

Managed by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science

# Optical Stochastic Cooling at IOTA ring Valeri Lebedev and Alex Romanov

Fermilab

COOL-15 Workshop Sep. 28 - Oct. 2, 2015 Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility Newport News, VA, USA

# <u>Contents</u>

- Basics of Optical Stochastic Cooling
- Optical Stochastic Cooling at IOTA ring
  - Beam optics
  - Light optics
- Conclusions

# **Principles of Optical Stochastic Cooling**

- OSC suggested by Zolotorev, Zholents and Mikhailichenko (1994)
- OSC obeys the same principles as the microwave stochastic cooling, but exploits the superior bandwidth of OA ~10<sup>13</sup>-10<sup>14</sup> Hz



- At optimum the cooling rate of stochastic cooling for continuous beam can be estimated as:  $\lambda_{\max} f_0 \approx \frac{W}{N}$ Or dimensionless damping rate:  $\lambda_{\max} \approx 1/N_{sample}$ 
  - Potential gain in damping rates: 10<sup>3</sup>÷10<sup>4</sup>
- Pickup and kicker must operate at the optical frequencies (same as an optical amplifier)
  - Undulators suggested for pickups & kickers
- Slow particles do not radiate at optical frequencies
  - OSC can operate only with ultra-relativistic particles

Light

# <u>Optimal Gain in OSC</u>

- Three types of stochastic cooling (microwave)
  - Transverse (differential signal is difference of signals of two sides of a pickup)
  - Longitudinal Palmer cooling (same as above )
  - Longitudinal filter cooling (signal is difference of signals from two different turns)
- Suppression of diffusion in all 3 cases zero signal for zero amplitude
- Longitudinal transient time cooling (ToF)
  - Also tested experimentally
  - No subtractions => more diffusion
  - Only method to be used at optical frequencies
- Optimal gain & max. cooling rate for ToF cooling
  - single particle cooling (∞G)
     versus multi-particle diffusion (∞G<sup>2</sup>)

$$\lambda_{\max} f_0 \approx \frac{W}{N} \xrightarrow{Bunched beam \ cooling}{rms \ size \ accounting}} \lambda_{\max} f_0 \approx \frac{2\pi^{5/2}}{n_{\sigma}^2}$$

Bandwidth, for Gaussian band:  $W = 2\sqrt{\pi}\sigma_f \left(G(\omega) = G_0 \exp\left(-\omega^2/2(2\pi\sigma_f)^2\right)\right)$ 





$$n_{\sigma} = \frac{\left(\Delta p / p\right)_{\max}}{\sigma_{p}}, \quad W = f_{0}\left(n_{\max} - n_{\min}\right),$$

4

# Gain Duration in OSC

- Optimal gain does not depend on the active time of the amplifier
  - Reduction of average cooling for the gain length shorter than the bunch length

$$\lambda_{opt} = \frac{2\pi^{5/2}}{n_{\sigma}^2} \frac{\sigma_s}{C} \frac{W}{N} \frac{\sigma_g}{2\sigma_s}, \quad \sigma_g \ll \sigma_s$$

That basically excludes optical parametric amplifiers and FELs as candidates for OA of heavy particles



# **Basics of OSC – Radiation from Undulator**





- Radiation of ultra-relativistic particle is concentrated in  $1/\gamma$  angle
- Undulator parameter:  $K \equiv \gamma \theta_e = \frac{\lambda_{wgl}}{2\pi} \frac{eB_0}{mc^2}$

 $\vec{\theta}_{e}$ 

For K ≥ 1 the radiation is mainly radiated into higher harmonics
 Radiation wave length

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_{wgl}}{2\gamma^2} \begin{cases} \left(1 + \gamma^2 \left(\theta_e^2 + \theta^2\right)\right) & -helical undulato \\ \left(1 + \gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\theta_e^2 + \theta^2\right)\right) - flat undulator \end{cases}$$



Only 1<sup>st</sup> harmonic radiation interacts in the kicker undul. resonantly

# **Basics of OSC – Cooling Rates: Linear & Nonlinear**

Partial slip factor: describes a long. particle displacement on the way from pickup to kicker with  $\Delta p/p \neq 0$  & no betatron motion  $\Delta s = \tilde{M}_{56} (\Delta p / p) \iff \tilde{M}_{56} = M_{51}D_1 + M_{52}D'_1 + M_{56}$ 

