

FCC-ee e⁺e⁻ injection and Booster ring

Rebecca Ramjiawan¹

M. Barnes¹, W. Bartmann¹, J. Borburgh¹, A. Chance², B. Dalena², Y. Dutheil¹, M. Hofer¹, P. Hunchak³

¹CERN, ² CEA Paris-Saclay, ³ University of Saskatchewan

65th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High Luminosity Circular e⁺e⁻ Colliders (eeFACT2022)

Thursday 15th September 2022 This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 951754.

Outline

Injection into the Collider ring

- Conventional bump injection vs. Multipole Kicker Injection (MKI)
- On-axis and off-axis injection
- Kicker and septa technology considerations

FCC-ee Booster ring

- Status of the booster ring design
- Booster ring Dynamic Aperture studies
- Booster ring equilibrium emittance



FCC-ee

- The Future Circular electron-positron Collider (FCC-ee) is a proposed 91.17 km future machine which would operate in four modes spanning 45.6-182.5 GeV.
- The beam lifetime is limited by synchrotron radiation and Bhabha scattering and to achieve a high integrated luminosity the beam will require continuous top-up injection.
- Top-up injection planned via a full-energy booster in the same tunnel as the collider ring
 either stacked with or adjacent to the collider ring.







Top-up injection strategies

Injection methods

Several injection methods were considered [3] and two were selected as viable options.

Conventional bump injection

- A dynamic orbit bump (dipole kickers) brings the beam close to the septum for one revolution.
- Two kickers are placed with 180° phase advance between them (π-orbit-bump).



Multipole kicker injection

- Kicker has minimal on-axis field (for the stored beam).
- Kicker has significant off-axis field (for the injected beam).
- Ideal multipole kicker field is a stepfunction.





Off-axis vs. on-axis injection

Liouville's theorem: Under conservative forces, the density of the particles in phase-space stays constant [5] \therefore you cannot inject into this phase-space.

Instead, injected beams are separated either transversely or in momentum, and merge via *synchrotron radiation damping*.

- Off-axis: injected with a transverse offset.
- On-axis: injected with momentum offset onto off-momentum closed orbit. This
 requires there to be dispersion at the septum to separate the beams by energy.



Stored beam, before orbit bump. Septum outside of DA.



DA = dynamic aperture = $15\sigma_s$







The injected beam envelope is separated from the stored beam by the septum width.





15/09/2022

Once the orbit bump is collapsed the beam envelopes are still separated by the septum blade width.

This width corresponds to the region of the injected beam lying **outside the DA**.

Therefore, this injection scheme requires a thin septum such as an electrostatic wire septum.





Conventional injection (off-axis)

- Assuming 200 μ m septum-width. Desirable to have large β_x at septum.
- Bump amplitude: 7.6 mm
- Beam-beam offset at septum: 15.7 mm
- Kicker deflection angle: 12.5 µrad











Electrostatic septum

Electrostatic septum with tensioned wires separating the high-field and field-free regions (e.g the CERN SPS ZS septum [6]).

Blade widths of order 100s of microns are possible.

R&D planned at CERN to look into the effect of X-rays on electrostatic septa sparking rates as a function of electric field.



Fig. 1. ZS electrostatic septum used for SPS slow extraction

[6]



Preliminary - still under study

	Parameter	Value
Septum	Deflection angle	65 µrad
	Int. field ($\overline{t}\overline{t}$)	11.2 MV
	Electric field	1.87 MV/m
	Potential difference	37.4 kV
	Septum thickness	200 µm
	Gap width	20 mm
	Length	2×3 m



Conventional injection (on-axis)

- Injecting onto the off-momentum closed orbit.
- A larger orbit bump is needed because the beam size is increased by dispersion.
- Separation between injected and stored beams of $|D_x\delta| \rightarrow$ momentum offset of -1.9%.
- Kicker deflection: 27 μrad. Septum deflection: > 65 μrad.





Multipole kicker injection (MKI)

- Ideally zero field for the stored beam and constant field for the injected beam (R&D needed).
- Compensation kicker to correct for perturbation to the stored beam distribution.
- Can use magnetic septum with blade width 3 mm.









Multipole kicker tracking studies

- Kicker field modelled using separate polynomials for the two regions.
- If the septum field varies more than a few percent then the injected beam would be within the low-field region of the multipole kicker.







[4]

P. Hunchak, M. Hofer

f-quad

multipole

kicker

Compensation multipole kicker

- Without the compensation kicker there would be an increase in σ_x which would result in factor of 5 decrease in luminosity.
- 180° phase advance from MKIC to MKI allows for '-I transformation' which counters effect on stored beam.



