

Summary of Working Group 3: Free Electron lasers

Luca Serafini - INFN/Milan Zhirong Huang - SLAC

- FEL Experiments, Facilities, Seeding and Synchronization 13 oral contributions
- FEL Theory, Simulations, Novel Sources 13 oral contributions
- Table Top X-ray FEL's with extreme beams Joint Session with WG4 (see WG4 summary)



Experimental Studies of Optical Guiding, Efficiency Improvement and Femto-seconds FEL Pulse Control at the NSLS SDL

X.J. Wang, J.B. Murphy, J. Rose, Y. Shen, T. Tsang and T. Watanabe

National Synchrotron Light Source Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, NY 11973, USA

Office of Naval Research



ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop -

FEL Amplifier Exps:Fundamental & Harmonics





Ultra-Violet FEL Operation: 200

SDL Shortest Fundamental λ was 266 nm



SASE below 200 nm HGHG 795 → 198 nm with 10 µJ output

Superradiance in FEL

- R. Bonifacio and F. Casagrande, NIM A 239 (1985).
- R. Bonifacio, et al, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 4467.
- L. Giannessi, et al, J. Appl. Phys. 98, 043110 (2005).



81.7 fs



High Gain Operation and Polarization Switch with a Distributed Optical Klystron FEL (DOK-1 FEL)

> Y. K. Wu^{*}, N. A. Vinokurov[#] S. Mikhailov^{*}, J. Li^{*}, V. Popov^{*}

*FEL Lab, Department of Physics, Duke University #Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics (BINP, Russia) *May 16, 2006*

DFELL, Duke University FLS 2006, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Y. K. Wu



OK-4, OK-5, DOK-1 FEL Gain vs Current



DOK-1 gain ~2.2-2.3 times OK-4 gian + OK-5 gain

DFELL, Duke University FLS 2006, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Y. K. Wu



Operational experience and recent results from FLASH (VUV FEL at DESY)

E. Saldin, E. Schneidmiller and M. Yurkov for FLASH team FLS2006, May 16, 2006

- Milestones
- Parameters of FEL radiation
- Beam dynamics: consequences for machine operation
- Tuning SASE: tools and general remarks
- Main problems
- Lasing at 13 nm





14-29.01.2005

Production of ultra-short radiation pulses

An ultra-short current spike (50-100 fs FWHM) with peak current 1-2 kA is formed in the nonlinear beam formation system of the VUV FEL



s2e simulations



radiation pulses ~20 fs



-10% of charge, properties very different from ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY, May 19th 200**those of entire bunch**

Final remarks

• The first VUV FEL user facility works. At the moment we operate unique user facility providing photon beams with ultimate peak brilliance, 100 millions times above the best SR storage rings. Users are happy:

10.02.2006: Summary from FEL users * We loved those 15 microJ pulses! Today we measured time-delay holograms of exploding latex spheres (pump-probe, using a multilayer mirror to reflect the pulse back onto the particle). Will post picture in logbook. Thanks for all the photons. (H.Chapman et al., BL2)

18.02.2006: Summary from FEL users * WHAT AN EXCELLENT RUN!!! We really enjoyed the 15-22 µJ average and were able to complement our previous cluster data with higher pulse energies. This shift was very valuable to us. Hopefully we can get similar intensities tomorrow... * Chris Bostedt, TU Berlin





DISPERSION MEASUREMENT AND CORRECTION IN THE VUV-FEL (FLASH)

Winni Decking, Torsten Limberg, Eduard Prat

37th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on Future Light Sources Hamburg, 16 May 2006

$$\eta_x = \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta p / p} \qquad \sigma = \sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot \beta(s) + \eta(s)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)^2}$$

Goal: dispersion in the undulator of **1 cm**





1st Dispersion correction measurements (April 06)



Beam Dynamics Experiments and Analysis in FLASH on CSR and Space Charge Effects

Bolko Beutner, Martin Dohlus, and Michael Röhrs

DESY Hamburg

Comparison with Simulations

- Qualitative agreement
- Sag near the head is in both cases about 0.5mm
- Optics and dispersion in the machine were not measured
- Disagreements in bunch length





- The observed double peak structure of the FLASH beam is understood by simulations.
- Qualitative agreement between simulated and measured transverse profiles



LCLS Commissioning Plans (Dec. 1, 2006 through Mar. 30, 2009,...and beyond)