Kick strength:  $\delta p / p = -\xi_0 \sin(k \Delta s) \Rightarrow \delta p / p = -\xi_0 k \Delta s$ 

Cooling rates:

$$\lambda_x = \frac{k\xi_0}{2} \left( M_{56} - \tilde{M}_{56} \right)$$
$$\lambda_s = \frac{k\xi_0}{2} \tilde{M}_{56}$$

$$\lambda_{x} + \lambda_{s} = \frac{k\xi_{0}}{2}M_{56}$$

$$-\frac{1}{0} + \frac{\delta p}{p} + \frac{1}{0.5}$$

$$-\frac{1}{0} + \frac{1}{1.571} + \frac{1}{3.142} + \frac{1}{4.7}$$

#### But cooling force depends on $\Delta s$ nonlinearly

• Averaging over bet. & synchr. motions:  $k\Delta s = a_x \sin(\psi_x - \psi_0) + a_p \sin(\psi_p)$ 

$$\lambda_{s,x}(a_x, a_p) = F_{s,x}(a_x, a_p)\lambda_{s,x}$$

$$F_x(a_x, a_p) = \frac{2}{a_x}J_0(a_p)J_1(a_x)$$

$$F_p(a_x, a_p) = \frac{2}{a_p}J_0(a_x)J_1(a_p)$$

Cooling  
boundaries:  
$$a_x, a_p < \mu_{01}$$
,  
 $\mu_{01} \approx 2.405$ 

# **Basics of OSC – Cooling Ranges**

Longitudinal displacement (sample lengthening) in pickup is

$$a_p = k \tilde{M}_{56} \left( \Delta p / p \right)$$

In the linear approximation the cooling rates do not depend on beta-functions in OSC straight



However for the horizontal betatron motion the sample lengthening on the way from pickup to kicker depends on βfunction

$$a_{x} = k \sqrt{\varepsilon \left(\beta_{p} M_{51}^{2} - 2\alpha_{p} M_{51} M_{52} + \gamma_{p} M_{52}^{2}\right)}, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon = \beta_{p} \theta^{2} - 2\alpha_{p} x \theta + \gamma_{p} x^{2}$$

where  $\beta_p$ ,  $\alpha_p$  are the  $\beta$ - and  $\alpha$ -functions in the pickup

- Cooling requires the both lengthening amplitudes ( $a_x$  and  $a_p$ ) to be smaller than  $\mu_{01} \approx 2.405$ 
  - Sample lengthening is described by the same above equations





- Cooling chicane delays the beam by the same amount as optical amplifier + optical system
- Four rectangular dipoles
  - if no horizontal focusing =>  $M_{56} = \tilde{M}_{56}$  => no horizontal cooling
- Quad in the center makes  $M_{56}$  and  $\tilde{M}_{56}$  different
  - ⇒ horizontal cooling
  - Extra two quads make a triplet: more opportunities for future
- Two sextupoles at each side to correct non-linear sample lengthening
- Optical amplifier is actually located inside dipoles and quadrupole

# **Test of OSC in Fermilab**

- Fermilab is constructing a dual purpose ring called IOTA to test:
  - Integrable optics
    - 150 MeV electrons
    - 2.5 MeV protons (pc≈70 MeV/c)



# **OSC Chicane Optics Optimization**

#### Dispersion in the chicane center

- In the first approximation the orbit offset in the chicane (h), the path lengthening (Δs), the defocusing strength of Qd (Φ) and dispersion in the chicane center (D<sup>\*</sup>) determine the entire cooling dynamics
- $\Delta s$  is set by delay in the amplifier =>  $M_{56}$ ( $\Delta s$  = 3 mm is chosen, includes delay in lenses)
- Choose  $(dD/ds)^* = 0$  =>  $D|_{s=\pm L_t} \approx D^*$
- $\Phi D^* h$  determines the ratio of decrements
  - Choose:  $\lambda_x \approx 2\lambda_s \Rightarrow \Phi D^* h \approx 4\Delta s / 3$
- For the wave length of  $\lambda$ =2.2 µm and momentum spread of  $\sigma_p$ =1.1·10<sup>-4</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  Cooling acceptance for longitudinal degree of freedom ( $n_{\sigma p}$ =3.7)
- Thus  $\Phi D^*h$  determines the ratio of cooling rates and cooling acceptance in momentum

