Recent experimental results from PITZ



Picture from one beamlet during scan

The beam already knows what emittance it should deliver, we just have to measure it !

Content:

- measurement setup
- cathode laser
- different guns
- longitudinal phase space
- transverse projected emittance
- cathode studies
- future upgrades of the facility

The PITZ collaboration

Colleagues actively participating in measurements / new design:

- DESY, Zeuthen site:
  F. Stephan, J. Bähr, C. Boulware, H.J. Grabosch, Y. Ivanisenko*, S. Khodyachykh, S. Korepanov, M. Krasilnikov, A. Oppelt**, B. Petrosyan, S. Riemann, S. Rimjaem, K. Rosbach, A. Shapovalov***, T. Scholz, R. Spesyvtsev****, L. Staykov
- DESY, Hamburg site:
  K. Flöttmann, J.H. Han, S. Lederer, S. Schreiber
- BESSY Berlin:
  T. Kamps, F. Marhauser*****, R. Ovsyannikov, D. Richter, A. Vollmer
- CCLRC Daresbury:
  D.J. Holder, B.D. Muratori
- INRNE Sofia:
  G. Asova, K. Boyanov, I. Tsakov
- INR Troitsk:
  A.N. Naboka, V. Paramonov, A.K. Skassyrskaia
- LAL Orsay:
  T. Garvey**
- LASA Milano:
  P. Michelato, L. Monaco, D. Sertore
- LNF Frascati:
  D. Alesini, L. Ficcadenti
- MBI Berlin:
  G. Klemz, I. Will
- TU Darmstadt:
  W. Ackermann, E. Arevalo, W. Müller, S. Schnepp
- Uni Hamburg:
  J. Rönsch
- YERPHI Yerevan:
  L. Hakobyan

* on leave from IERT Kharkov,
** now at PSI, Villingen,
*** on leave from MEPHI, Moscow,
**** on leave from NSCIM, Kharkov,
***** now at JLAB, Newport News

- Acknowledgements: R. Brinkmann, U. Gensch, E. Jaeschke, L. Kravchuk, V. Nikoghosyan, C. Pagani, L. Palumbo, J. Rossbach, W. Sandner, S. Smith, T. Weiland, G. Wormser

Present layout of PITZ

This setup was used for the measurements to be presented:



See poster WEPPH013

Present layout of PITZ





Photo cathode laser



Schematics of the diode-pumped Nd:YLF photo cathode laser system



Beamline to photo cathode



Photo cathode laser properties

- **longitudinal profile:**



	FWHM [ps]	rise / fall [ps]	RMS [mm]
Oct. `06	~18	6 – 8	~0.5
now	~20	6 – 8	~0.35

- **transverse profile:**

$\sigma_{x,y}$: 0.05 → 0.6 mm



- **energy stability:**



See poster WEPPH011

- **pointing stability:**



Guns, Gradients, Dark Currents

- **Gun 3.1** –
  characterized @ **~40MV/m** in **Oct.´06**
  → spare gun for FLASH
- **Peak and average power:**



3.5 MW, 900 µs, 10 Hz

31.5kW av. power, 0.9% duty cycle

- **Dark current:**



See poster WEPPH013

Max.: 250-300 µA

- **Gun 3.2** –
  characterized @ **~60MV/m** in **summer ´07**
  → first experience with long RF at 60 MV/m
- **Peak and average power:**



standard run: **~6.7 MW**, 10Hz, 140µs → ~9.4 kW

- **Dark Current:**



→ very high ! → possible reason: cavity fabrication error in cathode region

Longitudinal phase space for gun3.2



Problem with maximum momentum:
- measured momentum lower than expected from RF power readings
- possible reason:
  → power measurements

For more details and bunch length measurements see poster WEPPH009

Momentum and momentum spread downstream of the gun:





Momentum and momentum spread downstream of the booster:



Projected Emittance Measurements: → Slit Scan Technique

$$\varepsilon_{x,n} = \beta\gamma \cdot X_{rms} \cdot X'_{rms}$$

X_{rms} - RMS size of full beam at EMSY station (e.g. z = 4.3m)

$X'_{rms} = \frac{1}{L}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \cdot \left(X_{rms}^{beamlet}\right)_i^2 \Big/ \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i}$ - uncorrelated local divergence

$X_{rms}^{beamlet}$ - RMS size of the beamlet image

L - distance from slit location to screen for beamlets

See poster MOPPH055





- **Current standard procedure:**
- take 11 equidistant beamlets over the full beam size
- use 10 μm slit opening

- ultimate resolution (current setup): → 36μm x 15.4 μrad
- use camera with 12 bit signal depth for beamlet measurements

Emittance results October 2006

Gun gradient: ~ 43MV/m
Gun phase: $\Phi^{gun} = \Phi^{gun}_{ref} - 2$ deg
Momentum from gun: ~ 5.0 MeV/c

Booster phase: $\Phi^{booster} = \Phi^{booster}_{ref} - 5$ deg
Total beam momentum: 12.8 MeV/c





$\Phi^{gun} = \Phi^{gun}_{ref} - 2$ deg
$\Phi^{booster} = \Phi^{booster}_{ref} - 15$ deg

➔ for 43 MV/m we obtained

$\varepsilon_{x,n} = 1.32 \pm 0.11$ mm mrad
$\varepsilon_{y,n} = 1.43 \pm 0.17$ mm mrad
@1nC

➔ emittance strongly increases with distance from booster

See poster MOPPH055

Expectations for 60 MV/m





What`s new in new ASTRA (spring `07)?

