Theory and Simulation of FELS with Planar, Helical, and Elliptical Undulators

H.P. Freund,^{1,2} B.W.J. McNeil,^{3,4} J.R. Henderson,^{3,4,5} L.T. Campbell,^{3,4} P.J.M. van der Slot, ⁶ D.L.A.G. Grimminck⁷, I.D. Setija⁷, and P. Falgari⁸

 ¹Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico, USA
 ²NoVa Physical Science and Simulations, Vienna, Va 22182, USA
 ³SUPA, Dept. of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland G4 0NG, UK
 ⁴ASTeC, STFC Daresbury Laboratory and Cockcroft Institute, Warrington, WA4 4AD, UK
 ⁵Lancaster University, Engineering, LA1 4YR, UK
 ⁶Mesa⁺ Institute for Nanotechnology, University of Twente, Enschede, the Netherlands
 ⁷ASML B.V., Veldhoven, the Netherlands
 ⁸LIME B.V., Eindhoven, the Netherlands

OUTLINE

- The Resonance Condition is obtained for an elliptical undulator
- The *JJ*-Factor is obtained in an elliptical undulator
 - Measures the effect of the lower beat wave → degrades the interaction
 - Suppressed due to the symmetry of a helical undulator
 - The *JJ*-factor varies smoothly: planar \rightarrow elliptical \rightarrow helical
 - It is important to determine the *JJ*-factor in order to:
 - Generalize the parameterization á la Ming Xie
 - Parameterize orbit-averaged simulations
- Simulations are performed using an elliptical undulator model
 - 1D simulations using PUFFIN
 - 3D simulations using MINERVA

THE RESONANCE CONDITION

• The orbits in a 1D elliptical undulator

$$\mathbf{B}_{w} = B_{w} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} \cos k_{w} z + u_{e} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y} \sin k_{w} z \right)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{\perp} = -\frac{cK}{\gamma} \left(u_{e} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x} \cos k_{w} z + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y} \sin k_{w} z \right)$$

$$\frac{\upsilon_{z}^{2}}{c^{2}} = \frac{\upsilon_{\parallel}^{2}}{c^{2}} + \left(1 - u_{e}^{2} \right) \frac{K^{2}}{2\gamma^{2}} \cos 2k_{w} z$$

$$u_{e} = 1: \text{ Helical Undulator}$$

$$u_{e} = 0: \text{ Planar Undulator}$$

• From energy conservation

TO OBTAIN THE JJ-FACTOR

• The electron position is given by

$$z \cong v_{\parallel}t + \left(1 - u_e^2\right) \frac{K^2}{8\gamma^2 k_w} \sin 2k_w v_{\parallel}t$$

• We consider the interaction with an elliptically polarized wave

$$\delta \mathbf{E} = \delta \hat{E} \left[u_e \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_x \sin\left(kz - \omega t\right) + \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_y \cos\left(kz - \omega t\right) \right]$$

• Based upon Poynting's Theorem, we write

Upper Beat (Ponderomtive) Wave Lower Beat Wave $\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} = -\frac{cK}{4i\gamma} \delta \hat{E} \left\{ (1+u_e^2) \exp\left[i\left(k+k_w\right)z-i\omega t\right] - (1-u_e^2) \exp\left[i\left(k-k_w\right)z-i\omega t\right] \right\} + c.c.$ Vanishes when $u_e = 1$ for a believel undulator

Vanishes when $u_e = 1$ for a helical undulator

• For the upper beat (ponderomotive) wave

$$\exp\left[i\left(k+k_{w}\right)z-i\omega t\right]=\exp\left[i\left(k+k_{w}\right)\upsilon_{||}t-i\omega t\right]\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}J_{n}\left(b\right)\exp\left(2ink_{w}\upsilon_{||}t\right)$$
$$\approx\exp\left[i\left(k+k_{w}\right)\upsilon_{||}t-i\omega t\right]J_{0}\left(b\right)$$

• For the lower beat wave

$$\exp\left[i\left(k-k_{w}\right)z-i\omega t\right] = \exp\left[i\left(k+k_{w}\right)\upsilon_{\parallel}t-i\omega t\right]\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}J_{n+1}\left(b\right)\exp\left(2ink_{w}\upsilon_{\parallel}t\right)$$
$$\approx \exp\left[i\left(k+k_{w}\right)\upsilon_{\parallel}t-i\omega t\right]J_{1}\left(b\right)$$



THE JJ-FACTOR

• As a result, the source term in Poynting's theorem becomes

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} \cong -\frac{cK}{4i\gamma} (1 + u_e^2) \delta \hat{E} \exp\left[i \left[k + k_w\right] v_{||} t - i\omega t\right] \left[J_0(b) - \frac{\left(1 - u_e^2\right)}{\left(1 + u_e^2\right)} J_1(b)\right] + c.c.$$

$$JJ = \sqrt{1 + u_e^2} \frac{K}{\sqrt{2}} \left[J_0(b) - \frac{1 - u_e^2}{1 + u_e^2} J_1(b)\right]$$