This is the first limitation which sets the wave length to be  $\geq$  2  $\mu\text{m}$ 

$$\begin{split} M_{56} &\approx 2\Delta s ,\\ \tilde{M}_{56} &\approx 2\Delta s - \Phi D^* h ,\\ \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_s} &= \frac{\tilde{M}_{56}}{M_{56} - \tilde{M}_{56}} \approx \frac{\Phi D^* h}{2\Delta s - \Phi D^* h} ,\\ k\sigma_p \left(\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)_{\max} \tilde{M}_{56} < \mu_{01} \\ & \xrightarrow{n_{\sigma p} \sigma_p = \left(\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)_{\max}} \rightarrow \\ n_{\sigma p} &\approx \frac{\mu_{01}}{\left(2\Delta s - \Phi D^* h\right) k\sigma_p} , \end{split}$$

# **OSC Chicane and Limitations on IOTA Optics (2)**

#### Beta-function in the chicane center

- Behavior of the horizontal β-function determines the cooling range for horizontal degree of freedom
  - At optimum  $\alpha^* = 0$
  - ⇒ Cooling acceptance:

$$\varepsilon_{\max} = \frac{\mu_{01}^{2}}{k^{2} \left(\beta_{p} M_{51}^{2} - 2\alpha_{p} M_{51} M_{52} + \gamma_{p} M_{52}^{2}\right)} \xrightarrow{\beta_{p} \approx \frac{L_{t}^{2}}{\beta^{*}}} \approx \frac{\mu_{01}^{2}}{k^{2} \Phi^{2} h^{2} \beta^{*}}$$

For known rms emittance,  $\varepsilon$ , we can rewrite it as following

$$n_{\sigma x} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\max}}{\mathcal{E}}} \approx \frac{\mu_{01}}{k \Phi h \sqrt{\mathcal{E}\beta^*}} \xrightarrow{\Phi D^* h = 2\Delta s \frac{\lambda_x}{\lambda_s + \lambda_x}} \qquad n_{\sigma x} = \frac{\mu_{01}}{2k \Delta s} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda_x}\right) \sqrt{\frac{A_x^*}{\mathcal{E}}} \qquad A_x^* = \frac{D^{*2}}{\beta^*}$$

Thus the cooling range,  $n_{\sigma x}$ , determines the dispersion invariant  $A_x^*$ Average value of  $A_x$  in dipoles determines the equilibrium emittance.

- $A_x^*$  is large and  $A_x$  needs to be reduced fast to get an acceptable value of the equilibrium emittance ( $\varepsilon$ )
- Getting sufficiently large cooling acceptance requires long wave length of the radiation: another reason for  $\lambda \ge 2 \ \mu m$

# **Linear Beam Optics for Cooling Chicane**

Major parameter of cooling chican	rs e	
Beam energy	100 MeV	15 - 0.5
Dipole type	Rbend	
B of dipole	3.06 kG	
L of dipole	8 cm	
Orbit offset, h	43 mm	
Delay, ∆s	3 mm	5
GdL of Qd quad	830 Gs	
β <b>*</b>	5.2 cm	
D <sub>x</sub> *	60 cm	18 19 20 21 22 S [m]
Cooling rates ratio, $\lambda_x/\lambda_s$	1.7	BetaX BetaY DispX DispY
Basic wave length, $\lambda$	<b>2.2 μm</b>	
Cooling range in	±1.2.10-3	
momentum, (Ap/p) <sub>max</sub>	<b>(3.7</b> σ <b>)</b>	
Cooling range in hor.	<b>0.31 μm</b>	
plane, $\epsilon_{max}$ (Linear appr.)	<b>(5.9</b> σ <b>)</b>	
Geometric acceptance	<b>5</b> μ <b>m</b>	

# Sample Lengthening on the Travel through Chicane



- Very large sample lengthening on the travel through chicane
- High accuracy of dipole field is required to prevent uncontrolled lengthening, ∆(BL)/(BL)<sub>dipole</sub><10<sup>-3</sup>

Sample lengthening due to momentum spread (top) and due to betatron motion (bottom)

