Crab Waist collisions in Dafne and SuperB design

P. Raimondi for the Dafne and SuperB teams EPAC08

DAFNE upgrade team

David Alesini, Maria Enrica Biagini, Caterina Biscari, Roberto Boni, Manuela Boscolo, Fabio Bossi, Bruno Buonomo, Alberto Clozza, Giovanni Delle Monache, Theo Demma, Enrico Di Pasquale, Giampiero Di Pirro, Alessandro Drago, Alessandro Gallo, Andrea Ghigo, Susanna Guiducci, Carlo Ligi, Fabio Marcellini, Giovanni Mazzitelli, Catia Milardi, Fabrizio Murtas, Luigi Pellegrino, Miro Preger, Lina Quintieri, Pantaleo Raimondi, Ruggero Ricci, Ugo Rotundo, Claudio Sanelli, Mario Serio, Francesco Sgamma, Bruno Spataro, Alessandro Stecchi, Angelo Stella, Sandro Tomassini, Cristina Vaccarezza, Mikhail Zobov (INFN/LNF, Frascati (Roma)), Ivan Koop, Evgeny Levichev, Pavel Piminov, Dmitry Shatilov (BINP SB RAS, Novosibirsk), Victor Smaluk (BINP, Novosibirsk), Simona Bettoni (CERN, Geneva), Marco Schioppa (INFN Gruppo di Cosenza, Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza)), Paolo Valente (INFN-Roma, Roma), Kazuhito Ohmi (KEK, Ibaraki), Nicolas Arnaud, Dominique Breton, Patrick Roudeau, Achille Stocchi, Alessandro Variola, Benoit Francis Viaud (LAL, Orsay), Marco Esposito (Rome University La Sapienza, Roma), Eugenio Paoloni (University of Pisa and INFN, Pisa), Paolo Branchini (Roma3, Rome)

Outline

- Short summary on the upgrade
- Commissioning history
- Collider optical parameters
- Low current results
- High current operation
- Present performance
- Conclusions

Large Piwinski angle $\Phi_{P=}\theta\sigma_z/\sigma_x$

Geometric luminosity gain Very low horizontal tune shift No parasitic collisions short overlap region

small $\beta_y^* (\beta_y^* \sim \sigma_x / \theta)$

Geometric luminosity gain low vertical tune shift

Crab waist transformation (realized with two sextupoles $@\pi$ in x and 1.5π in y from IP)

Geometric luminosity gain

Suppression of X-Y betatron and synchrobetatron resonances



BEAM PROFILES @IP AND NEW PARAMETERS



	DAΦNE (KLOE run)	DAΦNE Upgrade
l _{bunch} (mA)	13	13
N _{bunch}	110	110
β _y * (cm)	1.7	0.65
β _x * (cm)	170	20
σ _y * (μ m)	7	2.6
σ _x * (μ m)	700	200
σ _z (mm)	25	20
Horizontal tune shift	0.04	0.008
Vertical tune shift	0.04	0.055
θ _{cross} (mrad) (half)	12.5	25
$\Phi_{Piwinski}$	0.45	2.5
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1.5x10 ³²	>5x10 ³²





IP LAYOUT AND LUMINOSITY MONITORS



IP LAYOUT AND LUMINOSITY MONITORS



SECOND CROSSING REGION LAYOUT

- Second crossing region *symmetric* with respect to first one (Possibility to use it as an alternative interaction point)
- "Half Moon" chamber allows complete beam separation (no 2nd IP)





Commissioning history

- Machine start-up end of November
- Both beams stored first days of December with detuned lattice
- Low- β optics applied in January
- Solenoid windings installed in positron ring and 800 mA e+ current stored with pattern not suitable for collisions in first week of February
- Crab-Waist sextupoles in operation in February
- Bhabha Luminosity monitor installed on February 11th
- First L ~ 10^{32} cm⁻²s⁻¹ measured beginning of March
- SIDDHARTA prototype installation March 10th
- New horizontal feedback installed in the electron ring first half of March
- Background in the kaon trigger optimization end of March
- Transverse and longitudinal feedbacks tuning end of April

