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## CERN's LHC Injector Chain

## today

protons

- Linac2 (50 MeV p)
- PS Booster (1.4 GeV p)
- Proton Synchrotron (PS) ( 26 GeV p)
- Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS)
ions
$\square$ Linac3
- LEIR
- Proton Synchrotron (PS)
- Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS)

after LS2*
protons
$\square \quad$ Linac4 (160 MeV H-)
$\square$ PS Booster (2 GeV p)
$\square$ Proton Synchrotron (PS) ( 26 GeV p)
- Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS)
* second long LHC shutdown 2019/20


## LHC Type Beams from the Injectors

the challenge for LHC type beams is high brightness (not high intensity!)
single bunch beams:
low-intensity low emittance single bunch for LHC setting up
multi bunch beams for physics:
different production schemes

- standard beam LHC25; main production beam for the LHC
- BCMS (Batch Compression, Merging and Splitting) variant to increase beam brightness
- 8b4e and BCS variant; set up in 2017 to mitigate LHC vacuum issues


## Present Linac2-PSB Multi-Turn Injection



1. injection bump generated using four slow bumpers
2. injection bump moves slowly back towards closed orbit
3. beam from Linac2 is deflected on the (moving) orbit by a septum

## Present Linac2-PSB Multi-Turn Injection <br> horiz. beam momentum


as this process takes place, subsequent linac beam "slices" are injected, with increasing oscillation amplitude around the instantaneous closed orbit ("horizontal stacking")
beamlets are now "polygons", as they have undergone several cuts
they spiral up around the (moving) closed orbit, leading to a density distribution which is dense in the core and less dense in the outer part
$\square$ presently about $50 \%$ of the beam coming from Linac2 is lost at injectionthe transverse beam emittance is a linear function of the number of injected turns

## PSB Emittance vs Intensity



## Standard 25 ns Spacing Beam

The PSB receives two consecutive injections from Linac2, one filling all four rings with one bunch each ( $\mathrm{h}=1$ ) and a second injection filling only two of the four rings. With this scheme the PSB produces six bunches at 1.4 GeV energy, which are transferred in two extractions (4 +2 bunches) to the PS.

In the PS the beam is accelerated to a top energy of 26 GeV and at the same time the bunches are longitudinally split. This scheme employs consecutively the RF harmonics 21, 42 and 84, which leads to a 12 -fold splitting of each bunch. The resulting number of bunches produced from the six bunches coming from the PSB is hence 72.

## Standard 25 ns Beam: RF Manipulations in the PS

from PSB:
1.4 GeV
$\tau_{1}=183 \mathrm{~ns}$
$\varepsilon_{1}=1.27 \mathrm{eVs}$
$N_{\mathrm{b}}=16.5 \times 10^{11}$
\# bunches: 6


RF harmonics @ 2.5 GeV :
7-14-21

extracted to SPS: 26 GeV
$\varepsilon_{1}=0.35 \mathrm{eVs}$
$N_{\mathrm{b}}=1.3 \times 10^{11}$
split factor: 12
\# bunches: 72

## BCMS Beam - A Way to push Brightness

In the BCMS* scheme, instead of taking six PSB bunches into H7, the PS takes eight bunches into H 9 . The total intensity is then distributed across eight PSB bunches rather than six ones. Accordingly, the injected intensity per ring and the transverse emittance can be reduced.
In the PS, first, a compression is performed by incrementing the harmonic number from H 9 to H 14 . Then, a bunch merging puts the harmonic number back to seven. From this point, the RF gymnastics are similar to the nominal beam, with the bunches split in three, then two and two again. The number of bunches produced is different from the normal scheme: eight bunches are merged into four, multiplied by three, two and two again. The result is 48 bunches spaced by 25 ns .

[^0]
## BCMS Beam: RF Manipulations in the PS

from PSB:
1.4 GeV
$\tau_{1}=150 \mathrm{~ns}$
$\varepsilon_{1}=0.92 \mathrm{eVs}$
$N_{b}=7.8 \times 10^{11}$
\# bunches: 8


RF harmonics @ 2.5 GeV :
9-14-7-21

extracted to SPS: 26 GeV
$\varepsilon_{1}=0.35 \mathrm{eVs}$
$N_{\mathrm{b}}=1.3 \times 10^{11}$
split factor: 6
\# bunches: 48

## PSB-PS-SPS Transfer: Transverse Plane




## PS-SPS Transfer: Longitudinal Plane

- bunch rotation in PS using $1 \times 40 \mathrm{MHz}+2 \times 80$ MHz cavities to fit bunches into 5 ns SPS RF bucket
- development of uncaptured tails due to nonlinear forces
- clear improvement by pulsing $2 \times 40 \mathrm{MHz}$ cavities,
routinely used in 2017 operation
- further bunch rotation optimization ( 80 MHz phase switch / 3rd cavity) shows only minor improvements



