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#### Abstract

A local enlargement of a beam tube may support high- $Q$ resonances just below the cutoff frequency of the first TM-mode. We have investigated the longitudinal beam impedance of such cavities that have gradual changes in pipe radius. For convenience, circular cross sections and linear tapers were assumed in the analysis. Several methods with different approximations have been compared. The calculations gave values for the stored energy and the $O$-factor which agreed well, while the numbers obtained for $Z_{R}$ exhibit some variation, but remain very small


## Introduction

To permit elliptically polarized light to emerge from the Advanced Light Source (ALS) a channel with circular cross section will be machined into the vacuum chamber. Where this channel joins tangentially the curved beam lube, the enlarged cross section forms a shallow cavity. Depending on its length, such a chamber may support one or more high $Q$ resonances just below the cutoff of the beam pipe. The question whether it would present enough impedance to the beam to drive coupled-bunch instabilities prompted us to invest gate the problem in more detail.

An experimental approach was not seen as being very practical and cost effective so that a theoretical examination was pursued. Further to reduce the task, a simplified example cavity with rotational symmetry was chosen because it was thought to provide enough insight into the beam impedance issues. Two different procedures were considered. The first to be described uses a semi-numerical technique to give an approximate solution of Maxwell's equations for that problem. In the second method, simple but realistic field distributions were assumed, yielding quasi analytical answers for the transit time effect. Also the result of a digital 2-D calculation will be reported.

## Semi-numerical Description

## The Method

The dimensions of the cavity model with circular cross sections used in these simulations are shown in Fig.1. The radii have been chosen to match the minimum and maximum TM01 cutoff frequencies of the cross section of the actual cavity. For simplicity, the taper has been assumed to be linear, although in principle the method allows for any contour. The $5 \%$ increase in radius reduces the $\mathrm{TM}_{0}$. cutoff frequency from 4.989 GHz to 4.761 GHz , leaving only a very narrow band for high $Q$ resonances. The short length further limits their number.

For each cross section of an axially nonuniform structure the fields can be expressed in terms of the normal modes of the local aperture. Relating the various fields to one another, leads to the so-called generalized transmission Ilne or telegraphist's equations, which for tapered transitions have the form ${ }^{1}$


Fig. 1: Longltudinal cross sectlon of the tapered cavity.
where $Z_{i}$ represents the characteristic impedance of a waveguide mode, and $\gamma_{i}$ its propagation constant along the axial coordinate $z$. The complex amplitudes, $V_{i}$ and $I_{i}$, of the transverse electric and magnetic field eigenvec-
tors, $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{ti}}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{ti}}$, respectively, are defined through
$\vec{E}_{t}=\sum_{i} \vec{E}_{t i}=\sum_{i} \vec{e}_{i} v_{i} \quad \quad \vec{H}_{i}=\sum_{i} \vec{H}_{t i}=\sum_{i} \overrightarrow{h_{i}} l_{i}$
where $\vec{E}_{t}$ and $\vec{H}_{t}$ are the total ransverse fiohs, and $\vec{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\vec{h}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are normalized through the surface integrals
$\int_{S}^{->2} d S=\int_{S} h_{i}^{2} d S=1$
The coupling coefficients in Eq. 1 are then given by
$C_{i k}=\int_{S}-e_{k} \frac{d \vec{e}_{i}}{d z} d S$
At frequency $\omega$ the longitudinal field components are obtained from
$j \omega E_{Z}=\nabla\left(\vec{n}_{z} \times \vec{E}_{t}\right), \quad j \omega / H_{z}=V\left(\vec{H}_{1} \times \vec{n}_{z}\right)$.
where $c$ and $\mu$ are the permittivity and permeability of the volume, and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ the unit vector in axial direction. The system of coupled differential equations (1) can now be integrated. For that purpose, however, it has to be rea sonably truncated and boundary conditions have to be set. As the taper considered here is very flat, modes of higher order than the fundamental TM01 will be excited only very weakly and have therefore been neglected. This in turn greatly simplifies the analysis. Eq. 1 then reduces to
$\frac{d}{d z}\left[\begin{array}{l}V_{1} \\ I_{1}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}C_{11} & -\gamma_{1} Z_{1} \\ -\gamma_{1} / 7_{1} & -C_{11}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}V_{1} \\ I_{1}\end{array}\right]$
For the circular cross section, the elements of the matrix can be calculated from
$C_{11}=-\frac{\tan \phi}{r}, \quad \gamma_{1} z_{1}=j \omega \mu+\frac{k_{c}^{2}}{j \omega c}, \quad \gamma_{1} / Z_{1}=j \omega c$,
where the local quantities $r, \tan \phi_{1}$ and $k_{C}$ are the pipe radius, the slope of the cavity wall, and the cutoff wave number, respectively.

