# THE NEW AXIAL BUNCHER AT INFN-LNS

Antonio Caruso INFN-LNS







# Talking points

- Main reasons for a new axial buncher
- Buncher study
- Design: mechanical, electrical, numerical simulations
- LLRF system of the buncher
- Test and measurements
- Conclusions
- References
- Discussion

# New axial buncher, main reasons.



INFN-LNS K-800 SUPERCONDUCTING CYCLOTRON

Present axial buncher is installed along the vertical beam line inside the yoke of the cyclotron at about half meter from the median plane.

Maintenance and technical inspection are very difficult to carry out in this situation.

# New axial buncher, main reasons.

Maintenance and technical inspection should be much easier

Present Buncher Position **INTERNAL 1**~0,5 m -3m Axial beam line New Axial **Buncher** Position INFN-LNS K-800 **EXTERNAL** SUPERCONDUCTING CYCLO

Present axial buncher is installed along the Vertical beam line inside the yoke of the cyclotron at about half meter from the median plane.

> Maintenance and technical inspection are rery difficult to carry out n this situation.







Same position today... removing the present buncher in case of failures, maintenance can be problematic

~0,5 m 🕯 ~<mark>3 m</mark> NEW AXIAL BUNCHER POSITION 3"1/8 RIGID COAX LINE Present buncher V A C U U Covering flange Μ А Axial Ι Beam R line 000 3" 1/8 coax line, water cooling Axial pipe inside the inner coax, in beam line 2000. Same position today...

6

R

F

C

A V

7

# THE AXIAL BUNCHER STUDY

the buncher consists of a drift tube driven by a sinusoidal RF signal in the range of 15-50 MHz, a matching box, an amplifier, and an electronic control system.

# Basic two gap buncher structure



$L_{g1}$	and	$L_{g2}$
----------	-----	----------

are the two gaps of 5 mm length

The distance between the Buncher and the inflector at the cyclotron central region (time focus) is 3011 mm, and it is imposed by mechanical constraints

#### 9

### Ion source beam table

0	1	2	3	4	
l "lon"	"MeV/n"	"Vb(Volt)"			
"4 He 1+"	25	80.369			
"4 He 2+"	62	20.22	39.312	86.762	
"4 He 2+"	80	105.709			
"9 Be 3+"	45	22.21	33.742	95.018	
"12 C 3+"	23	66.123			
"13 C 4+	We no	אור	42	102.74	
"27AI 9+	illustro	to the	71	84.484	
"40Ar9+	iiiuSiia	64.61			
"48Ca9+	typical	39.529			
"48Ca15+	α parti	100.966			
"58Ni 21+"	50	22.52	35.457	96.22	
"84Kr 17+"	20	16.81	22.9	71.831	
12Sn 31+"	43	25.65	33.08	109.611	
16Sn 21+"	17	68.5			
29Xe 31+"	35	24.03	29.835	102.685	
97 Au 31+"	15	16.62	20.071	71.047	
197 Au 36"	23	21.17	24.41	90.5	

 $v_{z0} = \sqrt{2q_i V_s/m_i}$ particle velocity z=0charge mass Voltage source output electrode

(with *f* cyclotron frequency) is the path of the particles in one period and, because of the fixed geometry of the cyclotron central region, has to be constant

$$\beta \lambda = v_{z0}/f$$

### $L_{d1}$ = 83.5 mm drift tube length

This length is chosen so that  $L_{d1}+L_{g1}$  is an odd integer multiple of the  $(N+1/2)\beta\lambda/2$  in our case with N=2 and  $\beta\lambda$ =35,4 mm



# $\alpha$ Charged particle case

0	1	2	3	
"lon"	"MeV/n"	"Vo(kV)"	Fh2(MHz)"	
"4 He 1+"	25	17	25.576	
"4 He 2+"	62	20.22	39.312	
"4 He 2+"	80	24.72	43.617	



- $L_{g1} = L_{g2} = 5 \text{ mm}$
- $L_{d1} = 83.5 \text{ mm}$
- $L_{d2} = 3011 \text{ mm} L_{g2} (L_{d1}/2) = 2964.25 \text{ mm}$
- $v_{z0} = \sqrt{\frac{2 q_i V_s}{m_i}}$  particle velocity when it arrives at the z = 0 position, due to the ion source voltage
- Ion specie considered =  ${}^{4}\text{He}^{2+}$
- Ion source voltage  $V_s = 24.72 \text{ kV}$
- $V_B = V_{MB} \sin(\omega t + \varphi_0)$
- $V_{MB} = 70.1174/0.95 \text{ V}$
- $\omega = 2\pi \ 43.617 \cdot 10^6 \ rad/s$

• 
$$\beta_0 \lambda_0 = \frac{v_{z0}}{f} = 35.28 \text{ mm}$$

• Cyclotron acceptance interval phase = 35°

In this case the calculated particle trajectories are shown in the Applegate diagrams, referred to one period

0

 $L_{g1}$ 

ION SOURCE

 $L_{d1}$ 

 $V_{b}$ 

 $L_{g2}$ 

 $\overline{}$ 

z

BEAM LINE

 $\Pi$ 

L<sub>d2</sub>



Enlarged view of the Applegate diagram. There is the indication of the z-position of the Cyclotron entrance.

