

Longitudinal multi-bunch instabilities including higher-harmonic (bunch lengthening) cavities



#### **Ryan Lindberg**

Physicist Accelerator Operations and Physics Group

Future Light Source Workshop 2018 March 8, 2018 in Shanghai, China

#### Outline

- 1. Introduction and motivation
- 2. Brief sketch of the theoretical derivation of our multi-bunch dispersion relation for arbitrary potentials
- 3. Two examples
  - 1. Linear oscillator: reduction to usual theory
  - 2. Weakly nonlinear oscillator: Landau damping
- 4. Dispersion relation for higher-harmonic cavity (HHC) set to ideal bunch-lengthening (quartic oscillator)
- 5. Comparison of HHC theory to simulation for instabilities driven by one higher-order mode (HOM) of the APS-U rf cavity
- 6. Conclusions and outlook



+

Many next-generation storage rings will employ two rf systems

Main rf cavities: Accelerate and focus bunch Higher harmonic cavity (HHC): Lengthen bunch

- Increase the Touschek lifetime of the ultra-low emittance beam
- Decrease single-bunch wakefield effects (rf heating, microwave instability, TMCI)



+

Many next-generation storage rings will employ two rf systems

Main rf cavities: Accelerate and focus bunch Higher harmonic cavity (HHC): Lengthen bunch

- Increase the Touschek lifetime of the ultra-low emittance beam
- Decrease single-bunch wakefield effects (rf heating, microwave instability, TMCI)
- APS-U plans to use a passive HHC that is tuned to maximize lifetime
  - Longitudinal potential goes from harmonic ( $\sim z^2$ ) to approximately quartic ( $\sim z^4$ )
  - Average synchrotron frequency  $< \omega_s >$  becomes small with a spread ~ mean



+

Many next-generation storage rings will employ two rf systems

Main rf cavities: Accelerate and focus bunch Higher harmonic cavity (HHC): Lengthen bunch

- Increase the Touschek lifetime of the ultra-low emittance beam
- Decrease single-bunch wakefield effects (rf heating, microwave instability, TMCI)
- APS-U plans to use a passive HHC that is tuned to maximize lifetime
  - Longitudinal potential goes from harmonic ( $\sim z^2$ ) to approximately quartic ( $\sim z^4$ )
  - Average synchrotron frequency  $<\omega_s>$  becomes small with a spread ~ mean
- How does this affect longitudinal multi-bunch instabilities?
  - Low mean synchrotron frequency increases growth rates
  - Large synchrotron frequency spread introduces Landau damping



+

Many next-generation storage rings will employ two rf systems

Main rf cavities: Accelerate and focus bunch Higher harmonic cavity (HHC): Lengthen bunch

- Increase the Touschek lifetime of the ultra-low emittance beam
- Decrease single-bunch wakefield effects (rf heating, microwave instability, TMCI)
- APS-U plans to use a passive HHC that is tuned to maximize lifetime
  - Longitudinal potential goes from harmonic ( $\sim z^2$ ) to approximately quartic ( $\sim z^4$ )
  - Average synchrotron frequency  $<\omega_s>$  becomes small with a spread ~ mean
- How does this affect longitudinal multi-bunch instabilities?
  - Low mean synchrotron frequency increases growth rates
  - Large synchrotron frequency spread introduces Landau damping
- We will present a theory that quantitatively addresses these competing interests
  - Growth rates are related to the matrix theory introduced by Thompson and Ruth [1]
  - Theory includes a dispersion integral which gives Landau damping similar to that predicted in [2-4], but in a fully self-consistent manner.

 [1] K.A. Thompson and R.D. Ruth. "Transverse and longitudinal coupled bunch instabilities in trains of closely spaced bunches," Proc. of 1989 PAC, pp 792; SLAC-PUB-4872 (1989).
 [2] J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal symmetric coupled bunch modes," Lab. Rep. No. BNL 51302 (1980).
 [3] M.S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J.J. Bisognano. "ZAP user's manual," Lab. Rep. No. LBL-21270 (1986).
 [4] R.A. Bosch, K.J. Kleman, and J.J. Bisognano. "Robinson instabilities with a higher-harmonic cavity," PRSTAB 4, 074401 (2001).



