



Experiments and theory on beam stabilization with second-order chromaticity

M. Schenk¹, X. Buffat, L.R. Carver, K. Li, A. Maillard², E. Métral

CERN & ¹EPFL (Switzerland), ²ENS (France)

Acknowledgements

R. De Maria, S. Fartoukh, G. Rumolo, LHC OP teams

HB2018 Workshop, Daejeon (Korea)

18. June 2018

Outline

Introduction

Transverse Landau damping from detuning with longitudinal amplitude

• LHC experiments

Using second-order chromaticity to validate damping mechanism experimentally

• Vlasov theory for nonlinear chromaticity

Develop theory to interpret experimental observations analytically

Conclusions

Introduction Head-tail modes and Landau damping



M. Schenk et al.

18.06.2018

Introduction

Detuning with longitudinal amplitude



Why detuning with longitudinal amplitude?

- More efficient: $\Delta J_z >> \Delta J_{x,v}$ (LHC design: factor $10^4 10^5$ at 7 TeV)^[1,5]
- Geometric emittance further reduced for future high brightness, high energy beams
- Not affected by manipulations in transverse planes (e.g. halo cleaning)

LHC Landau octupoles (≈ 56 m) Rf quadrupole (≈ 1 m)

Introduction *Rf quadrupole and second-order chromaticity*

- Direct experimental validation of rf quadrupole not possible at present as no such cavity is available
- But: Beam dynamics is the same for rf quadrupole and second-order chromaticity Q" at first order

Experimental studies with second-order chromaticity can be made



- Q" is introduced in a machine by changing the optics^[7]
- LHC: main sextupole families can be used to enhance Q" (without affecting Q')

Outline

Introduction

Transverse Landau damping from detuning with longitudinal amplitude

• LHC experiments

Using second-order chromaticity to validate damping mechanism experimentally

• Vlasov theory for nonlinear chromaticity

Develop theory to interpret experimental observations analytically

Conclusions

LHC experiments

Single-bunch stability at 6.5 TeV without Q"

Without Q"

- LHC, 6.5 TeV, transverse feedback system active
- Most prominent instability is horizontal head-tail mode^[8]
- Azimuthal and radial mode numbers I = 0 and m = 2
- Mode patterns from LHC Head-Tail Monitor and PyHEADTAIL simulations in good agreement
- Instability is routinely mitigated with Landau octupoles
- Stabilizing current determined experimentally and in PyHEADTAIL simulations^[9]

 $I_{exp} = 96^{+29}_{-10} A$ / $I_{sim} = 107.5 \pm 2.5 A$

Results confirm reliability of impedance and tracking models



LHC experiments Q" study and comparison with PyHEADTAIL

• Goal: Stabilize single bunches at 6.5 TeV with Q''^[10,11]

• PyHEADTAIL predictions

Q" creates large areas of stability interleaved with *two unstable bands* of *different* head-tail modes

- Q" experiment: two working points
 - (a) <u>Without Q"</u>
 - Octupoles: $I_{exp} = 96^{+29}_{-10}$ A vs. $I_{sim} = 107.5 \pm 2.5$ A

(b) $Q'' \approx -4 \times 10^4$

- Four bunches in the machine
- Octupoles reduced to 40 A and all four bunches stable (Landau damping from Q")
- One higher intensity bunch unstable when reducing to 0 A
- Other three bunches stable with octupoles off
- Instability explained by unstable band next to (b)

Measured head-tail patterns agree well with simulations

8





Outline

Introduction

Transverse Landau damping from detuning with longitudinal amplitude

• LHC experiments

Using second-order chromaticity to validate damping mechanism experimentally

• Vlasov theory for nonlinear chromaticity

Develop theory to interpret experimental observations analytically

Conclusions

Overview

Two effects observed with second-order chromaticity in experiments and simulations

- 1. Beam stabilization through Landau damping
- 2. Change of effective impedance

Strategy

1. Extend theory to include nonlinear chromaticity ξ⁽ⁿ⁾ up to arbitrary order, starting from Vlasov equation

$$\left[\partial_{s} + \frac{1}{c}\,\omega_{\beta}(\delta)\,\partial_{\theta} + \frac{\omega_{s}}{c}\partial_{\phi} + \frac{F_{y}}{E}\partial_{p_{y}}\right]\Psi = 0 \qquad \text{with} \quad \omega_{\beta}(\delta) = \omega_{\beta,0}\sum_{k=0}^{m}\frac{\xi^{(k)}}{k!}\delta^{k}$$

(Follow A. Chao's^[12] derivations for some part, but eigenmodes are different when including nonlinear chromaticity – use different decomposition ... discussing only solutions here)

2. Airbag bunch: same longitudinal action for all the particles

Only experiences change of coherent mode, and *no Landau damping* since no frequency spread possible from detuning with longitudinal action \implies two effects decoupled

- 3. Gaussian bunch: both effects are present (stability diagram theory)
- 4. Compare to tracking simulations and circulant matrix model