In the graph the plot of  $t_{d2}$  versus  $t_0/T$  is shown. The  $V_{MB}$  voltage has been applied to optimize the particle transmission within the cyclotron acceptance time, referred to the 35° phase. This is clearly shown, where the curve is tangent to the dotted boundaries.



Arrival time of each particle at the Cyclotron entrance, with respect to the  $t_0$  time when each particle arrives at the z = 0 position. T = 1/f.



Under these conditions the particle transmission to the cyclotron is TR = 57.6%, and the energy spread is  $\Delta E/E = 1.15\%$ .

1987

12

0.5

0.3

1/f.

04

### Mechanical design (drift tube mostly)



13

**EXTERNAL** 

### Mechanical design (drift tube mostly)



### Mechanical details









# **Copper deposition**

Drift tube copper made. Galvanic copper deposition on the

ground electrodes and beam line

All surfaces are in copper (except flanges). Consequently Q-factor increases, prevents/minimizes any copper surfaces facing a steel surface under high vacuum



### Soldering technique

A soft soldering has been adopted to connect the feed-through to the drift tube, copper-copper (b).



T.I.G. welding has been adopted to weld the flange at ground (steelsteel) and to connect the ground electrodes to the beam line (a, c).



# **Electrical design**



From the electrical point of view the **drift tube** can be seen as a **capacitance**.





An LCR meter confirms the simulated capacitance value of about 27pF and, with a vector network analyser, the selfresonance of 352,75 MHz has been measured through an N-adaptor.



From a fixed frequency system of 352.75 MHz to the cyclotron frequency bandwidth of 15-50 MHz

We need a sort of **transformer network** to match this "drift tube capacitance" in terms of impedance (standard 50  $\Omega$ ) and total bandwidth (15-50 MHz)

### Impedance transformer from Z<sub>0</sub> to buncher impedance Z<sub>b</sub>



2 bandwidths to cover all the frequency range between 15 – 50 MHz





Lower Bandwidth: 13.3-25 MHz  $L_1 = L_2 = 4.2 \ \mu H \ (T_1 - T_2 \ open)$ Higher Bandwidth: 25-51 MHz  $L_1 = L_2 = 1.3 \ \mu H \ (T_1 - T_2 \ closed)$  $C_1 = C_2 \ variable \ capacitors \ between 5 - 500 \ pF$ 

21

# direct connection between drift-tube and matching box.





This particular design prevents any connection through coaxial transmission line. It reduces the entire geometry, the connection losses, the total RF power and the maintenance.

potential (a). 3D electric field distribution (b).



# Numerical simulations

	G2 (	Mutual M		de 1 Mode 2		Mode 3		Mode 4		
G1		Capacita nce	F	А	F	А	F	А	F	Α
0.27	0.35	30.562 pF	319.3	3.034e-8	633.9	2.233e-8	869.4	3.170e-8	958.2	9.336e-8
1	0.35	29.205 pF	335.9	4.724e-8	634.8	2.348e-8	869.5	3.079e-8	958.4	1.105e-8
1	0.5	<mark>28.348 pF</mark>	<mark>352.5</mark>	5.317e-8	636.0	2.416e-8	869.5	3.031e-8	958.5	1.028e-8
1	0.8	27.778 pF	400.5	8.240e-8	640.5	4.418e-8	869.5	3.268e-8	958.9	8.600e-8
1	1.03	26.990 pF	409.0	8.407e-8	641.5	3.904e-8	869.5	2.729e-8	959.0	8.333e-8





# LOW LEVEL RF

### MATCHING BOX CONTROL PANEL

LLRF CONTROL PANEL SET FREQUENCY, AMPLITUDE, PHASE BY THE DDS TECHNIQUE



PROTECT THE SYSTEM (MULTIPACT, REFLECTED WAVE) TURN ON/OFF THE SYSTEM (AUTO-MANUAL MODE)

## Test and measurements



### **BLOCK DIAGRAM**



VACUUM LEVEL



**Q-FACTOR AND VOLTAGES** 

### Test and measurements





Useful mechanical/electrical tool design to measure the drift tube voltage from outside the matching box



26

# Conclusion

Axial buncher in brief

- Frequency range 15 -50 MHz
- Voltage on the drift tube 64 -110 V
- Gain calculated about 6
- Energy spread 1,15%
- Particles transmission to the cyclotron is 57.6 %





All RF tests and measurement have been achieved at full power on the test bench. The cyclotron long maintenance programme has delayed the final test on the axial beam line of the new buncher. We believe we can produce a first test on the beam at the beginning of 2014.

# Thank you for your kindly attention

References:

- D. Rifuggiato et al., "Variety of beam production at the INFN LNS Superconducting Cyclotron", M0PPT011, these proceedings.
- L. Calabretta et al, The Radiofrequency Pulsing System at INFN-LNS, USA, CYCLOTRONS 2001, p. 297.
- C. Goldstein and A. Laisne, NIM 61, 221, 1968.
- [W.J.G.M. Kleeven et al, NIM B 64, 367, 1992.
- S. Gammino et al, Review of scientific instruments volume 70, nr. 9 September 1999.
- A. Caruso et al, MOPCP057 Proceedings of CYCLOTRONS 2010, Lanzhou, China, p. 159.

A special thanks goes to:

- G. Gallo, A. Longhitano INFN-LNS, Catania, Italy
- J. Sura, Warsaw University, Warsaw, Poland
- F. Consoli, Associazione Euratom-ENEA sulla Fusione, Frascati, Italy
- Li Pengzhan, China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China