Optical parameters

	electrons design	electrons achieved	positrons design	positrons achieved	
emittance (mm.mrad)	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.25	
β _× @IP (m)	0.20	0.27	0.20	0.24	
β _γ @IP (m)	0.0065	0.0106	0.0065	0.0096	
coupling (%)	0.5	≈0.7	0.5	≈0.7	
σ _x @ IP (mm)	0.20	0.26	0.20	0.25	
σ _y @ IP (μm)	2.6	4.0	2.6	4.0	
Piwinski angle (10mA)	2.5	1.6	2.5	1.7	

Present SIDDHARTA Optics



Vertical beam-beam scan

$$\Sigma_{y} = \sqrt{\sigma_{yp}^{2} + \sigma_{ye}^{2}}$$

$$\Sigma_v = \Sigma_v^{meas} * 0.88$$

Hourglass factor



Crab sextupoles parameters



We plan to install 4 "large" sextupoles of the arcs with $K_{max}\approx 25~m^{-2}$ during final Siddharta installation



Low current results

10 bunches 2/5/2008 $I_b \approx 13 \text{ mA/bunch}$ $L \approx 3 \times 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$





High current operation

 Three main hardware upgrades have been implemented to improve the stored current:

- Fast kickers
- Feedback upgrade
- Low impedance bellows

TEST OF FAST PULSERS WITH NEW KICKERS



Feedback: hardware upgrade and bugs fixing



• Transverse feedbacks

- 2 new "iGp" system (developed by a collaboration LNF/KEK/SLAC) installed. Now 4 identical setups are in operation
- Simplified analog front end reducing crosstalk between adjacent bunches
- Front end delay lines (no more necessary) eliminated
- Back end delay lines (no more necessary) limiting the feedback response bandwidth eliminated
- Longitudinal positron feedback
 - Front end phase shifter remote control introducing spurious signal at 26 kHz eliminated.
 - Back to manual control.

Feedback: transverse system software & gateware upgrade

- New software and gateware release
- All 4 systems run now the same code release
- Only one powerful server (PC) also used as operator interface
- New features in the operator interface
- Non-invasive 4 betatron tunes monitors





09/May/08: e- beam in collision, stable with 100 bunches, 1640 mA



current [mA]



08/May/08: e+ > 1150mA in 120 bunches, (best result ever for single beam e+)

NEW BELLOWS









e⁻ bunch length measurement



e⁺ bunch length measurement



Present performance

- Stored current in electron beam = 1.79A in 95 bunches (weak positron beam ≈0.45 A)
- Stored current in positron beam = 1.15A in 120 bunches (no electron beam)
- Stored current of interacting beams at the same time = ≈(1.2e⁻+1.1e⁺) A in 95 bunches
- Peak luminosity ≈2.2x10³²cm⁻²s⁻¹ (measured by Bhabha monitor; value from Kaon monitor larger by 10÷20%) (old KLOE record 1.5e32)
- Integrated luminosity per day ≈9.6 pb⁻¹ (measured by Bhabha monitor during operation for Siddharta)
- Integrated luminosity per hour (measured by Bhabha monitor on 2 hours) = 0.55 pb⁻¹ (old KLOE record 0.44pb-1)





SIDDHARTA run luminosity estimeted by DAFNE luminosity Bhabha monitor, data logging starts 14/03/2008

Integrated and peak luminosity equaled the previous runs performances in mid April

Effective Rates might be different upon fine calibrations of the Luminosity detectors

(demonstrated a steady run condition for a week)

50% improvement by May 15 achieved in peak and average

"BEST" INTEGRATED LUMINOSITY on APRIL 25th





Maximum interacting currents ≈800+800 mA

measured by Bhabha monitor with background subtraction

2 hours luminosity



- kaon monitor without background subtraction
- -- Bhabha monitor without background subtraction
- Bhabha monitor with background subtraction

Kaon monitor luminosity (average on a single run scaled by the product of stored currents)



Best Luminosity vs Time

Best Luminosity/KiloWatt vs Time



Absolute rates estimated with Bhabha 50% higher with 30% less current

Absolute rates estimated with Kaons are 10-20%higher (L>2.5e32) Absolute power consumption decreased from 6MW to 4MW

