteristic beam loss pattern
- Can lead to beam dumps or magnet quenches
- Do not cause coherent motion



The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:

Macroparticles or "flakes" of the frozen gases (mainly nitrogen or oxygen) could be detached, possibly triggered by e-cloud, and enter the beam

- 1. A macroparticle enters the beam halo
- 2. The particle becomes ionized by the beam protons
- 3. The positively charged macroparticle is repelled by the beam
- The events show a characteristic beam loss pattern
- Can lead to beam dumps or magnet quenches
- Do not cause coherent motion





The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:

Macroparticles or "flakes" of the frozen gases (mainly nitrogen or oxygen) could be detached, possibly triggered by e-cloud, and enter the beam

- 1. A macroparticle enters the beam halo
- 2. The particle becomes ionized by the beam protons
- 3. The positively charged macroparticle is repelled by the beam
- The events show a characteristic beam loss pattern
- Can lead to beam dumps or magnet quenches
- Do not cause coherent motion





The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:

Macroparticles or "flakes" of the frozen gases (mainly nitrogen or oxygen) could be detached, possibly triggered by e-cloud, and enter the beam

- 1. A macroparticle enters the beam halo
- 2. The particle becomes ionized by the beam protons
- 3. The positively charged macroparticle is repelled by the beam
- The events show a characteristic beam loss pattern
- Can lead to beam dumps or magnet quenches
- Do not cause coherent motion





The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:







The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:





The problem is thought to have been caused by air that was left in the vacuum system after a magnet exchange, and frozen inside the beam chamber:



L. Grob et al, IPAC 2018 B. Lindström et al, IPAC 2018 A. Lechner et al, IPAC 2018

Can we model these events and reproduce the observed coherent effects?













PyECLOUD (for e-cloud build up)
For each time step































PyHEADTAIL	PyECLOUD (for e-cloud build up)
	For each time step
	Generate seed e -
	Evaluate rigid beam field at e ⁻ locations
	Evaluate the e ⁻ electric field (Particle in Cell)
	Compute e ⁻ motion (t->t+∆t) (possibly with substeps)
	Detect impacts and generate secondary electrons











Simulation tools for studying electron cloud build-up and its effect on beam dynamics





Simulation tools for studying electron cloud build-up and its effect on beam dynamics





Simulation tools for studying electron cloud build-up and its effect on beam dynamics









Simulation tools for studying electron cloud build-up and its effect on beam dynamics









Simulation tools for studying electron cloud build-up and its effect on beam dynamics









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)





The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)







The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)








The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)









The PyECLOUD + PyHEADTAIL simulation setup was previously generalized to simulating multi-bunch ion accumulation in electron machines to study the fast beam-ion instability (HB2016)

In all cases only one species can be simulated, implicitly assuming that all others can be ignored









Instability observations

B. Salvant, T. Levens





Instability observations



In several cases the characteristics of the instability pointed specifically to the involvement of electrons:

- Intra-bunch motion at tail of bunches
- Positive tune shifts (up to 10⁻²)

Several considerations, including beam dynamics simulations with electrons, suggest that electron densities of 10¹⁶ L⁻¹m⁻² over a length L could induce such effects

Electrons and ions are of course produced in equal amounts and, at such high densities, can be expected to influence each other strongly

• To study the problem and try to reproduce the observations we set out to extend the simulation tools to be able to simulate both species together

B. Salvant, T. Levens









cloud.MP system cloud.dynamics cloud.impact management cloud.pyecloud saver cloud.gas ionization flag cloud.gas ionization cloud.photoemission flag cloud.photoemission



cloud:

cloud.MP system cloud.dynamics cloud.impact management cloud.pyecloud saver cloud.gas ionization flag cloud.gas ionization cloud.photoemission flag cloud.photoemission



To enable multiple species in PyECLOUD, the concept of *clouds* was introduced:

• Each cloud has its own macro-particle system, dynamics, impact and generation processes (secondary emission, photoemission, generation through gas ionization)

Clouds interact with each other only through their space charge, for now

• May be extended with cross-species interactions, e.g. electron-induced ionization

Main elements in the build-up simulation:





To enable multiple species in PyECLOUD, the concept of *clouds* was introduced:

• Each cloud has its own macro-particle system, dynamics, impact and generation processes (secondary emission, photoemission, generation through gas ionization)

