



## SUPERCONDUCTING RF CAVITIES FOR SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCES

## S-C ACCELERATING SYSTEMS CESR 500 MHZ CRYOMODULE → CLS, TLS, DIAMOND SOLEIL 350 MHZ CRYOMODULE (design, performance, transfer of technology, status)

**S-C HARMONIC SYSTEM FOR BUNCH LENGTHENING** SUPER-3HC in SLS and ELETTRA; BESSY II and PLS projects

**P. Marchand, Synchrotron SOLEIL** 





<u>Requirement</u> : rather low RF voltage and high RF power

Achievable with a few n-c cavities and relatively small dissipation (typically P<sub>diss</sub> < 1/3 P<sub>tot</sub>)

<u>Major issue</u> : HOM impedances that can drive coupled bunch instabilities (the lower the energy, the more critical the effect)

**Possible cures** :

- $\succ$  HOM frequency control  $\rightarrow$  avoid resonance excitation
- ➤ HOM couplers → de-Qing of HOM impedances
- $\succ$  Active feeback systems  $\rightarrow$  oscillation damping
- Generation of additional damping from « Landau cavities » or/and proper filling pattern

Until recently, all s.l.s were run with n-c cavities and could cope with the HOM (up to a few 100 mA) using one or combining several of the above cures



Another attractive alternative : « HOM free s-c cavities »

 $\bigcirc$  Very low dissipation  $\implies$  one can afford larger beam tube diameters HOM propagation and damping in the beam tubes out of the cavity cell

*Two techniques of damping the HOM in the beam tubes :* 

- > using HOM absorber material, located directly in the UHV at room temperature (CESR \* / KEK designs)
- > using coaxial couplers that extract and transfer the HOM power towards external loads (SOLEIL \* / LHC designs)
- \* Used in s.l.s

#### **Other features of s-c cavities**

○ Higher achievable E<sub>acc</sub> ⇒ fewer cavity cells (provided that the input coupler can handle the extra power)
 ▲ Could affect the reliability, especially when reducing to a single plant Most s.l.s using n-c cavities can still operate (~ full perf.) when 1 cav. out of use

 $\bigcirc$  High beam loading  $\Longrightarrow$  zero Robinson stability margin  $\Longrightarrow$  need for RF feed-back

# **EPAC04** P. Marchand

## **CESR Cryomodule (500 MHz)**





By courtesy of S. Belomestnykh, Cornell

## Naked cavities prior to cold RF test





By courtesy of H. Vogel, ACCEL

EPAC04

P. Marchand

#### **EPAC04** P. Marchand

## **CESR ferrite absorber**





By courtesy of S. Belomestnykh, Cornell

#### **EPAC04** P. Marchand

## **CESR type cryomodule**





By courtesy of Mark de Jong, CLS





In 2000, CORNELL and ACCEL concluded a technology transfer agreement for the production of 2 CESR type cryomodules → available for other users

Availibility of a « turn key » product in the industry + successfull operation in CESR
 Several new s.l.s decide to adopt this technology → 9 modules ordered at ACCEL
 (2 for CESR, 2 for TLS, 2 for CLS, 3 for Diamond)

	Nb of cells	Energy [GeV]	I <sub>beam</sub> [A]	E <sub>acc</sub> [MV/m]	Power [kW]	Status
CESR	4	5.3	0.75	6.7	350	2 c-m are in operation in CESR
TLS	1*	1.5	0.45	5.3	80	<ul> <li>c-m-1 under repair (window break- down during power test)</li> <li>c-m-2 ready for power test at NSRRC</li> <li>commissioning in TLS in Dec. 04</li> </ul>
CLS	1*	2.9	0.28	8.0	250	<ul> <li>c-m-1 in operation since Oct. 03 for CLS commissioning @ 2MV, 100mA</li> <li>c-m-2 under fabrication</li> </ul>
Diamond	2*	3.0	0.30	6.7	280	under fabrication

For all cryomodules,  $Qo \approx 1.10^9$  (a) 8 MV/m

\* + another one available as spare part or for further upgrade

**SOLEIL cryomodule design (352MHz)** 

EPAC04

P. Marchand





200 kW / coupler → need for 2 cryomodules for P<sub>RF</sub> = 600 kW with all IDs @ 2.75 GeV & 500 mA **EPAC04** P. Marchand