## SPS Losses

- losses at capture, flat bottom, and acceleration start
- capture losses mostly due to longitudinal distribution after bunch rotation in PS (transfer from 40 MHz to 200 MHz buckets ...) and RF transients during first few ms in SPS
- losses out of bucket on SPS flat bottom due to particles close to separatrix (full bucket)
- minimizing capture losses by increasing RF voltage in SPS is limited by
- unmatched distribution results in larger longitudinal emittance
- momentum acceptance
- RF voltage for maintaining bucket area during the ramp



## Main Limitations for LHC Type Beams

Brightness limit delivered by the PSB

- transverse emittance depends linearly on the intensity


## Limited longitudinal emittance extracted from PSB

- rise time of the recombination kickers
- blow-up observed at PS injection for large momentum spread

Space charge on the PS flat bottom

- large tune spread, limited by resonances
- need to keep bunch intensity low to preserve brightness

Longitudinal emittance at PS extraction set to 0.35 eVs

- keep losses in the SPS under control; longitudinal stability


## Summary of 2017 Performance

Intensity [1e11 p/b] Emittance [um] pattern

| 25 ns standard (like 2017) | 1.15 | $2.5(2.4)$ | $1-4 \times 72 \rightarrow 288$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 25 ns standard (high intensity) | 1.30 | $2.8(2.7)$ | $1-4 \times 72 \rightarrow 288$ |
| 25 ns BCMS (like 2017) | 1.15 | $1.7(1.4)$ | $1-3 \times 48 \rightarrow 144$ |
| 25 ns BCMS (high intensity) | 1.30 | $1.9(1.6)$ | $1-3 \times 48 \rightarrow 144$ |
| 8b4e standard (like 2017) | 1.20 | $1.8(1.6)$ | $1-3 \times 56 \rightarrow 168$ |
| 8b4e standard (high intensity) | 1.60 | $2.4(2.1)$ | $1-3 \times 56 \rightarrow 168$ |
| 8b4e BCS (like 2017) | 1.25 | $1.15(1.0)$ | $1-4 \times 32 \rightarrow 128$ |
| 8b4e BCS (high intensity) | 1.60 | $1.55(1.2)$ | $1-4 \times 32 \rightarrow 128$ |

blue: theoretical achievable
Limitation Diagrams for 2017 Beams

Standard (72 b.)

1.15 e 11 / 2.5 um

BCMS (48 b.)

1.15 e 11 / 1.7 um 2017 performance close to limitations!

## LIU Upgrade

Today the injector complex provides LHC beams well within (and beyond!) the original specifications The High-Luminosity LHC Project requests beams with parameters out of today's reach
The LHC Injectors Upgrade (LIU) has been put in place to enable the injector complex to deliver the requested high-brightness beams
The LIU project consists of the following building blocks:

## Linac4:

- higher energy reduces space charge effects at PSB injection
- $\mathrm{H}^{-}$stripping injection is essentially loss free and allows to tailor beam emittance PSB:
- energy upgrade from 1.4 GeV to 2.0 GeV reduces space charge effects at PS injection
- numerous other upgrade items (power supplies, RF, instrumentation, ...)

PS:

- increase of the injection energy to 2.0 GeV
- numerous other upgrade items (power supplies, RF, instrumentation, ...)

SPS:

- numerous other upgrade items (coating, RF, instrumentation, ...)


## H- Injection with Linac4

H- ions pass through a stripping foil and are merged with the circulating protons

98 \% stripping efficiency $\rightarrow$ almost loss-free



## H- Injection with Linac4

shifting the machine orbit with respect to foil (painting) fills machine aperture with beam e T

Stripping foil


## H- Injection with Linac4

chicane switches off to zero amplitude after injection
different intensities / emittances: number of injected turns from 1 to 100


## PSB Upgrade

upgrade of PSB injection from 50 MeV protons to 160 MeV H - with increased intensity

- re-build injection line for 160 MeV
- replace injection septum by stripping foil
- injection bumps
- diagnostics
- ...

upgrade of PSB rings and extraction / transfer from


### 1.4 GeV to 2.0 GeV

- replace main power supply
- replace number of smaller power supplies
- new extraction and recombination
elements
- ...