Clouds interact with each other only through their space charge, for now

• May be extended with cross-species interactions, e.g. electron-induced ionization

Main elements in the build-up simulation:

```
beam and timing
space charge
secondary beams flag
secondary beams list
                                cloud.MP system
+
                                cloud.dynamics
multiple clouds flag
                                cloud.impact management
cloud list
                                cloud.pyecloud saver
                                cloud.gas ionization flag
                    cloud:
                                cloud.gas ionization
                                cloud.photoemission flag
                                cloud.photoemission
```



To enable multiple species in PyECLOUD, the concept of *clouds* was introduced:

• Each cloud has its own macro-particle system, dynamics, impact and generation processes (secondary emission, photoemission, generation through gas ionization)

Clouds interact with each other only through their space charge, for now

• May be extended with cross-species interactions, e.g. electron-induced ionization

Main elements in the build-up simulation:

```
beam and timing
space charge
secondary beams flag
secondary beams list
                                cloud.MP system
+
                                cloud.dynamics
multiple clouds flag
                                cloud.impact management
cloud list
                                cloud.pyecloud saver
                                cloud.gas ionization flag
                    cloud:
                                cloud.gas ionization
                                cloud.photoemission flag
                                cloud.photoemission
                                cloud.rho copied from sc
```





The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000

nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
```

```
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
```

```
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
```

nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
nel mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

Cloud 1: half of ref density

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```

init_unif_flag=1

Nel_init_unif=0.5e8



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```

```
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=0.5e8
```

```
N_mp_soft_regen = 15000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 5000
```

```
nel_mp_ref_0= 0.5e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=1e8
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000
```

```
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000
```

```
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```

```
# uniform initial distrib
init_unif_flag=1
Nel_init_unif=0.5e8
```

```
N_mp_soft_regen = 15000
N_mp_after_soft_regen = 5000
```

```
nel_mp_ref_0= 0.5e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)
```



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

<pre># uniform initial distrib init_unif_flag=1 Nel_init_unif=1e8</pre>	<pre># uniform initial distrib init_unif_flag=1 Nel_init_unif=0.5e8</pre>
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000 N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000	N_mp_soft_regen = 15000 N_mp_after_soft_regen = 5000
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)	<pre>nel_mp_ref_0= 0.5e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)</pre>



The multi-species implementation has been verified against a standard e-cloud buildup simulation for the LHC, starting from a uniform initial electron distribution

The electrons were divided into three clouds:

- one cloud initialized with half of the density of the reference simulation
- two clouds initialized with a quarter of the density of the reference simulation

Cloud 1: half of ref density	Cloud 2 and 3: a quarter of ref density
<pre># uniform initial distrib init_unif_flag=1 Nel_init_unif=1e8</pre>	<pre># uniform initial distrib init_unif_flag=1 Nel_init_unif=0.5e8</pre>
N_mp_soft_regen = 30000 N_mp_after_soft_regen = 10000	N_mp_soft_regen = 15000 N_mp_after_soft_regen = 5000
nel_mp_ref_0= 1e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)	<pre>nel_mp_ref_0= 0.5e8/(0.7*N_mp_soft_regen)</pre>



The electron line densities of the three clouds add up (in blue) to the line density of the reference simulation





Total electron density and densities of individual clouds after 30 bunch passages:





In simulations, ions and electrons are generated from an input atomic density according to the cross-section for beaminduced ionization

A. Mathewson, S.Zhang, LHC-VAC/AGM, 1996

- Ions reaching the chamber walls are absorbed without any further effect
- Electrons may produce secondary electrons when hitting the wall



In simulations, ions and electrons are generated from an input atomic density according to the cross-section for beaminduced ionization

A. Mathewson, S.Zhang, LHC-VAC/AGM, 1996

- Ions reaching the chamber walls are absorbed without any further effect
- Electrons may produce secondary electrons when hitting the wall

Atomic densities in 16L2 were estimated based on the measured loss rates

- The location of the losses could be identified to within around 1 m
- Assuming N₂ gas and a pressure bump extending over the full beam cross section and over the length L → density range ~ 10¹⁹ 10²¹ L⁻¹m⁻²



In simulations, ions and electrons are generated from an input atomic density according to the cross-section for beaminduced ionization

A. Mathewson, S.Zhang, LHC-VAC/AGM, 1996

- Ions reaching the chamber walls are absorbed without any further effect
- Electrons may produce secondary electrons when hitting the wall