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :

$$\left[-i\Omega+\omega(\mathcal{I})\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right]f_n(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\partial\bar{F}}{\partial\mathcal{I}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_b-1}\frac{e^2N_{e,j}}{\gamma mc^2T_0}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}\int d\hat{\Phi}d\hat{\mathcal{I}}\ e^{i\ell\Omega T_0}f_j(\hat{\Phi},\hat{\mathcal{I}})\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}W_{\parallel}[z-(\hat{z}+\ell cT_0+L_{n,j})]$$



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :

Amplitude-dependent synchrotron frequency associated with longitudinal potential

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i\Omega + \omega(\mathcal{I})\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi} \end{bmatrix} f_n(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\partial\bar{F}}{\partial\mathcal{I}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_b-1} \frac{e^2 N_{e,j}}{\gamma mc^2 T_0} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ e^{i\ell\Omega T_0} f_j(\hat{\Phi},\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi} W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})]$$

Complex frequency of coupled-bunch mode



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :

Amplitude-dependent synchrotron frequency associated with longitudinal potential

$$\begin{bmatrix} -i\Omega + \omega(\mathcal{I})\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi} \end{bmatrix} f_n(\Phi,\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\partial\bar{F}}{\partial\mathcal{I}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_b-1} \frac{e^2 N_{e,j}}{\gamma m c^2 T_0} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \int d\hat{\Phi} d\hat{\mathcal{I}} \ e^{i\ell\Omega T_0} f_j(\hat{\Phi},\hat{\mathcal{I}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi} W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})]$$
Complex frequency of Sum over all previous turns



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :





• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :





• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :



• To get a tractable solution, we do the following:



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :



- To get a tractable solution, we do the following:
  - 1. Assume  $W_{\parallel}$  varies slowly over the bunch length and Taylor expand

$$W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})] = \left[W_{\parallel}(\xi) + (z - \hat{z})\frac{d}{d\xi}W_{\parallel} + \dots\right]_{\xi = -(\ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})}$$



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :



- To get a tractable solution, we do the following:
  - 1. Assume  $W_{\parallel}$  varies slowly over the bunch length and Taylor expand

 $W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})] = \left[W_{\parallel}(\xi) + (z - \hat{z})\frac{d}{d\xi}W_{\parallel} + \dots\right]_{\xi = -(\ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})}$ 

2. Expand z as the Fourier series  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) = \sum_p z_p(\mathcal{I})e^{ip\Phi} \approx z_1(\mathcal{I})e^{i\Phi} + c.c.$ 



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :



- To get a tractable solution, we do the following:
  - 1. Assume  $W_{\parallel}$  varies slowly over the bunch length and Taylor expand

 $W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})] = \left[W_{\parallel}(\xi) + (z - \hat{z})\frac{d}{d\xi}W_{\parallel} + \dots\right]_{\xi = -(\ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})}$ 

- 2. Expand z as the Fourier series  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) = \sum_p z_p(\mathcal{I})e^{ip\Phi} \approx z_1(\mathcal{I})e^{i\Phi} + c.c.$
- 3. "Solve" for  $f_n$  on the left-hand-side by using  $\left(\frac{i\Omega}{\omega} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right) f_n = e^{-i\Omega\Phi/\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi} \left(e^{i\Omega\Phi/\omega} f_n\right)$  and integrating over angle similar to [5,6]

[5] R.D. Ruth and J.M. Wang. "Vertical fast blow-up in a single bunch, "IEEE Trans. Nucl. Science **28**, 2405 (1981). [6] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal instabilities of bunched beams subfect to a non-harmonic rf potential," Part. Accel. **17**, 109 (1985).



• We index each bunch by n ( $0 \le n \le N_b - 1$ ), transform to action angle variables  $(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$ , and linearize the set of Vlasov equations via  $F_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}; s) = \overline{F}(\mathcal{I}) + e^{-i\Omega s/c} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  with  $|f_n| \ll \overline{F}$ :



- To get a tractable solution, we do the following:
  - 1. Assume  $W_{\parallel}$  varies slowly over the bunch length and Taylor expand

 $W_{\parallel}[z - (\hat{z} + \ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})] = \left[W_{\parallel}(\xi) + (z - \hat{z})\frac{d}{d\xi}W_{\parallel} + \dots\right]_{\xi = -(\ell cT_0 + L_{n,j})}$ 

- 2. Expand z as the Fourier series  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) = \sum_p z_p(\mathcal{I})e^{ip\Phi} \approx z_1(\mathcal{I})e^{i\Phi} + c.c.$
- 3. "Solve" for  $f_n$  on the left-hand-side by using  $\left(\frac{i\Omega}{\omega} + \frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\right)f_n = e^{-i\Omega\Phi/\omega}\frac{\partial}{\partial\Phi}\left(e^{i\Omega\Phi/\omega}f_n\right)$  and integrating over angle similar to [5,6]
- 4. Define the centroid  $\langle z \rangle_n = \int d\Phi d\mathcal{I} f_n(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) z(\Phi, \mathcal{I})$  and approximate  $e^{i\ell\Omega T_0} \approx e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_0}$  on the right-hand side.

[5] R.D. Ruth and J.M. Wang. "Vertical fast blow-up in a single bunch, "IEEE Trans. Nucl. Science **28**, 2405 (1981). [6] S. Krinsky and J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal instabilities of bunched beams subfect to a non-harmonic rf potential," Part. Accel. **17**, 109 (1985).