J. Welch ICFA FLS 2006



Overview of Beamlines and Timeline



Existing and refurbished housing

New housing construction. Completion by ~ Oct. 07 LTU: Linac to Undulator FEE: Front End Enclosure NEH: Near Experimental Hall FEH: Far Experimental Hall BC1(2): Bunch compressors

		install	Injector-BC1		install	BC2	LTU-UH, Xray Systems	
May '06	Αι '0	ıg. De 96 '0	ec.)6	Se '0	ep. De)7 '(ec. N)7 '	Mar. Mar. '08 '09	





The **SPAR** project

C. Vaccarezza

on behalf of the SPARX team





2006-07: 1 year for Technical Design Report.2006-09: Civil construction & site arrangement2007-09: Device construction & procurement2010-11: Installation & Commissioning

50 M€1 GeV first phase(funded!)50 M€2 GeV phase



SDUV-FEL Facility Progress

Dai Zhimin on behalf of SDUV-FEL team

2006.5.18

Current Schedule of SDUV-FEL

2002.1~2005.12	Construction and commissioning of a 100MeV Linac with grid gun as prototype of pre-injector of SSRF
2006.1~2006.9	Installation of 40MeV injector with photocathode RF gun
2006.9~2007.6	Commissioning of photocathode RF gun and 40MeV injector
2007.1~2007.12 (phase 1)	Installation of undulator system, seeding laser, and electron beam / laser diagnostic instruments; Begin on experiments of UV-FEL (262nm)
2008.1~2009.12 (in phase 2)	Upgrade Linac energy to 280MeV, and begin on experiments of DUV-FEL (88nm)

Simulation studies on the self-seeding option at FLASH

B. Faatz, V. Miltchev, J. Rossbach, R. Treusch

37th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on Future Light Sources

This work has been partially supported by the EU Commission in the Sixth Framework Program, Contract No. 011935 – EUROFEL

Basic principles of the self-seeding option "

1) J. Feldhaus et al. / Optics Communications 140(1997) 341-352



Schematic view of the seeding option for FLASH

Basic requirements:

- 1) The 1st section operates in linear high-gain regime, <P_{SASE}>~10MW
- 2) The micro bunching is smeared out after the magnetic chicane
- 3) The monochromator resolution $\Delta \omega / \omega \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-5}$
- 4) The seeding power $P_{SEED} \sim 10 \text{kW} >> \text{ shot noise power } P_{SHOT} \sim 10 \text{W}$
- 5) The seed pulse is amplified to saturation in the 2nd undulator section

FEL calculations $-6 \text{ nm}(1^{\text{st}} \text{ section})$



FEL performance – 6 nm(2nd section)







Visible/IR light and X-Rays in Femtosecond Synchronism from an X-Ray Free-Electron Laser

B.W. Adams APS, XOR May 2006 FLS2006





Argonne National Laboratory is managed by the University of Chicago for the U.S. Department of Energy



1





Increasing the Emittance Contrast

Emittance contrast is in both divergence and beam size: secondary scattering foils make use of beam size contrast to increase emittance contrast even further



space secondary foils at 1/2- β -function intervals to catch all electrons

High Harmonic Seeding and the 4GLS XUV-FEL

Brian Sheehy, May 18, 2006, FLS Workshop, FEL Workgroup,

J.A. Clarke, D. J. Dunning, N. R. Thompson, CCLRC, ASTeC, Daresbury Laboratory; B. W. J. McNeil, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

- Energy and Efficiency Questions
 - how much do we need?
 - how much do we have today? tomorrow?
- •Tunability
 - tunable fundamental
 - adaptive tuning
- Attosecond structure, contrast, & spatial coherence
- Layout in the facility

<u>Functional block diagram of the high harmonic seed</u> <u>generation for the XUVFEL</u>



•Two-track system: 8 - 40 eV & 40 - 100 eV (Tunability)

- Doable with existing technology
 - expected near-term improvements in lasers, harmonic efficiency,
- ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop DESY, May 19th 2006

Energy, Efficiency and Repetition rate

•Required Harmonic Energy

- 1.0E-9 J based on 30 KW, 30 fsec pulse
 - consistency among simulation efforts: GENESIS, GINGER, PERSEO
- "spontaneous" power only ~ tens of Watts

Existing Ti:Sapph systems

Laser Energy (mJ)	2	4	14	60
Repetition Rate (kHz)	10	5	1	0.1
harmonic energy (nJ)	0.8	2	6	24
net efficiency to target (generation, tuning, transport) 40-100 eV			4.E-07	

Attosecond Time Structure in the harmonics





302, 1540 (2003)

ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY, May 19th 2006

• Plateau electronics form a frequency comb

- •Well defined relative phases
- attosecond pulse trains & attosecond pulses Emission time for harmonic groups distinguishable