The axial symmetry of the structure allows one readily to state the boundary conditions. For large $z$ the fields should decay, exponentially approaching zero amplitude. At $\mathbf{z}=0$ the resonance condition imposes either an open or a short circuit looking into the cavity. Thus, either the electric or the magnetic field have to be normal in that plane. If only the $\mathrm{TM}_{01}$ mode is taken into account, this condition readily determines the starting values for the integration of Eq.5. From Eqs 2 and 4 , they read $V_{1}=0$ and $I_{1}=1$ for modes with an even axial symmetry in $E_{Z}$, and $V_{1}=1$ and $I_{1}=0$ in the other case. The value of the nonzero component enters only as a linear scaling constant and therefore has been chosen to be unity. The resonant frequency was set by using the boundary condition at large $z$. Of course it cannot be determined exactly because of the exponential $z$-dependence of the fields. Therefore a location $z_{2}$ far enough away where the fields are sufficiently smal was chosen. Then the frequency was varied until both the fields and their slopes were reasonably close to zero.

From the knowledge of the field distributions, all the cavity parameters relevant to the beam car be evaluated. Using Eqs. 2 and 4, the voltage experi enced by the beam, travelling at the velocity of light, is then calculated using
$V_{B}=\frac{-2 x_{01}}{j \omega c \sqrt{\pi} J_{1}\left(x_{01}\right)} \int_{0}^{z_{2}} \frac{l_{1}}{r^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\cos k_{0} z \\ \sin k_{0} z\end{array}\right\} d z$.
where cos and sin apply to the short and open circuit cases, respectively, $k_{0}$ is the wave number in free space, $J_{n}$ is the Bessel function of $n^{\text {th }}$ order, and $x_{01}$ is the first zero of $J_{0}$. Ideally, the integrated voltage is invariant across the aperture ${ }^{2}$, and shouid, in particular, give the same result when evaluated at the beam pipe radius $r_{1}$ according to
$V_{B}=\frac{2 x_{0}}{j \omega c \sqrt{\pi} J_{1}\left(x_{01}\right)} \int_{0}^{z_{1}} \frac{I_{1} J_{0}\left(x_{01} \frac{r_{1}}{r}\right)}{r^{2}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}\cos k_{0} z \\ \sin k_{0} z\end{array}\right\} d z$.
The dissipated power $P$ and the stored energy $U$ in the cavity can be expressed in terms of the mode current as
$P=4 R_{S} \int_{0}^{z_{2}} \frac{\left|I_{1}\right|^{2}}{r} d z . \quad U=\left.2 \mu \int_{0}^{z_{2}}| |_{1}\right|^{2} d z$
where
$R_{S}=\sqrt{\frac{\omega \dot{\mu}}{2 \sigma}}$
is the wall resistance. The conductivity is denoted by a. Finally, using Eqs. 7 . or 8 , and 9 , the beam impedance at resonance and the quality factor of the cavity can be computed from
$Z_{B}=\frac{\left|V_{B}\right|^{2}}{P}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\omega \frac{U}{P} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Results

At first Eq. 5 was numerically integrated using a Runge-Kutta algorithm. The first resonance was found at $4.866 \ldots \mathrm{GHz}$. The mode has an even axial symmetry in $E_{2}$, as illustrated in Fig. 2 for one half of the cavity. The frequency setting is critical for the far-end boundary condition to be fulfilled because of the exponential nature of the field's z-dependence below cutoff.

For $\sigma=2 \cdot 10^{7} 1 / \Omega \mathrm{m}$, the Q -factor is 14.718 ; its value is rather Insensitive to variations in the numerical parameters such as the number of steps during the integrations, the value of $z_{2}$, or, to some extent, the resonant frequency. The opposite is true for the beam impedance, $Z_{B}$ : its value, which, from Eqs. 7 and 10 , was found to be on the order of a few 10 n , varies by as much as an order of magnitude depending on the settings. Integrating along the beam pipe radius as indicated in Eq. 8 approximately doubles the voltage. For completeness, it should be mentioned here that a second mode of the odd type was found at 4.986 GHz , i.e. less than 4 MHz below the beam tube cutoff. Its parameters are of the same order of magnitude as tor the even mode. However, with the approximations involved in the calculations, its existence can be questioned


Fig 2: Plot of $\mathbf{l}_{1}$, the normalized longitudinal electric field.
In an attempt to further simplify the calculations, the coupling factor $C_{11}$ in Eq. 5 was set to zero. For the mode current $I_{1}$, Eq. 5 can then be rewritten as
$\frac{d^{2} I_{1}}{d z^{2}}=-\left(k_{0}^{2}-k_{c}^{2}\right) I_{1}=-k_{z}^{2} I_{1}$.

For the even mode the integration then yields a resonant frequency which is about 2 MHz higher than previously, and $2 \%$ more stored energy and dissipated power. The $Q$ factor is identical, and the beam impedance is the same within the variation indicated above. Substituting $E_{Z}$ for $I_{1}$ in Eq. 11 only introduces a small change because the two quantities are very similar for gradual tapers. This latter approach has the advantage that no knowtedge of the eigenmodes of the various cross-sections is required. The only information needed is the $z$-dependence of the cutoff frequency, which could be obtained, in more complicated cases, by purely numerical means.