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\langle z \rangle_n = \frac{2\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{N_b - 1} \frac{e^2 \sigma_t N_{e,j}}{2\gamma m c T_0 \sigma_\delta} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{i\ell \langle \Omega \rangle T_0} \left. \frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})}$$



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\langle z \rangle_n = \frac{2\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{N_b - 1} \left( \frac{e^2 \sigma_t N_{e,j}}{2\gamma m c T_0 \sigma_\delta} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{i\ell \langle \Omega \rangle T_0} \left. \frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \right|_{\xi = -(\ell c T_0 + L_{n,j})} \langle z \rangle_j \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \left. 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \right|_{\xi = -(\ell$$

Long-range wakefield coupling matrix as given in, e.g., [1,7]

[1] K.A. Thompson and R.D. Ruth. Proc. of 1989 PAC, pp 792; SLAC-PUB-4872 (1989). [7] L. Emery, "User's guide to program clinchor," https://ops.aps.anl.gov/manuals/clinchor\_V2.0/clinchor.html (2016).



• The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\begin{split} \langle z \rangle_{n} &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\left[ \frac{e^{2}\sigma_{t}N_{e,j}}{2\gamma m cT_{0}\sigma_{\delta}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_{0}} \left. \frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi} \right|_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})} \right] \langle z \rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z} \right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}} \sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\mathsf{M}_{n,j}\langle z \rangle_{j}}_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z} \right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \end{split}$$



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\begin{split} z\rangle_{n} &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\left[\frac{e^{2}\sigma_{t}N_{e,j}}{2\gamma mcT_{0}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_{0}} \left.\frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi}\right|_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})}\right]}_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \mathsf{M}_{n,j} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \end{split}$$

• We diagonalize the coupling matrix M by finding a matrix U such that  $(UMU^{-1})_{n,j} = \lambda_n \delta_{n,j}$ , with  $\tau_n = \sum_j U_{n,j} \langle z \rangle_j$  the coupled bunch mode and  $\lambda_n$  the coupled bunch eigenvalue.



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\begin{split} z\rangle_{n} &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\left[\frac{e^{2}\sigma_{t}N_{e,j}}{2\gamma mcT_{0}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_{0}} \left.\frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi}\right|_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})}\right]}_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \mathsf{M}_{n,j} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \end{split}$$

- We diagonalize the coupling matrix M by finding a matrix U such that  $(UMU^{-1})_{n,j} = \lambda_n \delta_{n,j}$ , with  $\tau_n = \sum_j U_{n,j} \langle z \rangle_j$  the coupled bunch mode and  $\lambda_n$  the coupled bunch eigenvalue.
- Then, each mode *n* satisfies the dispersion relation

$$1 = \lambda_n \frac{2\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \, 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2}$$



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\begin{split} z\rangle_{n} &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\left[\frac{e^{2}\sigma_{t}N_{e,j}}{2\gamma mcT_{0}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_{0}} \left.\frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi}\right|_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})}\right]}_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \mathsf{M}_{n,j} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \end{split}$$

- We diagonalize the coupling matrix M by finding a matrix U such that  $(UMU^{-1})_{n,j} = \lambda_n \delta_{n,j}$ , with  $\tau_n = \sum_j U_{n,j} \langle z \rangle_j$  the coupled bunch mode and  $\lambda_n$  the coupled bunch eigenvalue.
- Then, each mode *n* satisfies the dispersion relation

$$1 = \lambda_n \frac{2\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \, 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \, |z_1(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_z|^2 \, \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2}$$

#### **Coupled-bunch matrix eigenvalue:**

Can be computed from the wakefield matrix of the point-charge/SHO model that has the same revolution period  $T_0$ , momentum compaction  $\alpha_c$ , energy spread  $\sigma_{\delta}$ , and bunch length  $\sigma_t$ 



The coupled set of equations for the bunch centroids is

$$\begin{split} z\rangle_{n} &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\left[\frac{e^{2}\sigma_{t}N_{e,j}}{2\gamma mcT_{0}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty}e^{i\ell\langle\Omega\rangle T_{0}} \left.\frac{dW_{\parallel}}{d\xi}\right|_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})}\right]}_{\xi=-(\ell cT_{0}+L_{n,j})} \langle z\rangle_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}} \\ &= \frac{2\sigma_{t}}{\alpha_{c}\sigma_{\delta}}\sum_{j=0}^{N_{b}-1} \underbrace{\mathsf{M}_{n,j}\langle z\rangle_{j}}_{0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} d\mathcal{I} \ 4\pi\bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left|z_{1}(\mathcal{I})/\sigma_{z}\right|^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}{\Omega^{2}-\omega(\mathcal{I})^{2}}} \end{split}$$