• chirped over the plateau



Some Comments on Contrast

The contrast you get:

- improves with increasing seed power
- is sensitive to e⁻ bunch profile
 - simulations use gaussian
 - sensitive to synchronization
- The contrast you need:
- is sensitive to the length of the pedestal
 - IR pedestals are nsec-psec
 - the integrated effect counts
 - sensitive to e⁻ bunch length/profile
- varies with the experiment









The 4GLS VUV-FEL: a Regenerative Amplifier FEL (RAFEL) design

Neil Thompson & David Dunning, MaRS Group, ASTeC Brian McNeil, University of Strathclyde Brian Sheehy, Sheehy Scientific Consulting

The VUV-FEL in 4GLS



Cavity parameters in CDR



ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY,

Varying hole size





Fully Coherent X-ray Pulses from a Regenerative Amplifier Free Electron Laser

Zhirong Huang and Ron Ruth

SLAC

FEL working group (5/18/2006) ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY, May 19th 2006

X-ray RAFEL







* Z. Huang & R. Ruth, PRL96, 144801 (2006)

Frequency-domain extraction scheme

If crystal reflects ~100% within narrow bandwidth, how can power be extracted out of the cavity?

Use bunch shorter than the reflected x-ray pulse: FEL interaction
 amplify and spectrally broaden the radiation

 Power transmitted outside the feedback bandwidth



Radiation energy dose and damage issue

Comparison of LCLS SASE and RAFEL power and energy

X-ray properties	SASE	RAFEL
Pulse length (fwhm)	200 fs	100 fs transmitted (150 fs reflected)
FEL pulse energy	2 mJ (one pulse)	2 mJ (last 2 saturated pulses)
FEL peak power	10 GW	4 GW out (14 GW in cavity)
FEL photon energy	~ 8 keV	8 keV
Absorption in 100-µm diamond crystal	18 % of 10 GW = 1.8 GW	18 % of 4 GW = 0.72 GW
Beam transverse area	$\sim (50 \ \mu m)^2 (100 \ m \ away)$	$\sim (22 \ \mu m)^2$
Energy dose on crystal	0.004 eV/atom	0.002 eV/atom × 2 pulses (?)
Spontaneous power (over large beam area)	70 GW	3.6 GW × 10 bunches

Melt dose level of C (graphite) is 0.9 eV/atom, more than two orders of magnitude higher than both SASE and RAFEL doses on diamond

Parameter Summary

DESCRIPTION		
FEL design	Regenerative Amplifier	
Seeding type	Self-seeding	
Seeding mechanism	Low-Q cavity	
PHOTON OUTPUT		
Tuning Range	3 - 10 eV	
Peak Power	500 - 300 MW (3 GW*)	
Repetition rate	$n \times 4\frac{1}{3}$ MHz (<i>n</i> is integer)	
Polarisation	Variable elliptical	
Min Pulse length FWHM	170 fs (25 fs*)	
Typical $\Delta v \Delta t$	~1.0	
Max pulse energy	70 µJ	
Maximum Average output power	$n \times 300 \text{ W}$	

Introduction

SASE x-ray sources will lay the foundation for nextgeneration x-ray facilities

Due to its noisy startup, SASE is transversely coherent but temporally chaotic (LCLS example, from S. Reiche)



Monochromator can be used to select a single mode, but flux is reduced (by ~700) and intensity fluctuates 100%

► Various schemes to improve temporal coherence proposed ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY, May 19th 2006



Broadband SASE can be filtered by another monochromator ICFA FLS-2006 Workshop - DESY, May 19th 2006



Quantum Regime of SASE-FEL

R. Bonifacio ⁽¹⁾, <u>N. Piovella</u> ^(1,2), G.R.M. Robb ⁽³⁾, A. Schiavi ⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ INFN-MI, Milan, Italy.

- ⁽²⁾ Dipartimento di Fisica, Univ. of Milan, Italy
- ⁽³⁾ SUPA, Dep. of Physics, Univ. of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK
- ⁽⁴⁾ Dipartimento di Energetica, Univ. of Rome "La Sapienza" & INFN, Italy
- In a QUANTUM REGIME an FEL behaves as a TWO-LEVEL system
- electrons emit coherent photons as in a LASER
- in the SASE mode the spectrum is intrinsically narrow ('quantum purification')
- the transition between the classical and the quantum regimes depends on a single parameter:

$$\overline{\rho} = \left(\frac{mc \ \gamma_r}{\hbar k}\right) \rho$$

< 1 quantum

Analysis of spontaneous emission and its self-amplification in free-electron laser Qika Jia (NSRL, Heifei, China) Summary

With the time domain approach,

Spontaneous emission (incoherent and coherent) for an arbitrary e- pulse profile.