 $g_0(r) \propto \boldsymbol{\delta}(r-\hat{z})$

Åβzδ

Airbag bunch: formalism with $\xi^{(2)}$

• Linear chromaticity (A. Chao, Eq. 6.188)^[12]

$$\Omega^{(l)} - \omega_{\beta,0} - l\omega_s = i \frac{Nq^2c}{2E_0 T_0^2 \omega_{\beta,0}} \left[\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_1^{\perp}(\omega') \ J_l^2 \left(\frac{\omega'\hat{z}}{c} - \chi \right) \right]$$
(Eq. I)

$$\chi = \xi^{(1)} \frac{\omega_{\beta,0}\hat{z}}{\eta c}$$
$$\omega' = p\omega_0 + \omega_{\beta,0} + l\omega_s$$

 $g_0(r) \propto \boldsymbol{\delta}(r-\hat{z})$

B²₁describes change of effective impedance via phase terms introduced by all orders of nonlinear chromaticity

Reduces to Bessel function of first kind if (^{gal} = 0: Eqs. 1 and 11 become identical

Including nonlinear chromaticity

$$\Omega^{(l)} - \omega_{\beta,0} - l\omega_s - \underbrace{\langle \Delta \omega_\beta \rangle_\phi(\hat{z})}_{(d)} = i \frac{Nq^2c}{2E_0 T_0^2 \omega_{\beta,0}} \left[\sum_{p=-\infty}^{\infty} Z_1^{\perp}(\omega') \frac{|H_l^p(\hat{z})|^2}{|H_l^p(\hat{z})|^2} \right]$$
(Eq. II)

(I) Changes real part of $\Omega^{(l)}$ for airbag bunch. For non-airbag bunch, term will represent frequency spread, lead to dispersion integral and Landau damping (non-zero only for even orders!)

- $\langle \Delta \omega_{\beta} \rangle_{\phi}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \Delta \omega_{\beta}(\delta(r,\phi)) \, d\phi$ $\implies \langle \Delta \omega_{\beta} \rangle_{\phi} = \xi^{(2)} \, \frac{\omega_{\beta,0} \hat{z}^{2}}{4\beta_{z}^{2}}$
 - Here shown up to 2nd order, general definition in proceedings

(II) H_l^p describes change of effective impedance via phase terms introduced by *all orders* of nonlinear chromaticity

$$H_l^p(\hat{z}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{il\phi} e^{-i\frac{\omega'}{c}\hat{z}\cos\phi} e^{-i\frac{\omega_{\beta,0}}{\omega_s\beta_z}\hat{z}\left[\xi^{(1)}\left(1-\cos\phi\right)-\xi^{(2)}\frac{\hat{z}}{4\beta_z}\sin\phi\cos\phi\right]} d\phi$$

Reduces to **Bessel function** of first kind if $\xi^{(2)} = 0$: Eqs. I and II become identical

Airbag bunch: linear chromaticity scan

- First test with linear chromaticity and ξ⁽²⁾ = 0
- Broad-band resonator impedance
- Complex frequencies of azimuthal modes up to order || = 5
- Analytical result using Eq. II (equivalent to Eq. I for linear chromaticity)
- **Comparisons** with **PyHEADTAIL tracking** code show **excellent agreement**
- Same for circulant matrix model (BimBim)^[13]
- Next: Scan in $\xi^{(2)}$ for fixed $\xi^{(1)} = 0.25$



Airbag bunch: second-order chromaticity scan

- Scan ξ⁽²⁾ at fixed ξ⁽¹⁾ = 0.25
- Same resonator impedance
- Analytical result using Eq. II
- Modes indeed change with $\xi^{(2)}$
- Real coherent frequency shows constant shift as expected from Eq. II
- Again, excellent agreement with
 PyHEADTAIL and BimBim



Formalism works well for the airbag model



Vlasov theory for nonlinear chromaticity Gaussian bunch

• **Eigenvalue equation including nonlinear chromaticity** For the airbag beam before, integration over r was straightforward

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \sigma_p = -i \frac{q^2 \omega_s \omega_0}{4\pi \omega_{\beta,0} \eta E_0} \sum_{p'=-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_{p'} Z_1^{\perp}(\omega') \int_0^\infty \frac{r g_0(r) H_l^{p'}(r) H_l^p(r)}{\Omega^{(l)} - \omega_{\beta,0} - l\omega_s - \langle \Delta \omega_\beta \rangle_{\phi}(r)} \, dr$$

- Assuming a highly-peaked impedance only one term p₀ in the sum contributes (single-peak approximation).
- We recognize 'standard' dispersion relation

$$\forall l \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad 1 = -i \frac{q^2 \omega_s \omega_0}{4\pi \omega_{\beta,0} \eta E_0} Z_1^{\perp}(\omega_{p_0}') \int_0^\infty \frac{r g_0(r) |H_l^{p_0}(r)|^2}{\Omega^{(l)} - \omega_{\beta,0} - l\omega_s - \langle \Delta \omega_\beta \rangle_\phi(r)} \, dr \quad \text{(Eq. III)}$$