1. increased injection energy from Linac4 and new injection scheme
$\rightarrow$ higher injection energy ( 160 MeV ) leads to increased brightness
$\rightarrow$ essentially loss free and allows to tailor emittances
2. increased PSB top energy ( 2 GeV )
3. increased PS injection energy ( 2 GeV )
$\rightarrow$ reduces space charge effects at PS injection

## PSB Upgrade



## PS Upgrade



## SPS Upgrade

- Main performance limitations of present machine
- Losses at injection and flat bottom / start of ramp
- Intensity limitation due to lack of RF power for beam loading compensation
- Longitudinal instabilities during ramp and flat top


## - Main upgrade items for SPS

- 200 MHz RF system upgrade (including LLRF)
- Electron cloud mitigation: amorphous Carbon (aC) coating
- Impedance reduction (vacuum flanges \& 630 MHz HOM )
- New beam dump system in LSS5 and new design of protection devices to comply with target beam parameters

LIU (standard 25 ns)


- Beam performance achievements in 2017
- LHC filling with up to $1.3 \mathrm{e} 11 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}$ within (close to LIU brightness but with 8 b 4 e BCS* beam)
- $2 \mathrm{e} 11 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{b}$ injected into SPS in trains of 48 and 72 bunches (BCMS* and standard*) and stored on $26 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ flat bottom for studies of losses and emittance preservation


## LIU Performance Reach for Protons



PSB brightness + intensity limitations in PS and SPS inferred from simulations
space charge limitation curves in PS and SPS based on assumed tune spreads and optimised beam parameters at transfers

## High Brightness Operation with Ions present challenges and post-LS2

## Source, Linac3 \& LEIR injection line:

- Develop automatic "source tuning" software to optimize source performance.
- Test mitigation measures to lead-oxidation limiting source lifetime.
- Continuous monitoring of the transfer line beam trajectory at injection crucial to detect beam characteristics variations from Linac3
- Consolidate Linac3 beam dynamics model


## LEIR:

- Main limitations identified in 2015: space charge induced losses at RF capture.
- Intense measurements campaign identified detrimental space charge resonances.
- Line density flattening, resonance compensation -> Met HL-LHC specs since 2016.
- Gain intensity margins and ensure stable operation


## PS \& SPS:

- No major issues for transfer through PS (mainly RF manipulation)
- Baseline relies on SPS slip-stacking to deliver HL-LHC beam requirements
- In case of lower than expected performance alternative production scenarios are being investigated: 3 high intensity bunches from LEIR into PS
- Simulations with collective effects within $5 \%$ loss budget
- Slip stacking to be demonstrated in SPS after LS2 (LLRF upgrade needed)
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## High Brightness Operation with Ions
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## LEIR:

- Main limitations identified in 2015: space charge induced losses at RF capture
- Intense measurements campaign identified detrimental space charge resonances
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- Gain intensit
 Ions / bunch at extraction



## Achieved 2016

$15.5 \times 10^{8}$
$.0 \times 10^{8}$
$19.1 \times 10^{8}$
$8.1 \times 10^{8}$ Average overted besn
LHC 2016 run
Intensity record 2016
$22.8 \times 10^{8}$
$9.5 \times 10^{8}$



Lattice resonance
compensation

## High Brightness Operation with Ions

 present challenges and post-LS2
## LEIR:

- Main limitations identified in 2015: space charge induced losses at RF capture
- Intense measurements campaign identified detrimental space charge resonances
- Line density f
- Gain intensity LeIR parameters $\quad$ Accumulatedions $\quad$ Ions / bunch at extraction

- LIU baseline for beam intensity achieved since 2016
- 2018 main challenge: machine stable and reproducible high performance at high intensity
compensation


## High Brightness Operation with Ions

## PS \& SPS:

 present challenges and post-LS2- No major issues for transfer through PS (mainly RF manipulation)
- Baseline relies on SPS slip-stacking to deliver HL-LHC beam requirements
- In case of lower than expected performance alternative production scenarios are being investigated: 3 high intensity bunches from LEIR into PS
- Simulations with collective effects within $5 \%$ loss budget
- Slip stacking to be demonstrated in SPS after LS2 (LLRF upgrade needed)




## Summary

CERN's LHC injector complex delivers on a reliable and reproducible basis a variety of beams to the LHC within or beyond specifications

The beam spectrum comprises the "standard" production beams (25 ns and BCMS) as well as a variety of other beams for special purposes

In order to satisfy the future needs of the LHC in the High-Luminosity LHC era, the injectors are undergoing an ambitions upgrade program (LIU, LHC Injectors Upgrade project)

Implementation of the LIU upgrade will take place during the second long LHC shutdown (LS2) in 2019/20

With the LIU program put in place, the injectors will be enabled to satisfy the needs of the High-Luminosity LHC

## The Future is Bright...

## Thank you very much for your attention


[^0]:    * Batch Compression, Merging and Splitting