The effective start-up power of SASE Consist of: the shot noise term, the incoherent spontaneous emission = the usual spontaneous undulator radiation in the one L_g the super radiant term, the coherent spontaneous emission.

An analytical estimation of saturation power and length

Longitudinal Coherence Preservation & Chirp Evolution in a High Gain Laser Seeded Free Electron Laser Amplifier

J.B. Murphy, J. Wu, X.J. Wang & T. Watanabe, BNL & SLAC



Evolution of the Moments: Numerical Example



High-contrast attosecond pulses from X-ray FEL with an energy-chirped electron beam and a tapered undulator

E. Saldin, E. Schneidmiller and M. Yurkov FLS2006, May 18, 2006

- •Energy chirp in SASE FELs
- •Energy chirp and undulator taper: symmetry and compensation
- ·An attosecond scheme
- Beyond "fundamental" limit

Beyond "fundamental" limit

- It was generally accepted that a natural limit for a pulse duration from SASE FEL is given by $(\rho\omega)^{-1}$, FEL coherence time (~duration of intensity spike)
- But: energy chirp + undulator taper allow to get strong frequency chirp (>> $\rho\omega$) within a spike without gain degradation
- Use monochromator to select a pulse that is much shorter than a spike
- Contrast remains high: spontaneous spectrum from the rest of the bunch gets broader due to the stronger taper

- Example: increase energy modulation by ~3 so that α ~6. For optimal bandwidth of a monochromator the reduction factor is ~ $(2\alpha)^{1/2}$, i.e. pulse duration is in sub-100 as range.

Optical Klystron Enhancement to SASE FEL Y. Ding, P. Emma, Z. Huang (SLAC), V. Kumar (ANL)



 \succ Required OK R_{56} is $kR_{56}\sigma_{\delta}\sim 1$

$$R_{56} \sim rac{\lambda}{2\pi\sigma_{\delta}} \sim$$
 0.5 $\mu {
m m}$ for $\sigma_{\delta} = 5 imes 10^{-5}$

> Chicane delays e-beam by $R_{56}/2 = 0.25 \ \mu m >>$

SASE phase correlation length $\sim \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\rho} \sim 0.03 \ \mu m$ > SASE OK not sensitive to phase mismatch

λ =1.0 Å LCLS possibility with OKs



Parameters of the chicane for delta_ $E=5\times10^{-5}$:

R₅₆~0.23µm, B~0.70T

 L_B =6cm, $L_{chicane}$ =51cm

Beam Physics Highlights of the FERMI@Elettra Project

S. Di Mitri

on behalf of the Accelerator Optimization Group:

 M. Cornacchia, P. Craievich, S. Di Mitri, G. Penco, M. Trovo', ST
 I. Pogorelov, J. Qiang, M. Venturini, A. Zholents, LBNL
 P. Emma, Z. Huang, R. Warnok, J. Wu, SLAC

D. Wang, MIT

FLS Workshop, May 2006, Hamburg

Outlook – THE ACCELERATOR



aelettra

E-Beam Physics - REVERSE TRACKING



S. Di Mitri – FLS2006 courtesy M. Cornacchia, P.Emma, G. Penco, A. Zholents





Berliner Elektronenspeicherring-Gesellschaft für Synchrotronstrahlung m.b.H.

Experiences with Start to End Simulations and Tolerance Studies for HGHG FEL Cascades Bettina Kuske, Michael Abo-Bakr, Atoosa Meseck ICFA-FLS-Workshop, Hamburg, May 16th, 2006



1. Example: Bunches from S2E simulations <=> constant bunch parameters



Start-To-End Simulations for the European XFEL

Martin Dohlus, Igor Zagorodnov (DESY)

