CBETA FFAG Beam Optics Design

J. Scott Berg
Brookhaven National Laboratory
ERL17 Workshop
June 20, 2017

Overview



- Discussing FFAG return loop: FA, TA, ZA, ZB, TB, FB

Three Sections



- Arc (FA/FB): turns the beam around; small radius of curvature to keep machine compact
- Straight (ZX): covers distance to linac, needed due to linac, compaact arcs
- Transition (TA/TB): adiabatically change from arc to straight

FFAG

- FFAG: Fixed field alternating gradient
- Goal is to have a large energy range (factor of 4 in our case) in a single beamline with time-invariant magnetic fields
- Lattice period is a single, simple cell (our case: a doublet with a dipole field in the defocusing magnet), repeated everywhere in the lattice
- Keep cell short with large phase advance to keep dispersion, thus orbit excursions, small

FFAG

- We use linear magnets
  - Huge transverse acceptance
  - Magnet fields stay reasonable (vs. nonlinear magnets)
  - Consequence: tune varies with energy
- Orbit mismatch in the presence of chromaticity leads to emittance growth
  - Orbit correction important: we have dipole (F normal, D skew) correctors on every magnet, BPMs in every cell
  - Multiple beams passing through same magnets and correctors complicates this
  - Keep random variation of magnet fields small
  - Orbit matching should be right in design

Arc Section

- Arc cell is basic building block
- Ideally use repeated arc cell everywhere
- Space considerations prevent this

Cell Structure



- Doublet cell, "long" drift for sundry hardware
- Short drift can hold BPM block

Orbits



- Different energy orbits follow different orbits
  - Energies 42, 78, 114, and 150 MeV
- Minimize cell length: reduce beam excursions
  - But shorter cells increase magnet gradients
  - Required drift lengths ultimately provide limit
- Magnets short with relatively large aperture: use field maps for most calculations

Tune per Cell

- Linear magnets: tune varies with energy
- Low energy, stay clear of half integer
- Horizontal tune higher: strong focusing to reduce orbit excursions
- High energy limits
  - Lower horizontal tune, focusing doesn't compress orbits: wide aperture
  - Vertical can become unstable

Tune per Cell



Tune per Cell

- Avoid emittance growth, stay clear of low order nonlinear single-cell resonances
- Working point chosen so that
  - Distance of low energy from $\nu_x + 2\nu_y = 1$ is same as distance of high energy from vertical instability
  - "Distance" defined in terms of minimum required fractional change in gradients to reach the line in question
  - Distance is about 3%
  - Also, keep 114 MeV and 150 MeV points equidistant from $\nu_x - 2\nu_y = 0$
    - Only about 1%, but this line is less important

Tune per Cell



Straight



- Needed due to compact arcs
- Integrated gradients same as arc (makes transition work)
- Match tunes as best as possible: avoid resonance crossing, keep good match
- Adjust drifts for match

Straight



- Scan drift lengths, minimize tune difference
- Keep long drift at least as long as arc
- Can't make match perfect, but close

Straight



Transition

- Smoothly vary bend angle, dipole field, drift lengths in cells from arc to straight
- Integrated gradients same for all magnets
- Adjust dipole field by shifting magnets
- Goal: orbits for full 42–150 MeV energy range end up on axis in straight

Transition



Transition

- Parameters follow smooth function, adjust coefficients for best orbit match
- Cell tunes move a bit
  - Could make a straight line from arc to cell, but fit is worse
  - Reason: to minimize tune change, dipole field goes quadratically with angle; makes behavior non-adiabatic at one end
- Computed using hard-edge approximation for speed
- Track closed orbit in arc to straight, plot invariant action (compare to emittance) in straight cell space
- Use correctors to get exactly right at design energies
- Transition insures only weak correctors needed

Transition


0.34
0.32
0.30
0.28
0.26
0.24
0.22
0.20
0.18
0.16
Horizontal Tune
0.32
0.34
0.36
0.38
0.40
0.42
0.44
0.46
0.48
0.50
Vertical Tune

Transition


Design
Uncorrected
Systematic Correction
Also Correctors
Normalized Action (μm)
10^{3}
10^{2}
10^{1}
10^{0}
10^{-1}
40
60
80
100
120
140
Energy (MeV)

Magnet Types

- Same focusing quadrupole (QF) used everywhere, centered on beam pipe
- Permanent magnet Halbach designs, with corrector coils
- Four types of combined-function defocusing magnets used
  - Same gradient, varying dipole field at center
  - BD in arc
  - BDT2 (10) and BDT1 (14) in transition; shift horizontally within their range
  - QD (pure quadrupole) in straight
- When use real magnets, transition works poorly

Magnet Types



Magnet Types



Magnet Types



Magnet Types

- Source of problem: two different magnet types, shifted so their zero-field axes are in the same location, are not perfect replacements for each other
- Example: zero-field line in BDT1, which is adjacent to a cell with QD (with a straight zero-field line), has a curved zero-field line
  - Effected exacerbated by short magnets
- Solution: add a monotonically-varying offset each set of transition magnets (both QF and BDTn);
  - Improves orbit match where cells with different magnet types meet
  - Largest shifts are around 200 μm
  - Resulting performance nearly as good as design

Magnet Types



Magnet Types



Conclusion

- Have a return arc that transmits any beam between 42 and 150 MeV
- To keep compact, have a compact arc, then adiabatically transition to a straight
- To minimize orbit mismatch, emittance growth, and correction requirements
  - Stay clear of low-order nonlinear cell resonances
  - Keep parameters invariant to the extent possible (cell structure, magnet types, integrated gradients, tunes, etc.)
  - An transition with adiabatically varying parameters can put orbits on design orbits almost perfectly
    - Can't be completely perfect, but careful design ensures that only weak correction is required