To do list

- Equalization and availability on line of all kinds of luminosity measurements (direct and estimated, Bhabha and Kaon monitor with background subtraction, γ monitor, "geometric" luminosity from currents and beam sizes)
- Increase number of stored bunches from 95 to 110
- · Decrease β and dispersion beating from 20% to 5% ($\beta_y{}^{*}$ from 10 to 8.5 mm)
- Faster switch between electron and positron injection and faster positron injection rate
- From June 3rd to June 18th:
- Install stronger (by 50%) Crab sextupoles
- Add solenoid windings on positron injection straight section
- Reduce injection kickers pulse duration
- Install final Siddharta detector
- Overall luminosity increase by ≈50% expected
- Lower βy^* down to 6mm is hard because we have to lower βx and ϵx too, and might affect the Siddharta background



- Upgraded completed with 1 month delay wrt schedule
- The collider has been successfully commissioned in the new "crab waist" mode by the beginning of March (1e32 achieved), with about 2months delay wrt schedule.
- First Siddharta measurement completed (Kaonic Nitrogen) with about 3 months delay wrt schedule.
- Machine luminosity and background conditions suitable for the second measurement (Kaonic Hydrogen) in 2months+1/2 for commissioning the second detector.
- Background has to be reduced by another factor 10 for the Kaonic Deuterium measurement. Tight collaboration with the Siddharta team is under way to reach this goal (needed after the Kaonic Hydrogen measurement (3 months from now).
- Further quasi-adiabatic improvements of machine operation are likely to fulfill the requirements for a future roll-in of KLOE at the beginning of 2009 (needed about L=4e32, Lint/day=20pb-1), instead of in the second half of 2008 as initially planned.

SuperB Accelerator
Overview and Status

SuperB Accelerator
Overview and Status

Outline

- SuperB project
- Luminosity and beam-beam
- Beam dynamics studies
- Conclusions



SuperB Project

 SuperB aims at the construction of a very high luminosity (1-4 x 10³⁶ cm⁻² s⁻¹) asymmetric e⁺e⁻ Flavour Factory, with possible location at the campus of the University of Rome Tor Vergata, near the INFN Frascati National Laboratory.



SuperB Accelerator Contributors

- M. E. Biagini, M. Boscolo, T. Demma, A. Drago, S. Guiducci, M. Preger, P. Raimondi, S. Tomassini, C. Vaccarezza, M. Zobov (INFN/LNF, Italy)
- Y. Cai, A. Fisher, S. Heifets, A. Novokhatski, M.T. Pivi, J. Seeman, M. Sullivan, U. Wienands, W. Wittmer (SLAC, US)
- T. Agoh, K. Ohmi, Y. Ohnishi (KEK, Japan)
- I. Koop, S. Nikitin, E. Levichev, P. Piminov, D. Shatilov (BINP, Russia)
- Wolski (Liverpool University, UK)
- M. Venturini (LBNL, US)
- S. Bettoni (CERN, Switzerland)
- A. Variola (LAL/Orsay, France)
- E. Paoloni, G. Marchiori (Pisa University, Italy)



SuperB footprint on Tor Vergata site





Super-B New Parameters

	Nom	inal	Upgrade		Ulti	mate	
PARAMETER	LER (e+)	HER (e-)	LER (e+)	HER (e-)	LER (e+)	HER (e	3-)
Energy (GeV)	4	7	4	7	4	7	,
Luminosity x 10 ³⁶	1	.0		2.0		1.0	
Circumference (m)	1800	1800					
Revolution frequency (MHz)	0.1	167					
Eff. long. polarization (%)	0	80					
RF frequency (MHz)	47	76					
Momentum spread (x10 ⁻⁴)	7.9	5.6	9.0	8.0			
Momentum compaction (x10 ⁻⁴)	3.2	3.8	3.2	3.8			
Rf Voltage (MV)	5	8.3	8	11.8	17.5	27	
Energy loss/turn (MeV)	1.16	1.94	1.78	2.81			
Number of bunches	12	51			2	502	
Particles per bunch (x10 ¹⁰⁾	5.	52			6	.78	
Beam current (A)	1.	85			3.69		
Beta y* (mm)	0.22	0.39	0.16	0.27			
Beta x* (mm)	35	20					Beam-beam
Emit y (pm-rad)	7	4	3.5	2			
Emit x (nm-rad)	2.8	1.6	1.4	0.8			transparency
Sigma y* (microns)	0.039	0.039	0.0233	0.0233			a anditional in red
Sigma x* (microns)	9.9	5.66	7	4			conditions in red
Bunch length (mm)		5		4.3			
Full Crossing angle (mrad)	4	8					
Wigglers (#) 20 meters each	0	0	2	2			
Damping time (trans/long)(ms)	40/20	40/20	28/14	28/14			
Luminosity lifetime (min)	6	.7	3	.35			
Touschek lifetime (min)	20	40	38	20			
Effective beam lifetime (min)	5.0	5.7	3.1	2.9			
Injection rate pps (x10 ¹¹) (100%)	2.6	2.3	5.1	4.6	10	9.1	
Tune shift y (from formula)	0.	15	0	.20			
Tune shift x (from formula)	0.0043	0.0025	0.0059	0.0034			
RF Power (MW)	1	7		25		8.2	