- description of European XFEL beam line
- technical aspects of simulation matching / codes / tools
- gun
- µ-bunch "instability"

laser heater / technical aspects of simulation

- European XFEL segmentation (for simulation)
- method 1 (fast)
- method 2 (reference)
- method 3 (efficient & accurate) to be done

current & slice emittance



Single Bunch Emittance Preservation in XFEL Linac

G. Amatuni, R. Brinkmann, W. Decking, <u>V. Tsakanov</u> DESY, CANDLE

		Booster	Linac
•	Coherent oscillations		
	uncorrelated	6 ⋅10 ⁻⁶	2.10-4
	correlated	2·10 -3	1.2·10 ⁻³
•	Cavity Misalignments	5·10 -6	3.10-7
•	Modules Misalignments	4 ⋅10 ⁻⁵	2.5·10 ⁻⁶
•	Correlated Misal. (130°)	-	7 ·10 ⁻⁶
•	Cavity tilts		
	uncorrelated	5.8·10 ⁻⁵	0.6%
	correlated	0.6%	1.9%
•	One-to-One correction		
	uncorrelated	6.3·10 ⁻⁵	0.4%
	correlated	1.7%	2%

Total Emittance dilution <5% with 2 Modules/Cell

A Smith-Purcell Backward Wave Oscillator for Intense Terahertz Radiation

Kwang-Je Kim and Vinit Kumar ANL and The University of ChicagO



Example Parameters

<u>Grating</u>	Electron Beam	<u>BWO</u>
λg = 173 μm	qV = 35 keV	λ = 790 μ
h = 150 μm	β = 0.352	λ _z = 278 μm
L = 1.27 cm	∆y = 23.7 μm	υ _g = -0.1 c
	ε _y ≤ 4.15×10 ⁻⁹ m-r	η _{eff} ~ 1%
	ε _x ≤ 8.37×10⁻ ⁶ m-r	$\text{P}_{\text{S}}^{\text{opt}}\approx5\text{W}$
	Δx = 1.1 μm	
	I _s = 13.4 mA	
	$P_s^{ebeam} = 470 \text{ W}$	

Toward Coherent X-rays: exploring coherent emission mechanisms (FEL-like) in Thomson Sources

A.Bacci, M.Ferrario*, C. Maroli, V.Petrillo, <u>L.Serafini</u> Università e Sezione I.N.F.N. di Milano (Italy) *LNF, Frascati (Italy)



Laser pulse characteristics:

wavelength λ =0,8 μ m, power 1TW, time duration T=5 ps. Circular polarization, focal spot diameter w₀ >50 micron

Electron beam characteristics:

Conterpropagating respect the lasr pulse Energy 15 MeV (γ =30), spot size σ_0 =10 µm, length L_b=100-200µm, charge Q=1 nC

Radiation characteristics:

Wavelength λ=2.2 Ang



Production of coherent X-rays with a free electron laser based on optical wiggler

by C. Maroli et al., (INFN)

First example

Laser pulse time duration up to 100 ps, power 40-100 GW, w₀=100 μ m, λ_L =10 μ m (CO₂ laser),total laser energy 4-10J, a_{L0}=0.3, guided

Electron beam Q=1-5nC, L_b=1mm, focal radius σ_0 =25 µm, I=0.3-1.5 kA, energy=30 MeV (γ_0 =60), transverse normalized emittance up to 1 mm mrad, $\delta\gamma/\gamma$ =10⁻⁴.

 ρ =2.8 10⁻⁴ gain length L_g= 2.83 mm Radiation λ_R =7.56 Angstrom Z_R =2.5 m $\overline{\rho} = 41.32\rho\gamma_0\lambda_R(\overset{\circ}{A}) = 5.25 \implies$ no appreciable quantum effects



 $|A|_{sat}^2$ =0.11, saturation length about 7 L_g (70 ps) 2,3610¹⁰ photons

(a) averaged bunching factor <|b|> in the middle of the bunch vs time, (b) logarithmic plot of <|A|²> vs time in both coherent (1) and incoherent (2) cases. w₀=50 mm with a flat laser profile, a_{L0}=0.3, Q=3 nC, I= 0.9 kA,< γ >=60, $\Delta p_z/p_z=10^{-4}$, $\epsilon_n=0.6$, $\Delta \omega/\omega=-10^{-4}$.

Conclusions

At the present state of the analysis we may say that the growth of collective effects during the back scattering Thomson process is possible provided that:

- i) a low-energy, high-brigthness electron beam is available (normalized transverse emittance at t=0 preferably less than 1)
- ii) the optical laser pulse is long enough to allow the electron bunching by the spontaneous (incoherent) radiation and the consequent FEL instability
- iii) the laser envelope should have rather "flat" transverse and longitudinal profiles

Summary remarks of WG3

Short-wavelength FELs are on the horizon. More excitement to come

Start-to-end simulations are invaluable tools to understand the performance and tolerance of these machines

Seeding can improve SASE's temporal coherence, more technical challenges to overcome

> Theoretical progress is still made in many areas

Novel sources based on FEL-like mechanism may provide compact, coherent THz or X-rays