- We diagonalize the coupling matrix M by finding a matrix U such that  $(UMU^{-1})_{n,j} = \lambda_n \delta_{n,j}$ , with  $\tau_n = \sum_j U_{n,j} \langle z \rangle_j$  the coupled bunch mode and  $\lambda_n$  the coupled bunch eigenvalue.
- Then, each mode *n* satisfies the dispersion relation

$$1 = \lambda_n \frac{2\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \int_0^\infty d\mathcal{I} \, 4\pi \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) \left| z_1(\mathcal{I}) / \sigma_z \right|^2 \frac{\omega(\mathcal{I})^2}{\Omega^2 - \omega(\mathcal{I})^2}$$

#### **Coupled-bunch matrix eigenvalue:**

Can be computed from the wakefield matrix of the point-charge/SHO model that has the same revolution period  $T_0$ , momentum compaction  $\alpha_c$ , energy spread  $\sigma_{\delta}$ , and bunch length  $\sigma_t$ 

#### Landau damping part:

Integration over the action that is singular when the single-particle oscillation frequency  $\omega(I)$  equals that of the collective motion  $\Omega$ 

[1] K.A. Thompson and R.D. Ruth. Proc. of 1989 PAC, pp 792; SLAC-PUB-4872 (1989). [7] L. Emery, "User's guide to program clinchor," https://ops.aps.anl.gov/manuals/clinchor\_V2.0/clinchor.html (2016).



For the simple harmonic oscillator, we have simple expressions for everything:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_t} \qquad z_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}, \ \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}{2\pi\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}$ 



For the simple harmonic oscillator, we have simple expressions for everything:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_t} \qquad z_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}, \ \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}{2\pi\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}$ 

The dispersion relation is similarly simple

$$1 = \frac{2\lambda_n\omega_s}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = 2\lambda_n\omega_s$$



For the simple harmonic oscillator, we have simple expressions for everything:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_t} \qquad z_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}, \quad \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}{2\pi\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}$ 

The dispersion relation is similarly simple

$$1 = \frac{2\lambda_n\omega_s}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = 2\lambda_n\omega_s$$

• To get this into the familiar form, we use  $\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = (\Omega + \omega_s)(\Omega - \omega_s) \approx 2\omega_s (\Omega - \omega_s)$  so that

 $\Omega = \omega_s + \lambda_n$ 



• For the simple harmonic oscillator, we have simple expressions for everything:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_t} \qquad z_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}, \quad \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}{2\pi\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}$ 

The dispersion relation is similarly simple

$$1 = \frac{2\lambda_n\omega_s}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = 2\lambda_n\omega_s$$

• To get this into the familiar form, we use  $\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = (\Omega + \omega_s)(\Omega - \omega_s) \approx 2\omega_s (\Omega - \omega_s)$  so that

 $\Omega = \omega_s + \lambda_n$  Eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  = complex frequency shift of coupled bunch mode in linear oscillator



• For the simple harmonic oscillator, we have simple expressions for everything:

 $\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s = \frac{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta}{\sigma_t} \qquad z_1(\mathcal{I}) = \sigma_z \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}, \quad \bar{F}(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{e^{-\mathcal{I}/\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}}{2\pi\sigma_z \sigma_\delta}$ 

The dispersion relation is similarly simple

$$1 = \frac{2\lambda_n\omega_s}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = 2\lambda_n\omega_s$$

• To get this into the familiar form, we use  $\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 = (\Omega + \omega_s)(\Omega - \omega_s) \approx 2\omega_s (\Omega - \omega_s)$  so that

 $\Omega = \omega_s + \lambda_n$   $\leftarrow$  Eigenvalue  $\lambda_n$  = complex frequency shift of coupled bunch mode in linear oscillator

- In general, consistency requires the approximation  $\Omega^2 \omega_s^2 \approx 2\omega_s (\Omega \omega_s)$ , because we used the approximation  $\Omega \approx \langle \Omega \rangle$  in the matrix calculation for  $\lambda_n$ .
- However, we will see that setting  $\Omega^2 \omega_s^2 \approx 2\omega_s (\Omega \omega_s)$  is not required for the APS long-range wakefield because of the parameters of the APS higher-order modes (HOMs).



• We add a small nonlinearity to the simple harmonic potential of the single rf system:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I}\left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s \left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \text{with } |b| \ll 1$$

Could model next-order terms in a single or double rf system ~  $\sin(\omega_{rf}z/c)$ , ~  $\sin(h\omega_{rf}z/c)$ 



• We add a small nonlinearity to the simple harmonic potential of the single rf system:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I}\left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s \left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \text{with } |b| \ll 1$$

Could model next-order terms in a single or double rf system  $\sim \sin(\omega_{rf} z/c)$ ,  $\sim \sin(h\omega_{rf} z/c)$ 

• The dispersion relation can be approximated for  $|b| \ll 1$  as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \ \frac{2\omega_s^2 x e^{-x}}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 - 2\omega_s^2 bx} \approx \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \ \frac{\omega_s x e^{-x}}{(\Omega - \omega_s) - \omega_s bx}$$



• We add a small nonlinearity to the simple harmonic potential of the single rf system:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I}\left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s \left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \text{with } |b| \ll 1$$