## **3D view of the SOLEIL cryomodule**









In 1998, decision to develop a 350 MHz cryomodule for SOLEIL Prototype fabrication → CERN/CEA collaboration

In 2002, tests of the « prototype » in the ESRF storage ring

V<sub>acc</sub> > 3 MV 190 kW per coupler

(limited by overheating of HOM couplers)

This level of performance should allow to store up to 400 mA with a lifetime of about 30 hours in phase 1 (reduced number of IDs)

For the SOLEIL commissioning in May 2005, use of the prototype (after « refurbishment »)

**Fabrication of a second cryomodule (installation in 2006)** 



#### Cryomodule N°1 (modified prototype)

- Collaboration agreements with CERN & CEA for the "refurbishment" tasks (replacement of the T-type HOM couplers, insertion of a LN2-cooled thermal shield, lengthening of the power coupler antennas)
- ➤ Cryomodule was de-mounted at CERN → cavity rinsing and RF tests in vertical cryostat
- ➢ Modified HOM couplers, thermal shield, .... under fabrication
   → all components available at CERN for assembling, in Sept. 2004
- **Cryomodule re-assembling, cryogenic and RF power tests until end of 2004**
- Installation in SOLEIL early 2005

*Cryomodule N*°2 → Replica of N°1; call for tender process for a "turn key supply"

Cryogenic system → A single plant for the 2 cryomodules, based on a Helial 2000 from Air Liquide (401/h of LHe + 350 W at 4.5 K); under fabrication

*RF power plants* → Each of the 4 cavities powered with a 190 kW solid state amplifier; under fabrication

Start of SOLEIL SR commissioning with 1 cryomodule in May 2005; 2nd one in 2006

#### **EPAC04** P. Marchand

**SOLEIL cryomodule in** the ESRF storage ring







## At CERN, the cryomodule waiting for access into the clean room







# Inside the CERN clean room Input power coupler removal







What the electron beam will see when entering into the cavity







## **T - type HOM coupler**







## Back outside the clean room for removing the cavity string





## **Cavity string out of the cryostat**

EPAC04

P. Marchand





## Storage ring 190 kW RF amplifier (« virtual »)







**EPAC04** P. Marchand

Booster amplifier (« actual »)

147 modules of 330  ${\rm W}$ 

On March 5th, 2004 35 kW in dummy load

Long run test (500 h) at 30 kW without problem (max required : 20 kW)

Global efficiency ~ 50 % (circulators & power supplies included)





Electron beam lifetime and stability in s.l.s



➡ High brightness ⇒ small tranverse dimensions (emittance)

High charge density > short lifetime (Touschek scattering)

<u>*Cure*</u> : decrease charge density in lengthening the bunches using a harmonic RF system

#### Several beneficial effects

- Lifetime increase
- Additional Laundau damping (from RF non-linearity) 🖙 beam stabilisation
- Bunch shortening option available, if desired for particular application

# **EPAC04** P. Marchand

**Bunch lengthening with a harmonic RF system** 









<u>N-c systems</u>

SUPER-ACO, BESSY II, MAX II, ALS Efficient for beam stabilisation → « Landau cavities » but relatively poor results in term of lifetime increase due to transient beam loading effects (gap of empty buckets in the bunch train for ion-clearing)

#### <u>S-c systems</u>

SLS, ELETTRA (S3HC in operation), BESSY II and PLS (projects)

S-c systems (very high  $Q_o$ ) present significant advantages as compared to n-c :

- Ideal for passive operation :  $\delta f >> f / Q_o \rightarrow V_{ind} = R/Q f_r I_b / \delta f$ , phase independant
- Fewer cavities with lower R/Q  $\rightarrow$  lower transient beam loading effects (~ factor 10)
- No extra power to be supplied by the main RF system (2 systems are decoupled)
- Wider Robinson stability range (towards lower I<sub>b</sub>)
- Easier to make it « invisible for the beam » by detuning



#### **SUPER-3HC design**



<u>SUPER-3HC</u>: collaboration agreement between CEA-Saclay, ST, PSI and CERN (1999) for the design and production of 2 complete cryomodules, 1 for SLS and 1 for ELETTRA, « 1.5 GHz scaling » of the SOLEIL design



