#### Broadband Feedback System for Instability Damping in the SNS Ring

#### N.J. Evans Oak Ridge National Lab HB 2016 Malmö, Sweden



ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle for the US Department of Energy



#### **Overview**

- Motivation
- Feedback System Design
- Damping
- Performance
- Summary



#### **Motivation**

 e-p instability – interaction of beam with a cloud of electrons in the beam pipe generated by low levels of beam loss, amplified by secondary electron generation



#### **Motivation – E-P Manifestation**

 Broadband (80-120MHz) betatron oscillation:



Rise time ~10's of turns

#### Spectrogram of Difference Signal



# **Motivation – E-P During Operation**

E-p produces <1mm oscillation near the end of accumulation – *No detectable losses associated with e-p* – but:

- Onset will be fast rise times typically 10s of turns
- Complicated dependence on many parameters bunch shape, current, momentum spread, etc.
- Could be a problem as we move to 2.8 MW

Mitigation measures include: Beam pipe coating, up to 15 kV 2<sup>nd</sup> harmonic RF, large apertures (low losses), and *active feedback* 



# **Design Philosophy**

- Wanted a system that is easy to maintain, with minimal complexity
- Several Considerations:
  - SNS ring only accumulates beam ~1000 turns (1ms) except for special cases no more stored turns even after upgrade
  - Broadband instability spans from ~80-120MHz

 Settled on a delay-line feedback system, with digital delay, minimal digital processing to improve bandwidth



 Avoided mode-by-mode style dampers which would require separate processing channels for each time slice or mode



 We pay for simplicity with a loss of mode-by-mode gain/phase control



 Pickup and kicker are identical 0.49m striplines





# **Damper System DSP**

- 1 QuiXilica Triton-V5 Board/plane
  - 10-bit ADC (up to 2GSPS synched to 10 MHz ring RF)
  - 3 Xilinx Virtex-5 FPGAs per board:
    - Delay
    - Comb Filters
    - FIR EQ
    - Digital Gain
  - 12-bit DAC





# **Damper System Interface**

- Damper Interface
- Analog Delay Interface
- LabView handles communication with **FPGA**

Vertical System

Fault Up

Horizontal System Remote Left

Fault Left

Reset LEFT feedback damper v03.lvproi/My Computer

Reset UP

Remote Up

Elle Edit View Project Operate Tools Window Help

Remote Down

Remote Right

Fault Right

Fault Down

Reset DOWN

Reset RIGHT



# **Damper System In Action**

- Broadband signature of e-p activity on spectrogram - fall 2015
- Damper effectively suppresses e-p

\*Note that upper and lower sidebands have been separated



# **Damper System In Action**

 Total oscillation amplitude is only about <1 mm at the moment



# **Damper System In Action**

- Vertical oscillation not as pronounced
- Damper suppresses this motion



National Laboratory | SOURCE

#### Vertical Plane

# A Closer Look – Turn 600

Damper Off Damper On

- Power reduced by 25dB in worst modes
- Full extinction to within noise we see when instability is not present
- At this point, modes above ~90 are not active



# **Effectiveness Throughout Cycle**



 Log Ratio of betatron peak damper on/off at two points in the cycle for many modes



# **Damping Rates**

- We can drive beam with the damper, then damp
- Difference signal during drive-damp test
- Sign of the gain is flipped at turn ~550





# **Damping Rates**

- Gain too large for optimal damping
- Exponential fit to dipole signal gives growth/damp rates



#### **Damper System – Delay**

- Damping is most effective when we kick at odd integers of  $\pi/2$  phase shift x'
- Minimum delay (red) >2 turns
- Optimal delay is longer



Х

# **Damper System – Delay Breakdown**



SPALLATION



# **Damper System – Delay Breakdown**





# **Damper System – Delay**

• Delay must satisfy:

Total Phase Advance

**Total Delay** 

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{p-k} + N2\pi Q &\approx (2j+1)\pi/2 \\ \hline t_f + N\tau &= T_{Min} + T_{add} \end{aligned}$$

- First choose N to satisfy the phase advance
- Then adjust T<sub>add</sub>





# **Damper System – Delay**

• Delay must satisfy:

Total Phase Advance

**Total Delay** 

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{p-k} + N2\pi Q \end{bmatrix} \approx (2j+1)\pi/2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_f + N\tau \end{bmatrix} = T_{Min} + T_{add}$$

- Actual delays are:
  - Hor = 6 turns
  - Ver = 4 turns





# **Damper System – Gain**

- More gain = more damping... to a point
- Max gain varies by mode, but we only have one gain knob for the full bandwidth
- Multi-turn delay affects limit as well



# **Damper System – Gain**

- More gain = more damping... to a point
- Max gain varies by mode, but we only have one gain knob for the full bandwidth
- Multi-turn delay affects limit as well



# **Setting the Gain and Delay Knobs**

- Tuning is an iterative procedure
  - Start with low gain, set approximate delay based on measurement
  - Try flipping the gain, if you see growth with only one sign of the gain, you're close
  - With gain that damps, increase gain until you see growth
  - Vary timing in small (~1/4  $\lambda$ min) steps in each direction
  - Find best timing, and ramp gain until damping is maximized



# **Tuning : Gain**

- Gain is a digital multiplier
- Properly timed, increasing the gain increases damping up to a point



# **Tuning : Gain**

- Damping increases with increase in magnitude of gain
- Eventually increasing gain causes growth



SPALLATION

# **Tuning : Timing**

Measure power in difference signal vs. timing



# **Tuning : Timing**

- As we move away from optimal delay the feedback system drives more modes
- This is more apparent when the gain is near the maximum



- Power in the 10-300 MHz band of difference signal
- Note the small rise near the end of the cycle in vertical plane



National Laboratory

SOURCE

- Power in the 10-300 MHz band of difference signal
- Once timing is set, gain is tuned to minimize total oscillation
- Note the small rise near the end of the cycle



National Laboratory

 Is the rise in power near the end of the cycle real?



- Seems to be some activity in the upper sideband, but none in the lower.
- This suggests e-p is damped, but damper is driving some beam motion.



#### **Gain Limitation**

- As we increase gain eventually beam is driven
- Max gain changes throughout the cycle



#### **Gain Limitation**

- Momentum spread, beam current increase (dipole = y×I, effective gain increase) through cycle
- Both could be responsible for some growth



#### **Gain Limitation**

 Currently gain setting at any time is limited by the trying to minimize oscillation throughout cycle



#### **Gain Scheduling**

- Gain should be a function of time
- We tested this with a two stage gain schedule





# **Gain Scheduling**

- With our large gain, growth occurs in higher modes, and late in the cycle
- Gain schedule does help damp e-p and reduce growth late in cycle



# Summary

- Hybrid delay-line/DSP damper is effective at damping broadband instability seen in SNS ring
- Main limitations are lack of independent gain/phase control for each mode, leads to delay intrinsic in timing all modes simultaneously that reduces maximum gain



# Summary

- Understand physics behind e-p onset better to identify better working point in a complicated parameter space – delay, tune, momentum spread, gain, bunch shape, etc. – to minimize loss
  - Will e-p be a problem at 2.8 MW
  - Beam based experiments
- Understand limitations of a delay-line based system
  - Analytic work and simulation
  - What is the quantitative benefit of mode-by-mode system?
  - At what point is it worth it to switch, if it ever is.



#### **Questions?**

Special Thanks:

S. Aleksandrov, J. Bryan, C. Deibele, Z. Xie



43 Evans - HB2016 - July 5, 2016