Could model next-order terms in a single or double rf system ~  $\sin(\omega_{rf}z/c)$ , ~  $\sin(h\omega_{rf}z/c)$ 

• The dispersion relation can be approximated for  $|b| \ll 1$  as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \, \frac{2\omega_s^2 x e^{-x}}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 - 2\omega_s^2 bx} \approx \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \underbrace{\frac{\omega_s x e^{-x}}{(\Omega - \omega_s) - \omega_s bx}}_{\text{Pole at } x = \frac{\Omega - \omega_s}{\omega_s b} \text{ when } \Omega = \omega_s \left(1 + b\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right)$$



• We add a small nonlinearity to the simple harmonic potential of the single rf system:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I}\left(1 + b\frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s \left(1 + b\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \text{with } |b| \ll 1$$

Could model next-order terms in a single or double rf system ~  $\sin(\omega_{rf}z/c)$ , ~  $\sin(h\omega_{rf}z/c)$ 

• The dispersion relation can be approximated for  $|b| \ll 1$  as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \, \frac{2\omega_s^2 x e^{-x}}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 - 2\omega_s^2 bx} \approx \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx \underbrace{\frac{\omega_s x e^{-x}}{(\Omega - \omega_s) - \omega_s bx}}_{\text{Pole at } x = \frac{\Omega - \omega_s}{\omega_s b} \text{ when } \Omega = \omega_s \left(1 + b\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right)$$

• As written, the integral is discontinuous when the imaginary part of  $\Omega$  changes sign

Sokhotski-Plemelj theorem : 
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{a}^{b} dx \frac{f(x)}{x \mp i\epsilon} = \mathcal{P} \int_{a}^{b} dx \frac{f(x)}{x} \pm i\pi f(0) \text{ for } a < 0 < b$$



• We add a small nonlinearity to the simple harmonic potential of the single rf system:

$$\mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) = \frac{\omega_s}{c} \mathcal{I}\left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{2\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \omega(\mathcal{I}) = \omega_s \left(1 + b \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right) \quad \text{with } |b| \ll 1$$

Could model next-order terms in a single or double rf system  $\sim \sin(\omega_{rf} z/c)$ ,  $\sim \sin(h\omega_{rf} z/c)$ 

• The dispersion relation can be approximated for  $|b| \ll 1$  as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \, \frac{2\omega_s^2 x e^{-x}}{\Omega^2 - \omega_s^2 - 2\omega_s^2 bx} \approx \frac{\lambda_n}{\omega_s} \int_0^\infty dx \underbrace{\frac{\omega_s x e^{-x}}{(\Omega - \omega_s) - \omega_s bx}}_{\text{Pole at } x = \frac{\Omega - \omega_s}{\omega_s b} \text{ when } \Omega = \omega_s \left(1 + b\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle}\right)$$

- As written, the integral is discontinuous when the imaginary part of  $\Omega$  changes sign Sokhotski-Plemelj theorem :  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\alpha}^{b} dx \frac{f(x)}{x \mp i\epsilon} = \mathcal{P} \int_{\alpha}^{b} dx \frac{f(x)}{x} \pm i\pi f(0)$  for a < 0 < b
- Landau [8] showed that this dispersion relation only applies when  $Im(\Omega) > 0$
- When  $Im(\Omega) < 0$  we must analytically continue the dispersion relation by deforming the contour to be always below the poles  $\rightarrow$  Landau damping

[8] L. Landau. "On the vibrations of the electronic plasma," J. Physics (USSR) 10, 25 (1946).

Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} i(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integra} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$



Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} i(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

- Solving for purely real ζ when λ is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"
  - The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \,\omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$



Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \left[ \operatorname{Ei}(\zeta) - i\pi \right] \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

- Solving for purely real  $\zeta$  when  $\lambda$  is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"
  - The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \,\omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$

Matrix growth rates < frequency spread are counteracted by Landau damping



Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} i(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

Solving for purely real ζ when λ is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"

- The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$ 

Matrix growth rates < frequency spread are counteracted by Landau damping

- This damping rate is about 10% larger than that given by Refs. [2-3]

[2] J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal symmetric coupled bunch modes," Lab. Rep. No. BNL 51302 (1980). [3] M.S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J.J. Bisognano. "ZAP user's manual," Lab. Rep. No. LBL-21270 (1986).



Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \operatorname{Ei}(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

Solving for purely real ζ when λ is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"

- The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$ 

Matrix growth rates < frequency spread are counteracted by Landau damping

- This damping rate is about 10% larger than that given by Refs. [2-3]
- To proceed, we use the matrix growth rate associated with a higher-order mode (HOM) in the rf cavities which, for  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s$  is a Lorentzian:

$$\lambda_n \approx \nu \frac{i+\varpi}{1+\varpi^2}$$

[2] J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal symmetric coupled bunch modes," Lab. Rep. No. BNL 51302 (1980). [3] M.S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J.J. Bisognano. "ZAP user's manual," Lab. Rep. No. LBL-21270 (1986).



Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} \psi \\ \operatorname{Ei}(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

Solving for purely real ζ when λ is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"

- The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$ 

Matrix growth rates < frequency spread are counteracted by Landau damping

- This damping rate is about 10% larger than that given by Refs. [2-3]
- To proceed, we use the matrix growth rate associated with a higher-order mode (HOM) in the rf cavities which, for  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s$  is a Lorentzian:

 $\lambda_n \approx \nu \frac{i + \varpi}{1 + \varpi^2} \qquad \nu = \pi \frac{eI_{\rm tot}}{\gamma mc^2} \frac{R_s f_{\rm HOM} \sigma_t}{\sigma_\delta T_0} e^{-\omega_{\rm HOM}^2 \sigma_t^2} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{Strength of instability} \\ \text{driven by a HOM} \end{array}$ 

[2] J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal symmetric coupled bunch modes," Lab. Rep. No. BNL 51302 (1980). [3] M.S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J.J. Bisognano. "ZAP user's manual," Lab. Rep. No. LBL-21270 (1986).



• Once the Landau contour is specified, the integration can be done analytically

$$1 = -\frac{\lambda_n}{b\omega_s} \left\{ 1 - \zeta e^{-\zeta} \begin{bmatrix} \star \\ \operatorname{Ei}(\zeta) - i\pi \end{bmatrix} \right\} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ei}(x) \text{ is the exponential integral} \\ \zeta = (\Omega - \omega_s)/b\omega_s \end{array}$$

Solving for purely real ζ when λ is pure imaginary gives "Landau damping rate"

- The matrix eigenvalue  $\lambda \approx 0.909 \omega_s b$  is marginally stable with  $\Omega \approx \omega_s (1 + 1.35b)$ 

Matrix growth rates < frequency spread are counteracted by Landau damping

- This damping rate is about 10% larger than that given by Refs. [2-3]
- To proceed, we use the matrix growth rate associated with a higher-order mode (HOM) in the rf cavities which, for  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s$  is a Lorentzian:

[2] J.M. Wang. "Longitudinal symmetric coupled bunch modes," Lab. Rep. No. BNL 51302 (1980). [3] M.S. Zisman, S. Chattopadhyay, and J.J. Bisognano. "ZAP user's manual," Lab. Rep. No. LBL-21270 (1986).



### HOM-driven growth rates for the weakly nonlinear oscillator



- Instability growth rate is no longer symmetric about the revolution harmonic
- Landau damping provided by nonlinearity is less effective as the strength of the instability increases



### HOM-driven growth rates for the weakly nonlinear oscillator



- Instability growth rate is no longer symmetric about the revolution harmonic
- Landau damping provided by nonlinearity is less effective as the strength of the instability increases



## HOM-driven growth rates for the weakly nonlinear oscillator



- Instability growth rate is no longer symmetric about the revolution harmonic
- Landau damping provided by nonlinearity is less effective as the strength of the instability increases
- This implies that simply subtracting a single Landau damping rate from the instability growth rate will only approximately predict stability



We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

 $\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$ 



We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

$$\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$$

- The oscillation frequency scales with the (amplitude)<sup>1/3</sup>, i.e.,  $\omega(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3}$
- The longitudinal position  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{2K(1/2)}{\pi}\Phi; 1/2\right) \approx 0.96 \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \cos \Phi.$



We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

$$\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$$

- The oscillation frequency scales with the (amplitude)<sup>1/3</sup>, i.e.,  $\omega(\mathcal{I})\propto\mathcal{I}^{1/3}$
- The longitudinal position  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{2K(1/2)}{\pi}\Phi; 1/2\right) \approx 0.96 \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \cos \Phi.$
- After a fair bit of algebra, we can write out the dispersion relation as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n \sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \frac{128\pi e^{-\pi}}{\Gamma(1/4)(1+e^{-\pi})^2} \left[ \int_0^\infty dx \; \frac{x^{5/2} e^{-x^2}}{\zeta^2 - x} - i\pi B(\zeta) \right]$$



We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

$$\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$$

- The oscillation frequency scales with the (amplitude)<sup>1/3</sup>, i.e.,
- The longitudinal position  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{2K(1/2)}{\pi}\Phi; 1/2\right) \approx 0.96 \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \cos \Phi.$
- After a fair bit of algebra, we can write out the dispersion relation as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n \sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \frac{128\pi e^{-\pi}}{\Gamma(1/4)(1+e^{-\pi})^2} \left[ \int_0^\infty dx \; \frac{x^{5/2} e^{-x^2}}{\zeta^2 - x} - i\pi B(\zeta) \right]$$

- Eigenvalue from coupling matrix of single rf system whose bunch length equals the  $\sigma_t$  including the HHC