- solved convergence problem of space charge routine for very short bunches
→ important during emission,

- included new parameter to control time steps
→ important when bunch just left cathode

Emittance for 1 nC and 60 MV/m

preliminary analysis



Cathode: # 90.1
Gun gradient: ~ 60 MV/m
Gun phase: $\Phi^{gun} = \Phi^{gun}_{ref}$
Momentum from gun: ~ 6.44 MeV/c

Booster phase: $\Phi^{booster} = \Phi^{booster}_{ref}$
Total beam momentum: 14.5 MeV/c

See poster MOPPH055

➔ for ~60 MV/m we obtained

$\varepsilon_{x,n} = 1.25 \pm 0.19$ mm mrad

$\varepsilon_{y,n} = 1.27 \pm 0.18$ mm mrad

@1nC

for 100 % RMS emittance !

➔ good agreement with prediction from ASTRA

Signal / Noise ↔ RMS ↔ Core emittance

preliminary analysis

x-x´-phase space distribution for the best emittance measurement, purely reconstructed from subsequent beamlet measurements:





Emittance calculated purely from beamlet measurements, 100 % of data

➔ ε_n=1.1 mm mrad





Cut at 5% of max. amplitude (i.e. 6.5% of "charge") [reasons: noise, gain, sensitivity, bit depth, …]

➔ ε_n=0.69 mm mrad

Reminder: This $\varepsilon_n \neq$ 1.25 mm mrad because the separately measured beam size at the slit position is NOT taken into account here.

⇨ **projected emittance is reduced by 37 % !!**

ASTRA: - 5% in particles ➔ -38% in proj. emittance

For 95% RMS ➔ $\varepsilon_{x,y,n} \approx 0.8$ mm mrad

XPS investigations on photo cathodes

Te 3d spectrum for **fresh** cathode #90.1



Te 3d spectrum for **used** cathode #92.1



See poster WEPPH048

fresh cathode:

- dominant peaks for both spin-orbit couplings corresponding to Te^{-2} (Cs_2Te)
- small amounts of Te^0

used cathode:

- dominant peaks for both spin-orbit couplings corresponding to Te^0 (metallic tellurium)
- only small amounts of Te^{-2} (Cs_2Te)

Confirmation from survey scan:

Te^{+6} visible (TeO_3) on fresh cathodes but no oxidized states on used cathodes

→ **QE degradation during operation most probable related to change in chemical composition**

→ **transition from Cs_2Te to metallic Te**

Thermal emittance measurements

$$\varepsilon_{th} = \sigma_{cathode} \sqrt{\frac{2E_{kin}}{3m_0c^2}}$$

$$\varepsilon_{meas} \approx \sqrt{\varepsilon_{th}^2 + \varepsilon_{SC}^2 + \varepsilon_{RF}^2}$$

measure ε_{th} vs. $\sigma_{cathode}$ for low charge (≤6pC) and short pulse length (σ_{laser}≈3-4ps) ➔ E_{kin}

Expected E_{kin} for Cs_2Te cathode and 262 nm laser: **0.55 eV**

(this does not consider: field on cathode, change of cathode properties during operation)



See poster WEPPH012





Error bars not small, but

- there is **increasing** E_{kin} with gradient at cathode !
- different **cathodes** can behave differently !
- **E_{kin} ≈ 1.4 eV @E_0=60MV/m** ➔ 2 x larger than model
  → for $\sigma_{cathode}$= 0.35mm → ε_{th} = 0.47 mm mrad (38%)

Future upgrades at PITZ

this autumn:
- **install improved laser system (20 ps FWHM, rise/fall time ≤ 2 ps)**
- **install improved dispersive arm downstream of booster (HEDA1)**
  → slice emittance measurements
- **condition new gun cavity to 60 MV/m**

2008:
- **install new CDS booster and tomography section**
- **start experimental optimization for European XFEL baseline parameters**

layout:



See poster WEPPH013

Summary

- Gun3.1 characterized at ~**40 MV/m**:
  - operated with up to 3.5MW, **900µs RF**, 10Hz
  - $\varepsilon_{x,n} = 1.32 \pm 0.11$ mm mrad, $\varepsilon_{y,n} = 1.43 \pm 0.17$ mm mrad **@1nC,** **(100% RMS)**
- Gun3.2 characterized at ~**60 MV/m**:
  - operated with up to **6.7MW**, 140 µs RF, 10Hz
  - $\varepsilon_{x,n} = 1.25 \pm 0.19$ mm mrad, $\varepsilon_{y,n} = 1.27 \pm 0.18$ mm mrad **@1nC,** **(100% RMS)**
  - (for 95% RMS: $\varepsilon_{x,y,n} \approx 0.8$ mm mrad)
  - thermal emittance: **E_{kin} ≈ 1.4 eV**

➔ ε_{th} = 0.47 mm mrad (38%)

- observed change of **chemical composition of Cs_2Te** cathodes using XPS
- upgrades at PITZ are ongoing → e.g. **new laser in 2007**