Assembling of the S3HC module at CEA-Saclay

Cavity manufacturing and tests in vertical cryostat at CERN Assembling, final cryo and RF tests at CEA Saclay

Changes from initial SOLEIL design :

- ✓ 4 T-type HOM couplers
- ✓ conduction-cooled HOM couplers (no He circulation)
- ✓ No input power coupler (operation in passive mode)
- ✓ GHe cooled thermal shield



## **SLS S3HC cryogenic plant**







Cryomodule component heat load estimates

EPAC04 P Marchand



S3HC (1.5 GHz) complements the existing 500 MHz n-c sytems (4 cavities) « *Warm and detuned* » regime with GHe cooling → Temperature run away effect Robinson instability<br/>(fund. of S3HC)Above 200 mA in SLS at 2.4 GeV<br/>Above 150 mA in ELETTRA at 2 GeV

Cold (4.5 K) typical operation conditions of S3HC in SLS and ELETTRA

	V <sub>1h</sub>	V <sub>3h</sub>	I <sub>beam</sub>	δf	K <sub>τ</sub>	Gap	δΦ	δσ
SLS	2.1 MV	<b>0.7 MV</b>	0.32 A	60 kHz	2.2	20%	<b>38</b> °	24 – 66 ps
ELETTRA	1.8 MV	<b>0.6 MV</b>	0.32 A	70 kHz	3.	10%	<b>30°</b>	32 – 76 ps

In addition to the lifetime increase ( $K_{\tau} \approx 3$  in ELETTRA and 2.2 in SLS), complete suppression of the longitudinal multibunch instabilities (up to 320 mA @ 2 GeV in ELETTRA and 400 mA @ 2.4 GeV in SLS)

**Transient beam loading**: phase and bunch length modulation along the bunch train,  $\delta\Phi$  and  $\delta\sigma$  do not degrade too much  $K_{_{\!T}}$  and contribute to Landau damping.

- **Operation** : very flexible and easy in operation
  - cryogenic plant failure in both SLS and ELETTRA « *Teething*

+ mechanical pb with the tuner in ELETTRA

## SUPER-3HC cryomodules in SLS and ELETTRA storage rings

EPAC04

P. Marchand

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

Projects of s-c third harmonic system

#### **BESSY II**

With existing 4 n-c 1.5 GHz (3 x f<sub>r</sub>) cavities → only 20 - 30 % gain in lifetime
 *Replacement of the 4 n-c cavities with a single s-c cavity :*

- 1.5 GHz scaling of the CESR cavity, provided by Cornell
- Cryostat manufacturing and cavity integration by ACCEL

## **POHANG LIGHT SOURCE (PLS)**

E-S. Kim et al, WEPLT117, this conference

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

Recently the s-c RF technology came into the world of the 3rd generation s.l.s, either as main accelerating systems or as bunch lengthening harmonic systems.

N.c cavities, with appropriate cures against the HOM excitation, are well suited for the first purpose and are satisfactorily running at many s.l.s. HOM free s-c cavities are regarded as an attractive alternative, provided that they prove to be as reliable in operation as the n-c version. Presently there exist two cryomodule designs, used (or planned) in s.l.s, the SOLEIL type and the CESR type  $\rightarrow$  CLS, TLS, DIAMOND.

The advantages of using s-c systems for the bunch lengthening purpose are more evident. Their efficiency in improving the beam lifetime and stability has been fully demonstrated in SLS and ELETTRA. Although the reliability is also important for this application, it is less critical since, in case of cryogenic system failure, the operation remains possible, at reduced performance, with the harmonic system in "warm and detuned" state.

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks to S. Belomestnykh (Cornell), M. de Jong (CLS), M. Pedrozzi (PSI), M. Svandrlik (Sincrotrone Trieste), H. Vogel (ACCEL), Ch. Wang (SRRC) who kindly provided updated information about the CESR, CLS, SLS, ELETTRA and TLS RF systems.

#### At this conference

M. Pekeler et al, MOPLT041 G. Penko et al, TUPKF021 E-S. Kim et al, WEPLT117 L. Dallin, THPKF007 P. Bosland et al, THPKF028 J-M. Filhol et al, THPKF030 P. Marchand et al, THPKF031 C-C. Kuo et al, THPKF045