We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

$$\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$$

- The oscillation frequency scales with the (amplitude)<sup>1/3</sup>, i.e.,  $\omega(\mathcal{I})\propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3}$
- The longitudinal position  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{2K(1/2)}{\pi}\Phi; 1/2\right) \approx 0.96 \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \cos \Phi.$
- After a fair bit of algebra, we can write out the dispersion relation as





We assume that the bunch-lengthening system is tuned to produce the quartic potential

$$\mathcal{H}_0(z, p_z) = \frac{\alpha_c}{2} p_z^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} z^4 \quad \to \quad \mathcal{H}_0(\mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{4/3}$$

- The oscillation frequency scales with the (amplitude)<sup>1/3</sup>, i.e.,  $\omega(\mathcal{I})\propto\mathcal{I}^{1/3}$
- The longitudinal position  $z(\Phi, \mathcal{I}) \propto \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \operatorname{cn}\left(\frac{2K(1/2)}{\pi}\Phi; 1/2\right) \approx 0.96 \mathcal{I}^{1/3} \cos \Phi.$
- After a fair bit of algebra, we can write out the dispersion relation as

$$1 = \frac{\lambda_n \sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \underbrace{\frac{128\pi e^{-\pi}}{\Gamma(1/4)(1+e^{-\pi})^2}}_{\text{Constant} \approx 4.4} \begin{bmatrix} \int \sigma dx & \frac{x^{5/2}e^{-x^2}}{\zeta^2 - x} - i\pi B(\zeta) \\ \int \sigma dx & \frac{x^{5/2}e^{-x^2}}{\zeta^2 - x} - i\pi B(\zeta) \end{bmatrix}$$
  
Scaled complex frequency:  
 $\zeta \approx 1.01 \frac{\sigma_t}{\alpha_c \sigma_\delta} \Omega$   
B( $\zeta$ ) = 
$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \Im(\zeta) > 0 \\ \zeta^5 e^{-\zeta^4} & \text{if } \Im(\zeta) = 0 \\ 2\zeta^5 e^{-\zeta^4} & \text{if } \Im(\zeta) < 0 \end{cases}$$



- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	$\mathrm{HHC} < f_{s} > (\mathrm{kHz})$
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15



- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	HHC $\leq f_s \geq$ (kHz)
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15

• HOMs satisfy  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s \rightarrow$  Single rf growth rate is maximized when  $\omega_{HOM} \approx N\omega_0$ 



- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	$HHC < f_s > (kHz)$
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15

• HOMs satisfy  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s \rightarrow$  Single rf growth rate is maximized when  $\omega_{HOM} \approx N\omega_0$ 





- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	$HHC < f_s > (kHz)$
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15

• HOMs satisfy  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s \rightarrow$  Single rf growth rate is maximized when  $\omega_{HOM} \approx N\omega_0$ 



From a facility perspective, it's natural to compare behavior of only the main rf cavities to that using both the main and harmonic (HHC) systems (red)



- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	$HHC < f_s > (kHz)$
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15

• HOMs satisfy  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s \rightarrow$  Single rf growth rate is maximized when  $\omega_{HOM} \approx N\omega_0$ 



- From a facility perspective, it's natural to compare behavior of only the main rf cavities to that using both the main and harmonic (HHC) systems (red)
- Our theory connects growth rates including the HHC to those of a (fictitious) single rf system with the same bunch length (blue)



Ryan Lindberg – Future Light Source 2018 – March 8, 2018

- The APS-U plans to retain between 8 and 12 main rf cavities of the present-day APS
- Five HOMs have been identified that may drive longitudinal coupled bunch modes

$f_{\rm HOM}$ (MHz)	$R_{\rm s}$ (k $\Omega$ )	<b>Q</b> /10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/T_0$ (kHz)	$f_{\rm HOM}/2Q$ (kHz)	No HHC $f_s$ (kHz)	$HHC < f_s > (kHz)$
921	620	106	272	4.3	0.53	~0.15
1205	495	94	272	6.4	0.53	~0.15
1500	396	89	272	8.4	0.53	~0.15
1645	236	24	272	34	0.53	~0.15
1700	300	37	272	23	0.53	~0.15

• HOMs satisfy  $1/T_0 >> f_{HOM}/(2Q) >> f_s \rightarrow$  Single rf growth rate is maximized when  $\omega_{HOM} \approx N\omega_0$ 



- From a facility perspective, it's natural to compare behavior of only the main rf cavities to that using both the main and harmonic (HHC) systems (red)
- Our theory connects growth rates including the HHC to those of a (fictitious) single rf system with the same bunch length (blue)
  - Approximate scaling comes from system with depressed synchrotron frequency  $f_s \approx 150 \text{ Hz}$
  - We expect maximum growth rates ~500 1/s.