Integrate numerically to obtain stability boundary diagrams (Landau bypass rule: add ic to denominator)

- H_I^p again describes change of effective impedance from nonlinear chromaticity (like for airbag bunch)
- Landau damping is a result of the *r*-dependent term $\langle \Delta \omega_{m eta} \rangle_{\phi}({f r})$ in the denominator, representing amplitude-dependent frequency spread
- Landau damping only from even orders of chromaticity; odd orders do not produce amplitude-dependent frequency spread

Gaussian bunch: benchmarks of theory vs. PyHEADTAIL

- Highly **narrow-band resonator** impedance (Q = 5 x 10⁴) to fulfil **single-peak approximation**
- Compute stability boundary diagrams using Eq. III to determine stability threshold
- Stability diagram strongly asymmetric as a result of one-sided frequency spread
- Here **negative** $\xi^{(2)}$ more suited for stabilization, since mode under consideration has $Re \Omega < 0$
- Excellent agreement on stability threshold between PyHEADTAIL tracking simulations and stability diagram theory



Gaussian bunch: benchmarks of theory vs. PyHEADTAIL

• Take it one step further

Evaluate dispersion relation (Eq. III) for **different values of** *i* ϵ to obtain *isolines of imaginary part* and the **distortion of the complex frequency space**

 \implies Instability growth rates at intermediate $\xi^{(2)}$

- For this particular case, **coherent frequency shift with** $\xi^{(2)}$ **negligible** (see backup)
- Theory works well and shows a very good agreement with PyHEADTAIL



Vlasov theory for nonlinear chromaticity Gaussian bunch: benchmarks of theory vs. PyHEADTAI

- Take it one step further
 Evaluate dispersion relation (Eq. III) for different values of *i*s to obtain *isolines of imaginary part* and the distortion of the complex frequency space
 - Instability growth rates at intermediate ξ⁽²⁾
 - Second-order chromaticity (even orders of chromaticity in general) and hence the rf quadrupole indeed provide Landau damping
 - We have **identified the two effects** that were **observed** in **LHC experiments**

Conclusions

- Traditional approach for Landau damping with magnetic octupoles less efficient in future machines
- Exploring alternative: detuning with longitudinal amplitude (rf quadrupole / nonlinear chromaticity) from theoretical, numerical, and experimental points-of-view
- LHC experiment with Q" showed two effects
 - 1. Landau damping
 - 2. Change of unstable mode
- Both effects are accurately reproduced with PyHEADTAIL
- Extended Vlasov formalism for nonlinear chromaticity: both mechanisms identified analytically
- Benchmarks against numerical models show excellent agreement and validate the theory
- Nonlinear chromaticity and rf quadrupole do provide Landau damping

Agreement from all points-of-view: theory, simulations, and experiments, demonstrating a good understanding of the involved beam dynamics

References

- [1] A. Grudiev, *Radio frequency quadrupole for Landau damping in accelerators*, PRAB **17**, 011001, 2014.
- [2] M. Schenk *et al.*, Use of RF Quadrupole Structures to Enhance Stability in Accelerator Rings, Proceedings of HB2016, paper THPM7X01, Malmö, Sweden, 2016.
- [3] K. Papke and A. Grudiev, *Design of an RF Quadrupole for Landau Damping*, PRAB **20**, 082001, 2017.
- [4] M. Schenk, A. Grudiev, K. Li, K. Papke, *Analysis of transverse beam stabilization with radio frequency quadrupoles*, PRAB **20**, 104402, 2017.
- [5] O. Brüning et al., LHC Design Report, CERN Yellow Reports: Monographs, Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.
- [6] F. Baudrenghien and T. Mastoridis, *Longitudinal emittance blowup in the Large Hadron Collider*, NIM A **726**, pp. 181-190, 2013.
- [7] S. Fartoukh, Second order chromaticity correction of LHC V6.0 at collision, LHC Project Report **308**, 1999.
- [8] L. R. Carver *et al.*, *Current status of instability threshold measurements in the LHC at 6.5 TeV*, Proceedings of IPAC16, Busan, Korea, 2016.
- [9] E. Métral *et al., Beam instabilities in hadron synchrotrons,* IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science **63**, no. 2, pp. 1001-1050, 2016.
- [10] L. R. Carver et al., MD1831: Single bunch instabilities with Q" and non-linear corrections, CERN MD Note, Feb. 2017.

[11] M. Schenk *et al.*, *Practical Stabilisation of Transverse Collective Instabilities with Second Order Chromaticity in the LHC*, presented at IPAC'17, Copenhagen, Denmark, paper THPVA026, 2017.

[12] A. Chao, Physics of Collective Beam Instabilities in High Energy Accelerators, Wiley, New York, 1993.

[13] X. Buffat, *Transverse beams stability studies at the Large Hadron Collider*, PhD Thesis, EPFL, Switzerland, 2015.

Thank you!





For this particular case, coherent frequency shift with $\xi^{(2)}$ is negligible