Comparison of SuperB to SuperKEKB

Parameter		SuperB	Super- KEKB
Energy	GeV	4x7	3.5x8
Luminosity	10 ³⁶ /cm²/s	1.0 to 2.0	0.4 to 0.8
Beam Currents	Amps	1.9x1.9	10.0x4.0
βy*	mm	0.22	3.0
βx*	cm	3.5x2.0	20.
Crossing angle (full)	mrad	48	30
RF power (AC line)	MW	17 to 25	80 to 90
Tune shifts	(x/y)	0.0004/0.2	0.4/0.8





The SuperB Process

(M. Biagini)



- B-Factories (PEP-II and KEKB) reached very high luminosity (>10³⁴ s⁻¹ cm⁻²), but to increase L of ~ two orders of magnitude bordeline parameters are needed, such as:
 - Very high currents
 - Smaller damping time
 - Shorter bunches
 - Crab cavities for head-on collision
 - Higher power

Difficult and costly operation

- SuperB exploits an alternative approach, with a new IP scheme:
 - Small beams (ILC-DR like)
 - Large Piwinski angle and "crab waist"
 - Currents comparable to present Factories



IP beam distributions for KEKB



IP beam distributions for SuperB



SuperB beams are focused in the y-plane 100 times more than in the present factories, thanks to:

- small emittances
- small beta functions
- larger crossing angle

Tune shifts and longitudinal overlap are greatly reduced

	KEKB	SuperB
I (A)	1.7	2.
β _y * (mm)	6	0.22/0.39
β _x * (mm)	300	22/39
σ _y * (μ m)	3	0.039
σ _x * (μ m)	80	10/6
<mark>σ_z (mm)</mark>	6	5
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1.7x10 ³⁴	1.x10 ³⁶

Beams distribution at IP





Without Crab-sextupoles





All particles from both beams collide in the minimum β_y region, with a net luminosity gain

SuperB transparency condition

 To have equal tune shifts with asymmetric energies in PEP-II and KEKB the "design" beam currents ratio is:

 Due to SuperB large crossing angle, new conditions are possible: LER and HER beams can have different emittances and β* and equal currents

$$\xi^{+} = \xi^{-} \Leftrightarrow \frac{N^{+}}{N^{-}} = \frac{E^{-}}{E^{+}}$$
SuperB Present B-factories

$$\xi^{+} = \xi^{-} \Leftrightarrow \frac{\beta_{y}^{+}}{\beta_{y}^{-}} = \frac{E^{+}}{E^{-}}$$

SuperB

Beam-beam Luminosity Tune Plane Scan (crab=0.8/ θ , σ_z = 7 mm; 3x10¹⁰ particles)



2D and 3D surface luminosity plots. The red color on the contour plot corresponds to the highest luminosity while the blue is the lowest. Each contour line corresponds to a 10% luminosity reduction.