# **Non-liner Sample Lengthening**

Major contribution to the 2<sup>nd</sup> order lengthening comes from particle angle:

$$\Delta s_2 = \int_{-L_q/2}^{L_q/2} \frac{\theta(s)^2}{2} ds \xrightarrow{\beta^* \ll L_t} \approx \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\beta^*} L_q$$

•  $\beta_x^* \ll \beta_y^* \Rightarrow$  hor. betatron oscillations make much larger contribution



Dependence of normalized long. particle displacement in the kicker,  $k\Delta s$ , on  $\perp$  particle position in the pickup for particles located at ellipses of  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$ ,  $4\sigma$ ,  $6\sigma$  and  $8\sigma$  (referenced to equilibrium H. emittance in the absence of x-y coupling). Left and right - H. and V. betatron motions, respectively. Horizontal lines mark cooling boundaries. 15

# **Correction of Non-liner Sample Lengthening**



Model implies perfect rectangular dipoles with rigid edge

Vertical edge focusing due to finite gap is accounted

# **IOTA Optics for OSC**



Optics functions and dispersion invariant for IOTA half ring
 Focusing at the edges of OSC insert is adjusted to reduce A<sub>x</sub> in the ring
 Small horizontal emittance

# IOTA Optics

#### Main Parameters of IOTA storage ring for OSC

Circumference	40 m
Nominal beam energy	100 MeV
Bending field of main dipoles	4.8 kG
Tunes, $Q_x / Q_y$	5.45/3.45
Natural chromaticities, $\xi_x / \xi_y$	-18 / -7.4
Chromaticities with OSC sextupoles	253 / -67
Geometric acceptance	<b>5</b> μ <b>m</b>
Dynamic acceptance*	0.15 μ <b>m (4</b> σ)
RMS hor. emittance, SR, no coupling	9.1 nm
Rms momentum spread, $\sigma_p$	1.07.10-4
SR cooling times (ampl.), $(\tau_x/\tau_y/\tau_s)$	1.7/2/1.1 s
Cooling ranges* (before OSC), $n_{\sigma x}/n_{\sigma s}$	5.9 / 3.7

 Chromaticities are compensated to about zero with ring sextupoles  Energy is reduced 150→100 MeV to reduce ε, σ<sub>p</sub>
 Operation on coupling resonance reduces horizontal emittance and introduces vertical
 OSC damping

 Tunes are chosen to maximize the dynamic aperture limited by OSC and ring sextupoles

## **Dynamic Aperture Limitation by Sextupoles of OSC Insert**

In vicinity of 3<sup>rd</sup> order resonance:

$$\tilde{x}_{b} \equiv \frac{x_{\max}\beta_{x}}{x_{0S}^{2}} \approx 25 \left| \left[ \nu \right] - \frac{1}{3} \right| \iff \varepsilon_{b} \approx \frac{625x_{0S}^{4}}{\beta_{x}^{3}} \left( \left[ \nu \right] - \frac{1}{3} \right)^{2}$$
where:  $x_{0S}^{2} = \frac{pc}{e(SL)}$ 

Far from the resonance the stability boundary can be estimated from the phase space distortion

$$\tilde{x}_b \approx 3 \iff \mathcal{E}_b \approx \frac{9x_{0S}^4}{\beta_x^3}$$

- Transition happens at detuning  $\Delta v \approx 0.1$
- Two sextupoles have  $\triangle Q \times \approx 180$  deg.
  - Good compensation of non-linearities in vicinity of resonance (weak sext.)
  - Almost no compensation far from resonance
- First estimate of dynamic aperture used
  - phase space distortion after a pass through cooling chicane
- Then: Tunes, sextupole families, FMA and tracking



Sengle sextupole transformation



#### **Dynamic Aperture Limitation by Sextupoles of OSC Insert(2)**



Hor.(left) and vert.(right) phase spaces after 1 pass through the chicane with (bottom) and without (top) sextupole correction. Initially particles were located at ellipses of  $1\sigma$ ,  $2\sigma$ ,  $4\sigma$ ,  $6\sigma$  and  $8\sigma$ .

