

Studies of the effect of 2nd harmonic on the e-p instability and RF control of instabilities

V. Danilov and Z. Liu SNS/ORNL on behalf of SNS AP team

September 27– October 1, 2010

Accelerator Physics

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Ring parameters:

- ✓ ~ 1GeV (860-931 MeV in our studies)
- 248 m perimeter
- \forall ~10 cm VC radius
- Working point (6.23,6.21)
 (5.795,5.81) optional
- Design intensity –
 1.4×10¹⁴ protons
- Power on target 1.4 MW at first stage
- The ring design was lowloss high intensity oriented





Common high intensity design features:

high energy spread design and broadband feedback provision +

For E-p instability mitigation:

a) Electron collection near stripper foil;

 b) Experiments of 1999 showed significant reduction of electrons in a coated spool piece of PSR vacuum chamber.
 This led to a decision to coat all pieces of VC with TiN;

c) Solenoids near the regions with high loss;

d) clearing electrode near the stripper foil;

e) Electron detectors for electron accumulation study.

Also we have minimization of other impedances. We see extraction kicker and resistive wall instability, but they are much weaker than e-p instability

Some of the first observations of e-p instability

Instability occurs near flat top, closer to front of the beam, and moves backwards.



Integrated signal for one electrode

SPALLATION





- 2006 10^14 protons per pulse with instability
- 2007-1.1* 10^14 protons per pulse with instability
- 2008-1.3* 10^14 protons per pulse with instability
- 2009 now 1.1*10^14 without instability and around 1.4*10^14 with small instability
- We attribute the improvements to low loss more "clean" beam in the ring
- We noticed that manipulations with RF helped to eliminate instability
- SNS RF has 3 1st harmonic cavities (around1 MHz, 20 kV each)
- and 1 2nd harmonic cavity (2 MHz around 20 kV voltage)
- In our experiments we had 1 1st harmonic station off.

2009 experiments with RF manipulations



0.25 turn 900 0.2 turn 820 turn 740 0.15¹ Ontrent turn 660 turn 580 turn 500 0.1 turn 420 turn 340 0.05 turn 260 turn 180 turn 100 00 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 time(us)

Intensity – 1.1*10^14 ppp 980 turns of accumulation

Upper plot – 1^{st} harmonic RF voltage 10 kV, 2^{nd} V=15 kV 2^{nd} harmonic phase = -5 deg

Lower plot - 1^{st} harmonic RF Voltage 5.5 kV, 2^{nd} V=15 kV 2^{nd} harmonic phase = -15 deg

SPALLATION NEUTRO

E-p instability signatures for these cases



Possible explanations of the phenomenon



Pivi-Furman model for SEM yield : SS and TiN surfaces

Electron motion in a proton bunch field

SNS case – electrons have energy from 50 to 300 eV when striking the vacuum chamber at the trailing edge

Possible explanations (cont.)



Integrated yield as a function of trailing edge steepness s=200ns/(trailing edge duration) Left – SS chamber Right- aluminum chamber

Possible explanations (cont.)



Accelerator Physics

SPALLATION NEUTRON SOURCE

 Long trailing edge. Its drawback – the minimal parameter *s* can be around 0.5 only (sharp leading edge and the whole bunch is just trailing edge);
 Short trailing edge. Parameter s can be in principle very high (high voltage barrier cavity) –it kills both trailing edge and in-gap electrons.



Accelerator Physics

SPALLATION NEUTRON SOURCE

- SNS e-p instability experiments showed strong dependence of instability signals on RF configuration;
- Possible explanation electron accumulation dependence on longitudinal distribution of the proton bunch;
- Two opposite cases (with long and short trailing edges) are identified to be the best for mitigating e-p instability
- The most promising case seems to be longitudinal distribution, created by high-voltage barrier cavity (this case is also very good for minimizing space charge effects, and even creating self-consistent space-charge distributions (SNS presentation in Tsukuba HB2006)