Super

D. Shatilov, M. Zobov, IV SuperB Workshop

RF power estimate

Including synchrotron radiation, HOMs and RF power with 50% klystron efficiency

Total klystron power

A. Novokhatski

Total klystron power (LER+HER)						
	MW					
"Old"	22.84	CDR parameters				
Nominal	16.96					
Upgrade	25.23	New parameters				
Ultimate	58.22					



Lattice overview

- The SuperB lattice as described in the Conceptual Design Report is the result of an international collaboration between experts from BINP, Cockcroft Institute, INFN, KEKB, LAL/Orsay, SLAC
- Simulations were performed in many labs and with different codes:

– LNF, BINP, KEK, LAL, CERN

- The design is flexible but challenging and the synergy with the ILC Damping Rings which helped in focusing key issues, will be important for addressing some of the topics
- Further studies after the CDR completion led to an evolution of the lattice to fit the Tor Vergata Site and to include polarization manipulation hardware.



Arc cells layout

Final Focus optical functions ($\sqrt{\beta}$)

M. Biagini

HER spin manipulation hardware

SuperB Interaction Region

(M. Sullivan)

Lattice layout, PEP-II magnets reuse

SuperB

All PEP-II magnets are reused. Dimensions and fields are properly sized.

Polarization

- Polarization of one beam is included in *SuperB*
 - Either energy beam could be the polarized one
 - The LER would be less expensive, the HER easier
 - HER was chosen for now.
- Longitudinal polarization times and short beam lifetimes indicate a need to inject vertically polarized electrons.
 - The plan is to use a polarized e- source similar to the SLAC SLC source.
- There are several possible IP spin rotators:
 - Solenoids look better at present (vertical bends give unwanted vertical emittance growth)
- Expected longitudinal polarization at the IP of about 87%(inj) x 97%(ring)=85%(effective)
- Polarization section implementation in lattice: in progress with initial success

Example of spin rotators

Solenoids (2.5 T) + dipoles (.21 T)

not a solution, but illustrates match to low- ε dipole cell

No V-emittance growth. Maybe possible to incorporate into lattice using the Final Focus bends to provide the spin rotation. Work in progress

Proof-of-principle scheme

HER Spin Rotator

- Solenoid + Dipole scheme (90°+90°)
 - Zholents-Litvinov decoupling & spin match
- $G \approx 0.001$, 7 GeV=> $\gamma G = 15.89$, $B\rho = 23.35$ Tm
- Solenoid:
 - $\vartheta_{spin} = (1+G)^* BL/(B\rho) \Longrightarrow 18.32 \text{ Tm for } 45^\circ \text{ spin rot.}$
 - 5 T field => 3.66 m length, 15E6 Amp turns
- Dipole
 - $\vartheta_{spin} = (1 + \gamma G)^* BL/B\rho \implies 2.3 \text{ Tm}, 5.7^\circ \text{ orbit for } 90^\circ \text{ spin}$
 - use 2 HER dipoles + 2 low-field dipoles
 - optics needed in between these to match dispersion

U. Wienands

Accelerator & site cost estimate

		EDIA	Labor	<i>M\</i> &S	Rep.Val.
WBS	Item	mm	mm	kEuro	kEuro
1	Accelerator	5429	3497	191166	126330
1.1	Project management	2112	96	1800	0
1.2	Magnet and support system	666	1199	28965	25380
1.3	Vacuum system	620	520	27600	14200
1.4	RF system	272	304	22300	60000
1.5	Interaction region	370	478	10950	0
1.6	Controls, Diagnostics, Feedback	963	648	12951	8750
1.7	Injection and transport systems	426	252	86600	18000
		EDIA	Labor	M\&S	Rep.Val.
WBS	Item	mm	mm	kEuro	kEuro
2.0	Site	1424	1660	105700	0
2.1	Site Utilities	820	1040	31700	0
2.2	Tunnel and Support Buildings	604	620	74000	0

Schedule

- Overall schedule dominated by:
 - Site construction
 - PEP-II/BaBar disassembly, transport, and reassembly
- The goal is to reach the commissioning phase after about 5 years from the start of the project.

Figure 5-1. Overall schedule for the construction of the SuperB project.

Conclusions

- The initial SuperB design meets the goals requested by the experimenters.
- IR polarization rotators have now been added to the lattice.
- Beam dynamics issues are receiving a fresh look.
- The next phase for the accelerator group is to form a team to complete the Technical Design Report.

