

Microphonics analysis of ERL cryomodule

Fumio Furuta Cornell University

ff97@cornell.edu

ERL17,WG4.1 SRF: Microphonics measurement and control, 22June2017





- Introduction
- Microphonics in the MLC
- Microphonics compensations
- Summary



Introduction

What is detuning?

- Detuning is a shift in the resonant frequency of a cavity.
- Since we drive at a constant frequency, detuning reduces the field which can be sustained with a given value of forward RF power.

How to measure/calculate?

> Detuning δf is calculated with,

$$\delta f = \frac{f_{drive}}{2Q_L} \tan \phi_t , \qquad Q_L = \left(\frac{1}{Q_0} + \frac{1}{Q_{ext}}\right)^{-1}$$

> The LLRF system measures the tuning angle ϕ_t which is the phase difference between forward power and the field probe signal.

Sources?

Lorentz Force Detuning (LFD); cavity field depend

Microphonics Detuning; noise/vibrations comes from external, cavity field independent

ff97@cornell.edu



Lorentz Force Detuning

Radiation pressure due to the electromagnetic field inside the cavity exerts fc on the cavity walls deforming the cavity.

$$P_{s} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\mu \left| \vec{H} \right|^{2} - \varepsilon_{0} \left| \vec{E} \right|^{2} \right)$$

$$\Delta f_0 = (f_0)_2 - (f_0)_1 = -KE_{acc}^2$$



Image from Linear Collider Collaboration website

Effects:

- 1. The resonant frequency of the cavity is a function of field. Hence, if we tune the cavity say at 6 MV, it will be out of tune at low fields and the system won't be able to turn on. One way to turn on is to ramp up the field slowly in steps while manually tuning the cavity, but this is impractical. Hence detuning compensation using the piezo-actuator based fast tuner becomes necessary.
- 2. Non-linear behavior of the resonance curve.



High Q_L and Microphonics detuning





Cavity field control and frequency stabilization becomes very critical!



MLC for CBETA ring





Main Linac LLRF



ERL17,WG4.1 SRF: Microphonics measurement and control, 22June2017



Cornell LLRF tests

Facility	Conditions	Field stability
Requirements on CBETA	Q _L ~ 6x10 ⁷ (10 Hz half bandwidth)	σ _A /A ~ 1x10 ⁻⁴ σ _Φ ~ 0.05deg
1.5GHz ERL cavity at <u>Jlab</u>	Q _L up to 1.2x10 ⁸ (6 Hz half bandwidth) 5 mA energy recovered beam	σ _A /A ~ 1x10 ⁻⁴ σ _Φ ~ 0.02deg
1.3GHz 9-cell cavity at HoBiCaT at <u>HZB</u>	Q _L up to 2x10 ⁸ (3 Hz bandwidth) Peak microphonics: ∼ 30 Hz)	σ _A /A < 1x10 ⁻⁴ σ _φ ~ 0.01deg
1.3GHz 7-cell cavity at HTC* at <u>Cornell</u>	Q _L ~ 5x10 ⁷ (13 Hz half bandwidth) Peak microphonics: ~40 Hz 5 kW solid state RF amp	σ _A /A ~ 6.5x10 ⁻⁵ σ _Φ ~ 0.01 deg

*Cornell Horizontal Test Cryomodule

- Cornell digital LLRF system for CBETA tested extensively with a wide range of parameters. Field stability meets and exceeds CBETA specifications.
- All digital components and control codes on hand.
- LLRF optimization for MLC is in progress now.



MLC cavity tuner



MLC Cavity Tuner Mounted on an Un-stiffened Cavity Helium Vessel



Mechanical slow tuner



Mechanical slow tuner

- Tuning range; ~400KHz
- Step motor drive current; 1A
- 200K steps per tuner screw revolution
- Max available revolution ~33





Piezo subassembly/ Piezo stacks



Piezoelectric fast tuner

- input voltage; 0~150V
- tuning range; > 2kHz
- piezoelectric sensors attached to the fast tuners pick up vibrations in the cavity walls.





MLC cooling schematic



ff97@cornell.edu



Lesson1

Microphonics in the MLC

ff97@cornell.edu

ERL17,WG4.1 SRF: Microphonics measurement and control, 22June2017



Initial cryogenic layout of MLC





Microphonics in the MLC



The spectrum of mechanical resonant : 30Hz, 60Hz, 90Hz, etc.



Peak Detuning



- Average peak detuning of stiffened cavity: ~40Hz, un-stiffened cavity: ~100Hz, during the initial test, <u>but no optimisations or no fast tuner compensations at</u> <u>the moment.</u>
- Detuning has been measured again at final MLC location.
- LLRF optimizations and microphonics compensations with piezoelectric fast tuner is in progress.

ff97@cornell.edu



Integrated detuning

Cavity Detuning: March 23, 2016



the vibration peak of ~60Hz seemed to make high gain on detuning on un-stiffened cavities.

ff97@cornell.edu

ERL17,WG4.1 SRF: Microphonics measurement and control, 22June2017



Identify the vibration sources

1) Pump Skid ON

80K flow was 7.5 g/s (high flow) 5K flow was 1.8 g/s

2) Pump skid Off

80K flow was 2.425 g/s (low flow) 5K flow was 1.6 g/s Insulation vacuum turbo pumps ON valve Open.