• Helical Undulator

JJ = K

• Planar Undulator

$$JJ = \frac{K}{\sqrt{2}} \left[J_0(b) - J_1(b) \right]$$



SIMULATION CODES

- **PUFFIN** 1D & 3D, time-dependent, unaveraged numerical simulation code
 - L.T. Campbell & B.W.J. McNeil, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 093119 (2012)
 - 1D simulation of elliptical undulator
 - Results compared with orbit-averaged simulation using the resonance condition and *JJ*-factor
- **MINERVA** 3D, time-dependent, SVEA code with full 3D orbit treatment (*i.e.*, no wiggler-average)
 - H. Freund, P. van der Slot, D. Grimminck, I. Setya, and P. Falgari, New J. Phys. **19**, 023020 (2017).
 - Using an APPLE-II undulator model
- Neither code needs an explicit statement of either the resonance condition or the *JJ*-factor since they are implicitly included in the particle dynamics

APPLE-II UNDULATOR MODEL

We model an APPLE-II undulator using a super-position of two crossed planar undulators with a relative phase shift ϕ

$$\mathbf{B}_{w}(\mathbf{x}) = B_{w}(z) \left[\sin(k_{w}z + \phi) - \frac{\cos(k_{w}z + \phi)}{k_{w}B_{w}} \frac{dB_{w}}{dz} \right] \hat{e}_{x} \cosh(k_{w}x) \\ + B_{w}(z) \left[\sin(k_{w}z) - \frac{\cos(k_{w}z)}{k_{w}B_{w}} \frac{dB_{w}}{dz} \right] \hat{e}_{y} \cosh(k_{w}y) \\ + B_{w}(z) \hat{e}_{z} \left[\sinh(k_{w}x) \cos(k_{w}z + \phi) + \sinh(k_{w}y) \cos(k_{w}z) \right] \\ + B_{w}(z) \hat{e}_{z} \left[\sinh(k_{w}x) \cos(k_{w}z + \phi) + \sinh(k_{w}y) \cos(k_{w}z) \right] \\ The ellipticity is given by: \\ u_{e} = \frac{1 - \cos\phi}{1 + \cos\phi} \quad 0 \le \phi < \pi/2 \\ u_{e} = \frac{1 + \cos\phi}{1 - \cos\phi} \quad \pi/2 \le \phi < \pi \end{bmatrix}$$

MINERVA SIMULATIONS

 MINERVA simulations for SPARC-like parameters show a decrease in the gain length and increase in the saturation distance with decreasing ellipticity – as expected.





• MINERVA is in reasonable agreement with the Ming Xie formulae that have been generalized to include the new resonant wavelength and JJ-factor.

H. Freund, P. van der Slot, D. Grimminck, I. Setya, and P. Falgari, New J. Phys. 19, 023020 (2017)

PUFFIN SIMULATIONS

• Comparison between PUFFIN and the predicted scaling of the saturation energy and distance show good agreement





- Comparisons between PUFFIN and the averaged simulation show good agreement
- Saturation distance increases with the ellipticity as expected and seen in MINERVA

J.R. Henderson, L.T. Campbell, H.P. Freund, B.W.J. McNeil, New J. Phys. 18, 062003 (2016)

SUMMARY

- We have developed analytic formulae for the resonant wavelength and the *JJ*-Factor
 - Generalization of Ming Xie's parameterization (MINERVA, 3D)
 - Used in 1D orbit-averaged simulation (PUFFIN, 1D)
- PUFFIN and MINERVA simulations illustrate some essential features associated with elliptical undulators
 - Dependence of the resonance condition and interaction strength on the undulator field strength
- This work represents a beginning in the theory and simulation of elliptical polarization in FELs

SUPPLEMENTAL VIEWGRAPHS

THE PUFFIN SIMULATION CODE

- No slicing
- No period averaging
- Non-SVEA
- Neglects backwards wave, space-charge
- Models broadband emission
- Includes:
 - variably polarized undulators,
 - variable undulator tunings,
 - tapering,
 - beam energy oscillations,
 - Undulator lattices with chicanes and quads using point transforms







THE MINERVA SIMULATION CODE

- Fully 3-D with Time-Dependence
- E&M fields treated using the polychromatic SVEA approximation
 - Multi-slice time-dependent and/or polychromatic physics
 - Modal decomposition of the fields
 - Amplifier (MOPA)/Oscillator (linked to OPC)/SASE/OK/HGHG
- Particle dynamics are treated from first principles (not KMR)
 - Harmonics & sidebands implicitly included in orbit dynamics
- Additional Features/Capabilities
 - Wiggler models
 - Parabolic-Pole-Face, Flat-Pole-Face, Canted-Pole, Helical
 - APPLE-II model describes planar, helical, elliptical symmetry
 - Import from a field map
 - Quadrupole & Dipole Field Models
 - Non-Gaussian distributions (TBD)
 - Import Phase Space
 - Restart Capability