FMA (frequency map analysis) for dimensionless betatron amplitudes

task0SC045\_1\_2.as00\_12

- $4\sigma$  dynamic aperture is obtained
  - further improvements are expected
- But, first, the light optics has to be better understood



# <u>Undulators</u>

Radiation wavelength at zero angle	<b>2.2</b> μ <b>m</b>
Undulator parameter, $K_U$	0.8
Undulator period	12.9 cm
Number of periods, m	6
Total undulator length, $L_w$	0.77 m
Peak magnetic field	664 G
Distance between centers of undulators	3.3 m
Energy loss per undulator per pass	22 meV
Average power per undulator for $N_e$ =10 <sup>6</sup>	26 nW
Optical system aperture (2a)	13 mm
Radiation spot size in the kicker, HWHM	0.35 mm
$\gamma \theta_{max}$	0.63









Larger \(\theta\_{max}\) => larger bandwidth (

more problems
with optics

> faster cooling

# Passive and Active OSC

- For  $K \ll 1$  focused radiation of pickup undulator has the same structure as radiation from kicker undulator. They are added coherently:  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2 e^{i\phi} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E}_2} 2\cos(\phi/2)\mathbf{E}_1 e^{i\phi/2}$
- $\Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{Energy \ loss \ after \ passing \ 2 \ undulators} \\ \Delta U \propto \left| E^2 \right| = 4 \cos \left( \phi / 2 \right)^2 \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \phi \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2 \left( 1 + \cos \left( kM_{56} \frac{\Delta p}{p} \right) \right|$
- OSC can be achieved even in the absence of optical amplifier
- Passive OSC increases SR damping rates by ~ an order of magnitude
- It should be easier to get larger bandwidth in a passive OSC
  - Bandwidth is limited by dispersion in lens material (~6 mm glass)

Gain in active OSC - 10 dB (next talk)

- $\sqrt{10} \approx 3$  times faster cooling
- Bandwidth loss has to be less than
   3 times



# **Optical system for Passive OSC**

- 3 lens system
  - Transfer matrix = ±I
     => no depth of field problem
- Two solutions
  - I: M= -I (D center lens)
  - II: M = +I (F center lens)
- I is preferred
  - Weaker focusing for all lenses
  - Smaller focusing chromaticity
  - Suppression of divergence of radiation and its particle in the kicker undulator
    - Beam (center to center): M<sub>11</sub>=M<sub>22</sub>=-1.07 M<sub>33</sub>=M<sub>44</sub>=-2.07





Rms beam sizes in absence of OSC, σ<sub>x</sub>=0.25 mm - in undulators Radiation HWHM - 0.35 mm

# **Cooling Rates and Other Beam Parameters**

Band	2.2 - 3.3 μm
Damp. rates (x=y/s)	6.3/5.2 s <sup>-1</sup>
Geometric acceptance	<b>5</b> μm
Dynamic acceptance	0.15 μm
Average vacuum (H <sub>2</sub> equiv.)	2 10 <sup>-10</sup> Torr
Vacuum lifetime	50 min.
SR loss per turn	13.3 eV
RF voltage	30 V
Harmonic number	-0.178
RF bucket height, $(\Delta p/p)_{max}$	10 <sup>-3</sup>
RMS bunch length (no OSC)	22 cm
Number of particles per bunch	10 <sup>6</sup>
Touschek lifetime	1.3 hour
IBS H.emit. growth rate	0.1 s <sup>-1</sup>
IBS L.emit. growth rate	0.44 s <sup>-1</sup>



- Number of particles is limited by IBS and Touschek effect
- OSC cooling test will operate well below the optimal gain
  - No interaction through cooling system

# **Other Limitations**

Quantum effects play little role in the OSC cooling

- However interesting studies of quantum behavior can be done
  - In particular, single electron cooling

Quantum Mechanical Treatment of Transit-Time Optical Stochastic Cooling of Muons

A. E. Charman<sup>1</sup> and J. S. Wurtele<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, U.C. Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Center for Beam Physics, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720

(Dated: April 9, 2009)