Atomic densities in 16L2 were estimated based on the measured loss rates

- The location of the losses could be identified to within around 1 m
- Assuming N₂ gas and a pressure bump extending over the full beam cross section and over the length L → density range ~ 10¹⁹ 10²¹ L⁻¹m⁻²





In simulations, ions and electrons are generated from an input atomic density according to the cross-section for beaminduced ionization

A. Mathewson, S.Zhang, LHC-VAC/AGM, 1996

- Ions reaching the chamber walls are absorbed without any further effect
- Electrons may produce secondary electrons when hitting the wall

Atomic densities in 16L2 were estimated based on the measured loss rates

- The location of the losses could be identified to within around 1 m
- Assuming N₂ gas and a pressure bump extending over the full beam cross section and over the length L → density range ~ 10¹⁹ 10²¹ L⁻¹m⁻²


Build-up with single species



When electrons are simulated without ions, no accumulation along the train occurs



Build-up with multi-species



When electrons are simulated without ions, no accumulation along the train occurs

When electrons and ions are simulated together in otherwise identical conditions, the density of both species build-up over several bunch passages



Build-up with multi-species



When electrons are simulated without ions, no accumulation along the train occurs

When electrons and ions are simulated together in otherwise identical conditions, the density of both species build-up over several bunch passages





76

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





77

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





78

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





79

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



80



81

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





82

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





83

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





84

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



86

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



89

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





90

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



93

Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)





Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)

• Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



Looking at the movement of the two species during the build-up (bunch passage 1-10)



 N_2 gas, 10 21 m $^{-3}$



- Ions gradually move from the centre towards the walls •
- The electrons follow a more complex motion ٠

15

10

5

0

-5

-10

-15

Beam profile [p/m]

5 4

3 2

y [mm]



 N_2 gas, 10^{21} \mathrm{m}^{-3}



Electron-induced ionization





FIG. 1. (Color online) Electron-impact-ionization cross sections σ_{ion} of nitrogen recommended by Itikawa [16], measured by Rapp and Englander-Golden [17], and determined using the BEB model [18].

Electron-induced ionization



Electrons in the energy range of 50 – 500 eV have a 50 – 100 times larger ionization cross section than the beam particles

During a typical e-cloud build-up process, where the electrons cross the chamber once per bunch passage, this effect is estimated to at most roughly double the electron population (assuming a cm-size chamber)

In the case of the pressure bump, electrons move across the chamber several times between two bunch passages

- Could they significantly increase the ionization fraction?
- Electron energies are being evaluated to address the question



FIG. 1. (Color online) Electron-impact-ionization cross sections σ_{ion} of nitrogen recommended by Itikawa [16], measured by Rapp and Englander-Golden [17], and determined using the BEB model [18].

Distributed ionization



The dynamics of the build-up seem to be somewhat sensitive to whether ionization occurs only in the beam or distributed around the chamber

 To probe the effect, simulations were done with a fixed ionization rate, but a varying fraction of the ions and electrons generated uniformly across the chamber, instead of in the beam



Distributed ionization



The dynamics of the build-up seem to be somewhat sensitive to whether ionization occurs only in the beam or distributed around the chamber

 To probe the effect, simulations were done with a fixed ionization rate, but a varying fraction of the ions and electrons generated uniformly across the chamber, instead of in the beam



Summary & Outlook



Summary & Outlook



The multi-species development work was motivated by recent events in the LHC, with a suspected localized transient pressure bump of very high density

- First simulation studies show a significant effect on the dynamics of the build-up when ions and electrons are considered together
- The effect of the two species on beam stability is under study

The needs to expand the tool with additional processes are under consideration

- Impact ionization? Electron velocities are being evaluated to give an answer
- Anything else?

In addition to this very specific use case, the new code capabilities can be useful also for other purposes, e.g.

- To study the role and effect of ions during a standard e-cloud build-up process
- Dividing electrons into several clouds can in some cases help to overcome a reoccurring problem of poor macro-particle resolution outside of the main multipacting regions
- Fast beam-ion instability studies with realistic vacuum compositions



Pressure bump with multi-species



Multi-species build-up for different gas densities



6500GeV

Pressure bump with different SEY





6500GeV

Multispecies example



Electron line density / bin in horizontal coordinate



106