Stored beam offset at IP

stored beam d-quad d-quad septum injected beam

compensation



0

X [m]

-5

Stored beam at MKI

Only MKI

Kicker Entrance

5

1e-4

At Kicker Exit

X [m]

0

1e-5

2

PX [rad]

-1

-2

-5

Rebecca Ramjiawan

Multipole kicker injection (off-axis)

- Separation of beams at septum $> 5\sigma_s + 10\sigma_s + S + 5\sigma_i$,
 - to account for betatron oscillations of injected beam.
- Beams separated at kicker causing betatron oscillations.
- Kicker deflection: 29 µrad
- Septum deflection: >65 µrad





Conclusions

- We consider four strategies for top-up injection: conventional and multipole kicker injection, with both
 off-axis and on-axis injection.
- **On-axis injection** means less background to experiments and, at LEP, meant better injection efficiency. However, there is a smaller dynamic aperture off-momentum (Z, W modes).
- Conventional bump injection could use existing kicker technology, although would require R&D to see whether an electrostatic septum could withstand the synchrotron radiation.
- Multipole kicker injection would cause less disturbance to the stored beam, perturbing the beam distribution rather than the trajectory. However, this requires good alignment between kicker and beam and the kicker field would not be a perfect step function (MKI kicker R&D required).

Converging to a single strategy over the next 12-18 months, comparing using metrics such as luminosity, experiment background, machine protection, feasibility/availability/reliability, cost,...



Further studies

- Injection with misalignments and corrections. Estimation of injection efficiency.
- Beam-beam effects and estimation of the background to experiments.
- Update studies for 4IP lattice. Consider configurations of electron/positron injection.
- Machine protection studies for injection failure scenarios.
- Injection optics will need designing for the W-, H- and tt-operations and also for on-axis MKI injection.
- R&D into the effect of X-rays on electrostatic septa sparking rates as a function of voltage.





Booster ring

FCC-ee Booster layout

- Injection into the Booster either from a pre-booster ring (e.g. the SPS) or a 20 GeV linac.
- The bypass of the booster at the detectors is still an open question.
- Still to be decided if booster and collider are to be stacked or adjacent.
- Injection and extraction could be placed at PB.





Booster ring equilibrium emittance

- Booster emittance at extraction should be less than the collider emittance.
- 60°/60° Optics for Z and W modes (the horizontal/vertical phase advance per FODO cell).
- 90°/90° Optics for H and tt modes.

Equilibrium emittance [nm rad]

Beam Energy [GeV]	60°/60°	90°/90°	Collider (new)
45.6 (Z)	0.235	0.078	0.71
80 (W)	0.729	0.242	2.16
120 (H)	4.229	0.545	0.64
175 (t ī)	3.540	1.172	1.49



B. Dalena, A. Chance

60°/60° and 90°/90° optics

60°/60° Optics for Z and W modes.



90°/90° Optics for H and tt-modes.





B. Dalena, A. Chance

Booster ring dynamic aperture studies

- Static dipole field errors are considered but not yet the dynamic field effect.
- Using MAD-X Thin-Lens Tracking. 60 seeds shown.
- Dynamic aperture calculated over 4500 turns.
- Dynamic aperture for the 90°/90° optics is ~ 15σ (due to longitudinal motion). This would require a thin septum for injection, similar to the collider.







B. Dalena, A. Chance

Emittance evolution

- Synchrotron integral I₂ is too small to reach the collider parameters within 1.2 s.
- Target damping time $\rightarrow 0.1$ s (to fulfill cycle time).

Solutions considered:

Damping wigglers - reduce damping time but increase equilibrium - emittance.

Add 2 seconds once at extraction energy - no change to the optics design but increase Booster cycle-time.

2 dipoles with two different curvatures - damping time can be reduced using the ratio between the two different fields, the downside is different reference orbits [8].

Pole length	0.095 m	
Pole separation	0.020 m	
Gap	0.050 m	
Number of poles	79	
Wiggler length	$9.065\mathrm{m}$	
Magnetic field	1.45 T	
Energy loss per turn	126 MeV	
Hor. damping time	104 ms	
Hor. emittance (60° optics)	300 pm rad	





Conclusion

- Booster has exactly the same circumference as the collider with several options for bypass at the IP.
- 60°/60° optics for Z and W modes and 90°/90° optics for H and tt modes provides lower emittance for booster than collider.
- Sufficient dynamic aperture for 60°/60° optics dynamic field effects to be added.

Further work

- Optimisation to improve the off-momentum DA for the 90°/90° optics.
- Define the tolerances and correctors for the linear imperfections.
- Establish method to reduce damping time.
- Finalise and integrate the injection and extraction region designs.





[1] Agapov, I., et al. "Future Circular Lepton Collider FCC-ee: Overview and Status."

[2] K. Oide, 4 August 2022, https://indico.cern.ch/event/1178975/contributions/4952198/attachments/2488857/4274942/params_220804.pdf

[3] Aiba, Masamitsu, et al. "Top-up injection schemes for future circular lepton collider." *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment* 880 (2018): 98-106.

[4] P. Hunchak, 2021 FCCIS WP2 Workshop , https://indico.cern.ch/event/1085318/contributions/4591346/subcontributions/356612/attachments/2360057/4028485/FCCee_Topup_Injection_07-12-2021.pdf

[5] H. Wiedemann, Particle Accelerator Physics I—Basic Principles and Linear Beam Dynamics (1993).

[6] <u>https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=7764034&tag=1</u>

[7] B. Dalena, https://agenda.infn.it/event/28874/contributions/169171/

[8] A. Chance, https://indico.cern.ch/event/106/327/contributiv

https://indico.cern.ch/event/1064327/contributions/4893280/attachments/2452216/4202177/2022_05_31_heb_status_FCCweek.pdf





Thank you for your attention