SLAC-PUB-8662 December 2000

#### Quantum theory of Optical Stochastic Cooling \*

S. Heifets,

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309, USA

M.Zolotorev,

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94729, USA

# <u>Conclusions</u>

- Optical stochastic cooling looks as a promising technique for future hadron colliders (not extremely high energy of course)
- Experimental study of OSC in Fermilab is in its initial phase
  - It is aimed to validate cooling principles and to demonstrate cooling with and without optical amplifier
- The beam intensity ranges from a single electron to the bunch population limited by operation at the optimum gain (10<sup>8</sup>-10<sup>9</sup>)
  - Single electron cooling localization of electron wave function and essence of quantum mechanics
    - Quantum noise for passive cooling and cooling with OA

# **IOTA Timeline**

FY15	20 MeV e- commissioned HE beam line 40% IOTA parts 60%
FY16	50 MeV e- commissioned 150 MeV CM2 to dump IOTA installed 60%
FY17	IOTA installed IOTA <i>e</i> - commissioned <i>p</i> + RFQ re-commiss'd 50% IOTA research starts with <i>e</i> -
FY18	Proton RFQ moved 100% <i>p+</i> RFQ commissioned, move to IOTA
FY19	IOTA research starts with <i>p+</i>
FY20	(IOTA research continues)

# **Backup Slides**

# **Basics of OSC – Radiation Focusing to Kicker Undulator**

Modified Kirchhoff formula

$$E(r) = \frac{\omega}{2\pi i c} \int_{S} \frac{E(r')}{|r-r'|} e^{i\omega|r-r'|} ds'$$
  
$$\Longrightarrow \qquad E(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i c} \int_{S} \frac{\omega(r') E(r')}{|r-r'|} e^{i\omega|r-r'|} ds$$



- Effect of higher harmonics
  - Higher harmonics are normally located outside window of optical lens transparency and are absorbed in the lens material



Dependences of retarded time (t<sub>p</sub>) and E<sub>x</sub> on time for helical undulator
 Only first harmonic is retained in the calculations presented below

# <u>Basics of OSC – Longitudinal Kick for K<<1</u>

- For  $K \ll 1$  refocused radiation of pickup undulator has the same structure as radiation from kicker undulator. They are added coherently:  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{E}_2 e^{i\phi} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathbf{E}_2} 2\cos(\phi/2)\mathbf{E}_1 e^{i\phi/2}$
- $\Rightarrow \quad \text{Energy loss after passing 2 undulators} \\ \Delta U \propto \left| E^2 \right| = 4\cos\left(\phi/2\right)^2 \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2\left(1 + \cos\phi\right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right| = 2\left(1 + \cos\left(kM_{56}\frac{\Delta p}{p}\right)\right) \left| \mathbf{E}_1^2 \right|$
- Large derivative of energy loss on momentum amplifies damping rates and creates a possibility to achieve damping without optical amplifier
  - SR damping:  $\lambda_{\parallel\_SR} \approx \frac{2\Delta U_{SR}}{pc} f_0$



• OSC: 
$$\lambda_{\parallel OSC} \approx f_0 \frac{2\Delta U_{wgl}}{pc} (GkM_{56}) \xrightarrow{kM_{56}(\Delta p/p)_{max} = \pi} f_0 \frac{2\Delta U_{wgl}}{pc} \left( \frac{G}{(\Delta p/p)_{max}} \right)$$

where G - optical amplifier gain,  $(\Delta p/p)_{max}$  - cooling system acceptance  $\Rightarrow \lambda_{\parallel OSC} \propto B^2 L \propto K^2 L$  - but cooling efficiency drops with K increase above ~1

# <u>Basics of OSC – Longitudinal Kick for K<<1(continue)</u>

Radiation wavelength depends on  $\theta$  as

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{2\gamma^2} \left( 1 + \gamma^2 \theta^2 \right)$$

Limitation of system bandwidth by (1) optical amplifier band or (2) subtended angle reduce damping rate

$$\lambda_{\parallel\_SR} = \lambda_{\parallel\_SR0} F(\gamma \theta_{\rm m}), \qquad F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{\left(1 + x^2\right)^3}$$



For narrow band: 
$$\Delta U_{wgl} = \Delta U_{wgl0} \left( \frac{3\Delta \omega}{\omega} \right), \quad \frac{3\Delta \omega}{\omega} << 1$$

where  $\Delta U_{wgl0} = \frac{e^4 B^2 \gamma^2 L}{3m^2 c^4} \begin{cases} 1, & F \text{lat wiggler} \\ 2, & \text{Helical wiggler} \end{cases}$  the energy radiated in one undulator