### Theory and simulation [9] agree well for the maximum predicted growth rate



- Theory has subtracted off the synchrotron radiation damping rate = 49 1/s.
- Difference between SHO and HHC give damping rate between 75 1/s and 100 1/s, in reasonable agreement with Bosch, *et al.*'s Landau damping rate of 80 1/s [4]

[4] R.A. Bosch, K.J. Kleman, and J.J. Bisognano. "Robinson instabilities with a higher-harmonic cavity," PRSTAB 4, 074401 (2001).
 [9] M. Borland. "Elegant: A flexible SDDS-compliant code for accelerator simulation," APS LS-287 (2000)



#### Growth rate as we vary the HOM frequency



- Curves are asymmetric with larger growth rates at negative detuning
  - Synchrotron frequency ~ 150 Hz << asymmetry ~ 1 kHz</li>
  - Usually, the instability is maximized at  $f_{HOM} = Nf_0 + f_s$
- Theory shows very good agreement with simulation for the 921 MHz HOM of the APS-U, and reasonable agreement for weaker instability strengths



### Growth rate as we vary the HOM frequency



- Curves are asymmetric with larger growth rates at negative detuning
  - Synchrotron frequency ~ 150 Hz << asymmetry ~ 1 kHz</li>
  - Usually, the instability is maximized at  $f_{HOM} = Nf_0 + f_s$
- Theory shows very good agreement with simulation for the 921 MHz HOM of the APS-U, and reasonable agreement for weaker instability strengths



### **Conclusions and outlook**

- We have developed a self-consistent theory of multi-bunch instabilities for an arbitrary longitudinal potential
  - The resulting expression is easy and fast to solve numerically
  - The theory naturally joins the coupled-bunch matrix analysis developed for harmonic potentials with a dispersion integral that includes Landau damping
  - Theory is restricted to centroid oscillations due to the expansion of the long-range wakefield (valid if the bunch length << distance over which the wakefield varies)</li>



### **Conclusions and outlook**

- We have developed a self-consistent theory of multi-bunch instabilities for an arbitrary longitudinal potential
  - The resulting expression is easy and fast to solve numerically
  - The theory naturally joins the coupled-bunch matrix analysis developed for harmonic potentials with a dispersion integral that includes Landau damping
  - Theory is restricted to centroid oscillations due to the expansion of the long-range wakefield (valid if the bunch length << distance over which the wakefield varies)</li>
- Theory shows good agreement with simulations of HOM-driven instabilities in an ideal HHC potential
  - Maximum growth rate  $\approx \begin{bmatrix} Growth rate in harmonic potential \\ with same bunch length \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Landau \\ damping rate \end{bmatrix}$
  - Instability growth rate as a function of HOM frequency is asymmetric and skewed towards negative frequency detunings



#### **Additional slide**



### **Conclusions and outlook**

- We have developed a self-consistent theory of multi-bunch instabilities for an arbitrary longitudinal potential
  - The resulting expression is easy and fast to solve numerically
  - The theory naturally joins the coupled-bunch matrix analysis developed for harmonic potentials with a dispersion integral that includes Landau damping
  - Theory is restricted to centroid oscillations due to the expansion of the long-range wakefield (valid if the bunch length << distance over which the wakefield varies)</li>
- Theory shows good agreement with simulations of HOM-driven instabilities in an ideal HHC potential
  - Maximum growth rate ≈ Growth rate in harmonic potential Landau with same bunch length
    - Instability growth rate as a function of HOM frequency is asymmetric and skewed towards negative frequency detunings
- Theory can be used to quickly assess stability for many HOMs, and can incorporate wakefield and damping models of longitudinal feedback systems
- I would like to see how well the theory works for "overstretched" bunches like those that might be used for APS-U, and to what extent the passive HHC changes these results (initial simulations seem to show little difference, but more work is needed...)



#### **Additional slide**



### Some details on elegant simulations [9]

- Lattice dynamics are simulated using ILMATRIX that includes
  - Transverse linear motion and lowest order nonlinear tune shift with amplitudes
  - First through third order chromatic effects
  - Momentum compaction at first through third order
- Damping and diffusion from synchrotron emission simulated with SREFFECTS
- Prescribed main rf cavity at 352 MHz fundamental simulated with RFCA
- Prescribed fourth harmonic cavity tuned to flatten potential simulated with RFCA
- Long range wakefield from the 921 MHz HOM simulated with RFMODE
- Tracking proceeds is as follows:
  - 1. Track 50k macroparticles over 20000 turns to get equilibrium bunch (ONCE)
  - 2. Make 47 copies to evenly populate the ring with 48 bunches
  - 3. Ramp HOM over 5000-10000 passes
  - 4. Track particles over 25k-55k turns, use exponetial fit to determine growth rate
  - 5. Obtain damping rate by first driving coupled-bunch motion with an unstable HOM, then shift the HOM frequency toward stability and measure damping rate

[9] M. Borland. "Elegant: A flexible SDDS-compliant code for accelerator simulation," APS LS-287 (2000)

