# Wakes in laminated magnets and applications for Fermilab Booster

Alexandru Macridin

James Amundson Panagiotis Spentzouris

Fermilab

Linda Spentzouris Daniel McCarron

Illinois Institute of Technology

- Introduction
- Impedance and wake in laminated magnets
- Synergia code
- Results
- Conclusions

### Fermilab Booster:

- Intensity ≈ 6 x 10<sup>12</sup> p, much grater than the design value
- At injection, E= 400 MeV, γ=1.4
- Collective effects are important

space-charge wake fields



- 24 cells
- F-magnets
- D-magnets
- straight sections



LONG

SHORT

# **Particularities of Booster magnets:**



Vacuum chamber geometry

We assume parallel-planes chamber geometry is a good approximation for calculating wake fields

• Laminations: strong wake fields

# **Realistic simulations should include :**

- Single particle maps
- 3D space-charge solvers for the parallel-planes
  magnets and the circular straight sections

Coupling wake fields for laminated magnets

# Introduction

# Impedance and wake in laminated magnets

# Synergia code

- Results
- Conclusions

# Wakes for chambers with parallel-planes geometry

 $c\Delta p_{z} = -qQW^{\parallel}(z)$   $c\Delta p_{x} = -qQ(W^{\perp}_{x}(z)X - W^{\perp}_{x}(z)x)$   $c\Delta p_{y} = -qQ(W^{\perp}y(z)Y + W^{\perp}_{x}(z)y)$ 

• |Z|

quadrupole terms

Not present in circular chambers

- q,Q charge of the trailing and the leading particle
- X,Y displacements of the leading particle
- *x*,*y* displacements of the trailing particle
  - distance between the leading and the trailing particles

# For simulations we need: $W^{||}(z), W_x^{\perp}(z), W_v^{\perp}(z)$

**Impedance** functions

$$W^{\parallel}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\omega Z^{\parallel}(\omega) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}z}$$

$$W_{x,y}^{\perp}(z) = \frac{j}{2\pi} \int d\omega Z_{x,y}(\omega) e^{-j\frac{\omega}{c}z}$$

The impedance can be written in a good approximation as function of the surface impedance  $\mathcal{R}(\omega)$ 

$$Z=Z(\mathcal{R})$$

 $E_{z}=-\mathcal{R}H_{x}$  at pipe's wall (y= b)

$$Z^{||} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2\pi b}$$

$$Z_x = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2\pi k} \int_0^\infty d\eta \frac{\eta^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 \eta b}{1 - \frac{j\mathcal{R}\eta}{Z_0 k} \tanh \eta b}$$

$$Z_y = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{2\pi k} \int_0^\infty d\eta \frac{\eta^2 \operatorname{csch}^2 \eta b}{1 - \frac{j\mathcal{R}\eta}{Z_0 k} \operatorname{coth} \eta b}$$

for parallel-planes geometry

# Laminated structure model



- iron laminations
- dielectric crack
- laminations are shorted

The calculation of the impedances reduces to the calculation of the surface impedance for crack,  $\mathcal{R}_{\rm c}$ 

$$R = \frac{R_c h + R_l \tau}{h + \tau} \approx \frac{R_c h}{h + \tau}$$

K.Y. Ng, Fermilab, FN-0744

### **Impedance functions, F-magnet**



• Low  $\omega$  behavior of Z<sup>||</sup> is resistive-wall like  $\approx \omega^{1/2}$  but  $\approx$ 400 times larger than for an iron resistive-wall pipe

The impedances show two peaks in the interval 20 MHz -200 MHz





- For distance of the order of meters wakes are large
- W<sup>||</sup>(z) oscillates in sign, is repulsive for z<2m, attractive around z</li>
  ≈4m
- At large z,  $W^{\perp}_{x,v}(z)$  decays faster than resistive-wall wake

# Introduction

Impedance and wake in laminated magnets

# Synergia code

Results

# Conclusions



- Synergia is a composite code containing modules from several sources
- Synergia utilizes state-of-the-art numerical and general computing infrastructure

New modules for Booster simulation:

- 3D Poisson solvers (PIC) for parallel-planes and rectangular vacuum chamber geometry
- General impedance module, requires a file with tabulated wake functions

#### Wake field simulation: 130,000 macroparticles per bunch

Example: transverse kick of the macroparticle "i"

$$c\Delta p_{xi} = -qQ \sum_{j} (W^{\perp}_{x}(z_{ji})X_{j} - W^{\perp}_{x}(z_{ji})x_{i})$$
$$c\Delta p_{yi} = -qQ \sum_{j} (W^{\perp}_{y}(z_{ji})Y_{j} + W^{\perp}_{x}(z_{ji})y_{i})$$

# Introduction

- Impedance and wake in laminated magnets
- Synergia code
- Results
- Conclusions

Experiment

#### Tunes separated to minimize coupling effect

#### Daniel McCarron PhD Thesis, 2010



Evolution of H. and V tune monitored over time for intensities from 2 to 15 injected turns



#### Direct measurement of tune shift with well-separated tunes

- Large tune separation to minimize coupling effect
- Tunes recorded continuously over 1st few ms of cycle
- Cumulative effect on tune observed
- Magnification from laminations in keeping with prediction

Chao, Heifets, Zotter PRSTAB vol. 5, 111001 2002

### **Coherent tune shift, comparison with experiment**



# Phase space profiles



- We start with a matched beam for the simulations without collective effects
- The departing particles after 1000 turns (red points) are traced back. At injection they are close to the separatrix
- Wake fields contribute to beam loss, ≈1% after 1000 turns

# Single bunch versus multi-bunch simulation



Longitudinal wake

- Beam loss is reduced by the wake interaction between bunches
- The attraction between bunches reduces beam loss

### Emittance

3 simulations: •Space-charge and full wake •Space-charge and transverse wake only •Space-charge only



- Wake fields increase strongly the emittance
- The space-charge effect on emittance is much smaller
- The transverse wakes increase the transverse emittance

# **Conclusions:**

- The laminated wake fields are large and have a very different shape then the resistive wall ones
- The coherent tune shift simulations are in good agreement with experiment
- The longitudinal wake has the potential to produce significant beam loss
- Bunch-bunch wake interaction reduces beam loss
- The wake fields increase strongly the emittance

#### Study 2

#### Tunes separated to minimize coupling effect



Evolution of H. and V tune monitored over time for intensities from 2 to 15 injected turns



#### Tunes vs. intensity near injection, and later in cycle



#### Horizontal Tune Dependence on Intensity