# **Basics of OSC – Radiation from Flat Undulator**

For arbitrary undulator parameter we have

$$\Delta U_{OSC_{-}F} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{4e^4 B_0^2 \gamma^2 L}{3m^2 c^4} GF_f(K, \gamma \theta_{\max}) F_u(\kappa_u)$$
  

$$F_u(\kappa_u) = J_0(\kappa_u) - J_1(\kappa_u), \quad \kappa_u = K^2 / (4(1+K^2/2))$$
  
Fitting results of numerical integration yields:  

$$F_h(K, \infty) \approx \frac{1}{1+1.07K^2 + 0.11K^3 + 0.36K^4}, \quad K \equiv \gamma \theta_e \le 4$$
  

$$\Theta_m^2 F_h(K, \Theta_m) F_u(K)$$
  

$$\int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{4}$$

Dependence of wave length on θ:

$$\lambda \approx \frac{\lambda_{wgl}}{2\gamma^2} \left( 1 + \gamma^2 \left( \theta^2 + \frac{\theta_e^2}{2} \right) \right)$$

 $K \equiv \gamma \theta_e$ 

- Flat undulator is "more effective" than the helical one
- For the same K and λ<sub>wgl</sub> flat undulator generates shorter wave lengths

For both cases of the flat and helical undulators and for fixed Ba decrease of  $\lambda_{wgl}$  and, consequently,  $\lambda$  yields kick increase

but wavelength is limited by both beam optics and light focusing

# **Transfer Matrix for OSC Chicane**



#### Chicane displaces the beam closer to its center

$$\mathbf{M}_{ta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & \frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & \varphi \\ -\varphi & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} & 1 & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}^{2}}{2} \\ -\varphi & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} & 1 & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}^{2}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & -\varphi \\ \varphi & \frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} & 1 & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}^{2}}{2} \\ -\varphi & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} & 1 & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}^{2}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} & -\varphi \\ \varphi & \frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} & 1 & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}^{2}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \mathbf{0} & -\frac{\mathbf{L}_{.d}^{\cdot \varphi}}{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} & \varphi \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{L}_{.d} &$$

Leaving only major terms we obtain

# **Basics of OSC – Correction of the Depth of Field**

- It was implied above that the radiation coming out of the pickup undulator is focused on the particle during its trip through the kicker undulator
  - It can be achieved with lens located at infinity

$$\frac{1}{2F + \Delta s} + \frac{1}{2F - \Delta s} = \frac{1}{F} \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{F - \Delta s^2 / 4F} = \frac{1}{F} \quad \xrightarrow{F \to \infty} \quad \frac{1}{F} = \frac{1}{F}$$

- but this arrangement cannot be used in practice
- A 3-lens telescope can address the problem within limited space  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & L \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -F_1^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -F_2^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_1 \\ -F_1^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & L_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$



Optical Stochastic Cooling at IOTA ring, Valeri Lebedev, Cool-15

### **Dynamic Aperture Limitation by Sextupoles of OSC Insert**

Introduce dimensionless variables

$$\tilde{\theta} = \beta^2 \frac{\theta + \alpha x / \beta}{x_{0S}^2}, \quad \tilde{x} = \frac{\beta x}{x_{0S}^2} \quad \text{where} \quad x_{0S}^2 = \frac{pc}{e(SL)}$$

Then the following transforms drive particle motion

$$\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{bmatrix}' = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \mu & \sin \mu \\ -\sin \mu & \cos \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{\theta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\theta}' = \tilde{\theta} + \frac{\tilde{x}^2}{x_{0S}^2}$$

- In vicinity of 3<sup>rd</sup> order resonance:  $\tilde{x}_b \approx 25 \left| [v] - \frac{1}{3} \right| \Rightarrow \varepsilon_b \approx \frac{625 x_{0S}^4}{\beta^3} \left( [v] - \frac{1}{3} \right)^2$
- Far from the resonance the stability boundary can be estimated from the phase space distortion =>

$$\tilde{x}_b \approx 3 \implies \mathcal{E}_b \approx \frac{9{x_{0S}}^4}{\beta^3}$$

**Transition happens at detuning**  $\Delta v \approx 0.1$ 





