

Simulation of Beam-Beam Effects and Tevatron Experience

Alexander Valishev for the Tevatron group **Fermilab**

EPAC'08, June 26, 2008



Outline

- Overview of Beam-Beam Effects
 - > Injection
 - ≻ Ramp
 - > Squeeze
 - Collisions
- Analysis tools
 - > Luminosity Evolution Model
 - Beam-Beam Simulations
- Countermeasures
 - New Collision Helix
 - Second Order Chromaticity Correction
 - (New Tune Working Point)
- Results and Summary



Collider Fill Cycle



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Contributions to Luminosity Loss



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev

*

Long-Range Effects at Injection



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



- Total quenches this year 140
- Percentage
 - > Ramp: 3
 - > Squeeze: 22
 - Collisions: 13 = 38
 - ➢ No beam: 102
- Most quenches in squeeze are caused by a combination of beam-beam and orbit issues



Proton Loss in Squeeze vs. Antiproton Brightness



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



$$L = \frac{3\gamma \ f_0 \ B \ N_{\overline{p}} N_p}{\pi \beta^* (\varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_{\overline{p}})} H(\sigma_l / \beta^*) \qquad L = L_0 / (1 + t / \tau_L)$$
$$I = \int L dt \cong N_{stores} \tau_L L_0 \ln(1 + T / \tau_L)$$

- Luminosity Integral: primary factors
 - >Beta* at IP and bunchlength: H(x)/beta^*
 - > Emittances
 > Number of protons:
 > Number of antiprotons:
 BN_{pbar}
 - > Lumi-lifetime:

 τ_{1}



- Emittance blowup
- Lifetime deterioration
- Long-Range
- Head-on



Head-on Beam-Beam Parameter



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Luminosity Evolution Model

- Beam-Beam is not the single strongest effect
- A model model was built to describe evolution of the beam emittances and intensities taking into account the following factors:
 - > Scattering at IP (luminosity)
 - > Intra Beam Scattering
 - Scattering on residual gas
 - > RF noise
- Initial parameters (bunch by bunch intensity, transverse and longitudinal emittances) are provided by measurements
- Main free model parameters are:
 - > Gas pressure
 - > RF noise power
- Fast computations



Store 6200. L_0 =2.95x10³²





- Weak-strong, Gaussian strong bunch
- Macro-particle weak bunch, typically 10000 particles
- Full details of the machine optics, beam separation, and collision pattern with all 72 IPs
- Effects of random noise
- Parallel, up to 10⁸ turns
- Deliverables emittances and beam life time
 - D.Shatilov et al., TPAT084, PAC05
 - A.Valishev et al., TPAT083, PAC05



"Scallops". Simulation and Measurement





Antiproton Bunch-to-bunch Orbit





Model Cross-Check



Store 5052 L_0 =0.92x10³²



β^* Reduction (8/2005)



Effect of the Helix Size on Lifetime



	CDF upstream	CDF downstream	D0 upstream	D0 downstream
- 7/06	5.4	5.6	5.0	5.2
7/06 -	6.4	5.8	6.2	5.6

EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Store 4581, $L_0 = 1.72 \times 10^{32}$ Old Helix



18



Store 4859, L_0 =1.70x10³² New Helix



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev

Head-on Beam-Beam Tune Shifts.





Contributions to Luminosity Loss



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Store 5245, L₀=2.92x10³² New Helix







Effect of β^* Chromaticity. Simulation



*

Effect of β^* Chromaticity. Simulation



Correction of β -function Chromaticity

Reconnection of sextupoles into new families



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Second Order Chromaticity

VMS GxPB 1: Tevatron Chromaticity	Linux GxPA 1 Tevatron Chromaticity
Energy: 979.66 GeV	Energy: 980.23 GeV
ROF(_df) _dp/p e=3 = vx = vy	ROF(af) ap/p e-3 vx vy
	80.0 -0.5600 0.5818 0.5718
0.0 0.0000 0.5791 0.5761	
-70.0 0.4900 0.5833 0.5771	-80.0 0.5600 0.5978 0.5831
Chroma: 8,2874	Chroma: 14.386
2nd ord: 8.2874 -157.073 ☐ U K. 2nd ord: 10.2256 -16563.1 ¥ C K 3rd ord: 7.52954 -157.073 3713473 3rd ord: 10.4151 -16563.1 -928493	2nd ord: 14.386 1193.05
.583	.597
.581581	.594594 .
.579	.591
§ .577	j š. 588 - j š. 588 - j
F.575	585585585
° .5/3 ± .5/3 571	£.582 570
.569	.575
.567567 .	.573
.565565 -	.57 .57
$70 35 0 -35 -70 \qquad \Delta f \qquad 70 35 0 -35 -70$	

Sextupoles OFF C2 = -16500

Sextupoles ON C2 = -2700



Contributions to Luminosity Loss



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Store 5802. L_0 =2.09x10³²





Head-on Beam-Beam Tune Shifts. Store 5802



$$\epsilon_p$$
=20 π mm mrad

 ε_a =5 π mm mrad

Effect of ϵa on Proton Losses. Simulaion



Store 6200. L₀=2.95x10³² PBJ Implemented





Head-on Beam-Beam Parameter



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



Contributions to Luminosity Loss



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev

Integrated Luminosity Performance



EPAC'08 6/26/08 - A.Valishev



New Tune Working Point

- Currently operating between 4/7 and 3/5 with beam-beam ξ = 0.02
- To increase number of particles need more tune space - WP near ¹/₂ should allow 30% more!
- Requires lengthy commissioning - hence will not be implemented in RunII





Coherent Instability in HEP Store



A.Valishev et al., THPC074

R. Ryne, Advanced Computing Tools and Models for Accelerator Physics



Summary

- Beam-beam effects and orbit stability issues in squeeze impact collider reliability
- At collisions, the decrease of antiproton intensity lifetime and emittance blowup prior to 6/06 was caused by long-range effects
 - Implementation of the new collision helix with increased separations at particular LR collision points gave improvement of the luminosity lifetime
- Currently, beam-beam effects at collisions are dominated by proton losses due to head-on interactions
 - > Correction of β^* chromaticity allowed high-luminosity operation without deterioration of lumi life time (10% at present luminosities)
 - > Control of proton/antiproton emittance ratio was commissioned
 - Tune near half integer would allow 30% more luminosity but will not be implemented
- Beam-beam simulations correctly describe various effects and are used to support operational changes and improvements.



 Y.Alexahin, J.Annala, D.Bollinger, C.Gattuzo, N.Gelfand, B.Hanna, V.Kamerdzhiev, V.Lebedev, R.S.Moore, V.Nagaslaev, V.Shiltsev, D.Still, C.Y.Tan, T.Bolshakov, X.L.Zhang (FNAL), D.Shatilov (BINP), V.Sajaev (ANL)