

# Systematic Measurements with Electron Cooled Bunched Heavy Ion Beams

#### Regina Heß, C. Dimopoulou, M. Steck GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH

COOL Workshop 2017 Bonn 18. – 22.09.2017

# **Motivation & Methods**

- **Simultaneous** non-destructive monitoring of **full 6D phase space** of stored ion beam (bunched or coasting) during electron cooling
- Bunch length measurement with better time resolution with new fast current transformer (FCT).
- Parallel measurement of the transverse emittances using ionization profile monitors (IPM).
- Control of beam intensity via DC current transformer.
- Optimize cooling force before each measurement series: electron steerers tuned to minimize x,y sizes/emittances of ions; cooler voltage finely tuned for minimum bunch length in the RF bucket.
- Systematic data for several ion species, different beam energies, as a function of (bunched) beam intensity and electron cooling current.

#### The GSI accelerator complex



## **ESR Storage Ring**



### Non-destructive diagnostics: transverse

#### **Ionization Profile Monitor (IPM)**



Profile of transverse distribution from ionization of residual gas



# transverse cooling of the beam after injection into ESR

# Non-destructive diagnostics: transverse



# Non-destructive diagnostics: longitudinal

#### Fast Current Transformer (FCT) Determination of momentum spread (for bunched beam)





good time resolution (from measurement): down to 7ns (better than with typical beam position monitor in sum mode) for shorter bunch lengths time resolution starts to be influenced by intrinsic resolution



$$\frac{\sigma_t}{T_{rev}} = \sqrt{\frac{\beta_0^2 \eta E_{0 tot}}{2\pi Q h V}} \frac{\sigma_p}{p}$$

example:  $C^{6+}$  @ 122 MeV/u bunched (RF = 300V) and electron cooled (I<sub>e</sub> = 250 mA)

# Non-destructive diagnostics: longitudinal

Schottky noise longitudinal diagnostics Determination of momentum spread (here for coasting beam)  $\boldsymbol{n}$ frequency pick up analysis signal amplification ion frequency spectrum Ref.Lev -75.00 dBm dP / df 100 % ~1/f 90 % 80 % 70 % 60 % 50 % 40 % h f₀ (h+2) f<sub>0</sub> (h+1) f<sub>0</sub> 30 % 20 %  $P \sim N Q^2 f_0^2$ 10 % 0 9

momentum compaction factor  $\eta$ 



U<sup>92+</sup> at 300 MeV/u before and after electron cooling (I = 0.25 A)



### **Results** I

- 6D phase space interplay between electron cooling and intrabeam scattering
   Previously: coasting beams →
  - Now: also for bunched beams

#### Electron Cooled Beams in Equilibrium with Intrabeam Scattering (IBS)



## Equilibria Electron cooling $\leftarrow \rightarrow I$

**Coasting beams** 

Steck et al. Ref. [1-3] 1990-today



Due to IBS: total phase space volume increases with ion beam intensity & charge

equilibria Electron cooling  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  IBS:

#### Comparison C, Xe, U @ 75 MeV/u



equilibria Electron cooling  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  IBS:

### Equilibria scaling with ion intensity

 $d_{\text{of}}$   $d_{\text{o}}$   $d_{\text{o$ 

Example: U<sup>89+</sup>75 MeV/u

lower intensity region: coasting beam higher intensity region: bunched beam



#### **Results Carbon all Energies**



# 30 MeV/u?

#### C<sup>6+</sup> 30 MeV/u coasting beam



It seems to be a detection effect that leeds to the unexpected behavior of the beam in the horizontal IPM.

equilibria Electron cooling  $\leftarrow \rightarrow$  IBS:

#### **Cooler Current Dependence**



Cooler voltage has been adjusted to the electron cooling current (space charge compensation  $\frac{30 Ie}{\beta} (1 + 2ln \frac{b}{a})$ )

#### **Results II**

 Simultaneous information on cooling force in all 3 planes: beam evolution of the ion beam after injection with electron cooling

# **Evolution of the cooling process**



















e+u5 -2e+u5 -1e+u5 -5e+u4 ue+u0 5e+u4 le+05 2e+u5 2e+0 Delta f [Hz] @ 2.47e+08 [Hz] (resolution = 7.63e+02 [Hz])

# Profiles/Spectrum: injected beam; cooled beam



mu = 4.71e+04. sig = 1.71e+03. area = 3.46e-05

### Cooling times in all 3 planes



### **Summary & Outlook**

• Results: FCT worked well, resolution < 10 ns.

Measured bunch lengths (t-domain) seem optimistic: (detector electronics, analysis of ringing...); why factor 4 lower that with Schottky (f-domain)?

- IPMs provide detailed profiles => deduce beam position and shape
- Scaling laws for dependencies on (bunched) ion beam intensity, electron cooling current and cooling time verified in all 3 planes simultaneously.
- Further analysis & interpretation underway (more data)
- Benchmarking with BETACOOL simulations



### Thank you for your attention!

[1] M. Steck et al. NIM A 532 (2004) 357
[2] M. Steck et al. Proceedings of the Workshop on Beam Cooling and related topics, Montreux, Switzerland 1993, CERN Yellow Report 94-03 p. 395.
[3] M. Steck et al. Proceedings of EPAC 04, Lucerne, Switzerland p. 1966