> measurements were done by directly measuring the voltage on the piezo B sensor

3) Pump skid Off
80K flow was 2.425 g/s(low flow)
5K flow was 1.6 g/s
Insulation vacuum turbo pumps off, valve Close.

measurements were done by directly measuring the voltage on the piezo B sensor



Measured with Cavity#2 (stiffened)



Mechanical vibration sources



- Preliminary identification and isolation of vibration sources were done in the initial MLC location.
- Optimization on the MLC cooling scheme and compensation of microphonics with piezoelectric fast tuner are in progress during initial MLC beam through test.



RF power requirements







 Q_L could be reduced to ~2x10⁷ using a 3 stub waveguide tuner to increase the maximum possible energy gain or reduce the required peak power.

Based on these calculations and making allowance for the higher microphonics levels of the un-stiffened cavities,

- three 5kW solid state RF amplifiers (SSAs) for stiffened cavities
- three 10kW SSAs for the un-stiffened cavities were selected to be ordered.



Lesson 2

Microphonics compensation



MLC at its final location, 2017





"Measured peak detuning w/o any compensation" = "LFD (field depend)" + "microphonics detuning (const.) "



ERL17,WG4.1 SRF: Microphonics measurement and control, 22June2017



Microphonics detuning meas.



Plots by N. Banerjee (Graduate student)

- Max detuning in cavity #5 (un-stiffened) is 163 Hz in the measured dataset (but not seen clearly in plot(a) with linear scale, please see next slides with more notes).
- We will revisit cavity #5 later, and resolve this by cryo optimization.
- Cavity #2 (stiffened) is less sensitive to the valve.
- Major contributions at 40 Hz and 80 Hz, these are probably driven by 5K Helium gas flow.
- Cavity #6; There is a strong intermittent source of vibration around 8 Hz which is weak in other cavities.



Microphonics detuning meas.



- Cryogenic valves will be static for beam running and helium liquid level will be regulated with a 2K 2-Phase heater.
- Will resolve this by cryo optimization.



Microphonics compensation

Low frequency compensation:

$$u_{\rm pz}(t) = K_P \delta f(t) + K_I \int_0^t \delta f(t') dt'$$

Where, the detuning is passed through a low pass filter with cut-off at 30 Hz to enhance stability of the loop.





Feedforward compensation



The first attempt of microphonics <u>feedforward</u> compensation on cavity#6.

- Microphonics study/compensation is in progress,,,
- Need more run time to improve feedforward compensation.

Plot by N. Banerjee (Graduate student)

- Feedforward signal is taken from an accelerometer mounted on the frame outside the MLC.
- Low frequency compensation (PI feedback loop) works as expected.
- Feedforward is stable and compensates for the 40 Hz vibration component while enhancing the 80 Hz component.
- Finally the cavity #6 reached 6MV without feedforward compensation, as expected.



Detuning vs. Cavity Voltage

Calculations on Peak Detuning vs. Cavity Voltage for various RF input power





Initial beam acceleration test

12MeV beam through the MLC

- 1) Machine setting
- Frequecny; 1.3GHz
- 6MeV from ICM
- 6MeV from MLC <u>cavity#2</u> (stiffened)
- LFD compensation on.
- Peak detuning; 18Hz

2) Machine setting

- Frequency; 1.299925GHz, due to the tuning range of MLC cavity #6
- 6MeV from ICM (cavity#1, #3, #4, and #5)
- 6MeV from MLC <u>cavity#6</u> (stiffened)
- LFD compensation on.
- Peak detuning; 33Hz







Long term stability





MLC cavities status

Preliminary

MLC cavity	Beam acceleration? (frequency)	Cavity Voltage	Max. Microphonics detuning	Active compensation	notes
Cavity#5, un-stiffened	Yes (1.3GHz)	3MV	163Hz	Νο	9MeV Beam through on May 10 th , revisit later
Cavity#2, stiffened	Yes (1.3GHz)	6MV	18Hz	Yes	12MeV Beam through on May 15 th
Cavity#6, stiffened	Yes (1.299925GHz)	6MV	33Hz	Yes	12MeV Beam through on June 15 th .
Cavity#3, un-stiffened	Detuning meas., microphonics study and compensations are planed				
Cavity#1, un-stiffened					
Cavity#4, Stiffened					



Key of the MLC operation

- Due to **the high Qext** for the MLC cavities, **detuning** is an important issue limiting the field sustained in the cavity. Especially in the **un-stiffened cavity**.
- Due to the small bandwidth of the MLC cavity, it is very sensitive to Lorentz Force detuning. LFD compensation has been implemented and tested in cavity #2 and #6.

Microphonics Compensations

- Low frequency microphonics compensation has been implemented using a Proportional-Integral feedback loop which deals with pressure changes in the helium bath.
- <u>High frequency microphonics</u> compensation will be implemented to deal with vibrations more than 1 Hz. This will be done using adaptive feed-forward schemes.

Future work

- Further optimization of cryogenic control and LLRF control, more microphonics measurements, and studies on an active compensation with the piezoelectric fast tuner are in progress.
- Confirm the MLC could provide/regulate the 1st pass energy gain of 36MeV in total is the next milestone of the MLC prior to Fractional Arc Test



Thanks again to the ERL team





Active compensations



Special thanks to Nilanjan Banerjee, Adam Bartnik, John Dobbins, Peter Quigley, and Vadim Veshcherevich.

ff97@cornell.edu



Thank you for your attention!