In addition the geometry was evaluated using URMEL-T ${ }^{3}$. All results agree well with the previous data, except for the value of the beam impedance which is on the order of a few $k \Omega$, and depends on the discrelizalion, and thus did not appear to be very reliable.

The uncertainties in all methods arise from the fact that, because of the slowly varying fields, the integral of the fields involves differences of large, but very similar quantities. Small variations in the numerical parameters then have effects which may be bigger than the result itself. It should be mentioned that the simple method outlined above should, in principle, be probed by adding more modes, until a slabilization of the results is achieved. As this, however, requires a different and more elaborate solution, another way, which will be presented next, was chosen.

## Analytical Models

Taking the inverse approach, assumptions for the z-dependence of the longitudinal electric field were made. This actually corresponds to a different taper profile than in the previous case. If the shape of the fields is very similar, however, the order of magnitude of the effect is expected to be correct.

At first the voltage experienced by the beam was evaluated with the assumed axial field
$E_{z}=E_{0} \operatorname{sech}(a z)$
where $F_{0}$ and a are scaling constants. Then the voltage becomes
$\left|V_{B}\right|=2 E_{0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{sech}(a z) \cos \left(k_{0} z\right) d z=E_{0} \frac{\pi}{a} \operatorname{sech} \frac{k_{0} \pi}{2 a}$.
The constant a was set so that the axial position where the field has zero curvature is approximately the same as in the previous case ( $a z \cong 0.88 @$ $z \cong 0.045 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Assuming the same resonant frequency, the resulting voltage is about $9 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{E}_{0}$, which is in close agreement with the former result. If the dissipated power is of comparable magnitude, the beam impedance is about 20 Q , thus conirming the previous calculations. However, the result exhibits some variation as a function of the uncertainty $\Delta z$ in determining the point of zero curvature. For large enough values of $\mathrm{k}_{0} / \mathrm{a}$, ie for long tapers. the impedance varies by a factor
$\frac{z}{z+\Delta z} e^{k_{0} \pi \Delta z / 1.76}$,
which, for $\Delta z \cong \pm 0.12$ is about 5 in our case.

Yet another calculation was carried out with the field distribution being approximated by
$E_{z}=E_{0} \frac{k_{c}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}} \frac{1+c \cos b z}{1+C}$ for $|z| \leqq z_{1}$,
and
$E_{z}=E_{0} \frac{k_{\infty}^{2}}{k_{m}^{2}} \frac{1+C \cos b z_{1}}{1+C} e^{-\left(k_{\infty}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right) 1 / 2\left(z-z_{1}\right)}$ for $|z| \geqq z_{1}$,
where $k_{c}$ is the transverse cutoff wave number, a function of $z$ which varies from $k_{m}$ at the cavity center to $k_{\infty}$ in the tube. The constants $C$ and $b$, and the wave number at resonance $k_{0}$ are yet to be determined. Approximating the Hertz vector by its z-component II for TM waves in cylindrical pipes, the following relations can be written:
$\Pi=E_{z} / k_{c}^{2}$,
$E_{z}=\frac{\delta^{2} \Pi}{\delta z^{2}}+k_{0}^{2} I I=k_{c}^{2} 11$.
$\vec{E}_{1}=\vec{V}_{t} \frac{\delta \Pi}{\delta z}$,
where the index $t$ refers to transverse quantities. With the matching conditions at $z=z$, one obtains from Eqs. 13 for the fields of Eq. 12
$b \cot b z_{1}=-\sqrt{k_{\infty}^{2}-k_{0}^{2}}$,
and
$C=-\cos b z_{y}$.
$k_{0}^{2}-k_{m}^{2}=\left.\frac{-1}{\Pi} \frac{b^{2} \Pi}{\delta z^{2}}\right|_{z=0}=\frac{C b^{2}}{1+C}$

## With

$V_{B}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} E_{Z} e^{j k_{0} z} d z=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} E_{z} \cos k_{0} z d z$,
the voltage experienced by the beam can now be evaluated from the longitudinal field in Eq.12. Using Eqs.14, 15, 16, and, from Eq. 13b, the formula
$k_{c}^{2}-\frac{k_{0}^{2}+c\left(k_{0}^{2}-b^{2}\right) \cos b z}{1+c \cos b z}$,
the integration yields the surprising result $V_{B}=0$. This special field shape confirms that the beam impedance may be very small. The calculation yielded a shallow cavity of the shape depicted with suppressed zero in Fig. 3. The parameters $z_{1}, k_{m}$, and $k_{\infty}$ were the same as in the previous cases.


Fig.3: Ratio of cavity radius to beam pipe radius.

## Conclusions

The beam impedance of very shallow tapered cavities has been studied, using several different approaches. Both semi-numerical methods and analytical models indicate that, because of the transit time effect, the beam is weakly coupled to such cavities. Although some approximations are involved in the model and in the calculations, we conclude that this feature in the ALS will be harmless.
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