



1

End-to-end design of 6D muon ionization cooling

Diktys Stratakis Brookhaven National Laboratory

Cool15, JLAB, VA October 01, 2015

Why muons?

- Carry the same electrical charge as electrons
- Like electrons, muons are elementary particles and thus can produce "clean collisions"
- Muons are 207 times heavier than electrons making it more sensitive to the discovery of new physics
- Unlike electrons, they can be accelerated and stored in circular rings at very high (~TeV) energies by taking advantage of their larger mass

Current activity: Muon campus @ Fermilab





- Mu2e: The Mu2e experiment attempts to detect charged lepton flavor violation
- **g-2**: Precision measurement of magnetic properties of muons

http://muon.fnal.gov/

Far future (?): Towards a Muon Collider

- Some benefits...
 - Large muon mass suppresses synchrotron radiation
 - Muons can be accelerated and stored using rings at much higher energy than electrons
 - As with an e⁺e⁻ collider, a µ⁺µ⁻ collider would offer a precision probe of fundamental interactions



Image: www.map.fnal.gov

Muon Collider (MC) components



Image: www.map.fnal.gov

• The desired average luminosity of a MC is O(10³⁴) cm⁻²s⁻¹

Challenge

- The produced muon beam has a huge energy spread!
- To obtain luminosities O(10³⁴) cm⁻²s⁻¹ we need to reduce the initial phase-space by several orders of magnitude
- How can we cool the beam fast?



Purpose of this work

- Present a simple scheme to cool the 6D emittance of a muon beam
- Simulate the aforementioned concept and present the results
- Discuss challenges and provide solutions
 - Space-charge issues
 - Magnet requirements
 - Gas filled cavities
- Summary & future study

Ionization cooling



- Energy loss through ionization in absorbers
- rf cavities to compensate for lost longitudinal energy
- Note: This process cools the beam transversely, only!

Emittance exchange for 6D cooling



Incident Muon Beam H₂ Gas Absorber in Dipole Magnet <u>Concept 1:</u> Generate dispersion and cool via emittance exchange in a wedge absorber

<u>Concept 2:</u> Energy loss dependence on path length in a continuous absorber

- Two concepts, same principle
- Dispersion is introduced to spatially separate muons of different momenta
- This study focuses on channels with discrete absorbers only!

9

Ionization cooling basics

- •In the absorber: Energy loss is causing **cooling**, BUT multiple scattering is causing **heating**.
- •A balance between the two processes gives:

$$\varepsilon_T^{\text{eq}} = \left(\frac{dE}{ds}\right)^{-1} \frac{\beta_T (13.6 \text{ MeV})^2}{2\beta m_\mu c^2 L_R}$$

 L_R : Radiation length

E: Muon energy

 β_T : Transverse beta function

 $\frac{dE}{ds}$: Energy loss

- •Emittance can be controlled by:
 - <u>Material</u>: Product of L_R and dE/ds should be large.
 - <u>Magnet strength</u>: Transverse beta function must be small. Thus, we progressively taper the magnetic field towards higher values

Proposed cooling channel



- We considered a straight cooling scheme for a MC
- Idea originally proposed by Valeri Balbekov (Fermilab)
- Its simple geometry avoids several engineering challenges of previously considered schemes (rings or helix)

Tapered lattice design: 8 stages



Magnet limits:

https://nationalmaglab.org/magnet-development/applied-superconductivity-center/plots

12

Two extremes: First & last cooling stage



EARLY STAGE OF COOLING

- 275 cm long
- Coils far
- 325 MHz
- Axial B ~ 3 T
- Beta ~ 40 cm



LATE STAGE OF COOLING

- 80 cm long
- Coils near axis
- 650 MHz
- Axial B ~ 12 T
- Beta ~ 3 cm

Magnet feasibility studies (last stage)





	% of the load line at operational current		
	Inner solenoid	Middle solenoid	Outer solenoid
Nb-Ti @ 4.2 K	-	76%	74%
Nb-Ti @ 1.9 K	-	59%	58%
Nb3Sn @ 4.2 K	88%	-	-
Nb3Sn @ 1.9 K	81%	-	-
Nb3Sn @ 1.9 K	81%	-	-

WEPRI103

Proceedings of IPAC2014, Dresden, Germany

MAGNET DESIGN FOR A SIX-DIMENSIONAL RECTILINEAR COOLING **CHANNEL - FEASIBILITY STUDY***

H. Witte[†], D. Stratakis, J. S. Berg, R. B. Palmer, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY, USA F. Borgnolutti, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

Simulation results (1)



15

Simulation results (2)

- End-to-end simulation starting from the target (point 1)
- Reduction of the 6D emittance by FIVE orders of magnitude (point 5)
- Desired emittances for a Higgs factory delivered!



16

Influence of space-charge (SC)

- At the end of cooling, 5x10¹² muons are squeezed within a 2 cm rms bunch length. There is a concern for SC effect
- · We examined the influence of SC fields on cooling
- SC causes particle loss & longitudinal emittance growth



Space-charge compensation (1)

- Increasing the rf gradient can compensate SC effect
- The needed compensation gradient is coupled to the beam intensity



Space-charge compensation (2)

- For a MC to obtain a ϵ_L < 1.0 mm the rf gradient of a 805 MHz cavity needs to surpass 32.5 MV/m
- Can be a problem (next slide)
- Required compensation gradient from theory:





Cavity operation in magnetic fields



 Numerical simulations predict that the copper surfaces of a rf cavity may damaged when B> 1T



Hybrid cooling solution

- The gradient of a gas filled cavity showed no magnetic field dependence in a solenoidal field up to 3 T.
- Key Idea: Utilize gas filled cavities in a rectilinear channel. Majority of cooling in LiH and use gas only to protect rf cavity from the high-field.



Lattice performance

- Essentially, the same performance as an equivalent channel with vacuum cavities
- BUT there remains considerable work to do before a hybrid channel can be considered a validated cooling channel option.



Sensitivity to pressure & gas

• Final transverse emittance is correlated to the gas pressure and the type of gas



Summary

- Presented a simple scheme for ionization cooling
- Simulations predict that is capable to reduce the 6D emittance by at least five orders of magnitude thus satisfying the needs of a Higgs factory.
- The influence of space-charge fields on the cooling process was thoroughly examined
- A hybrid solution with gas filled cavities was presented
- The performance of a gas filled channel is essentially the same as the equivalent vacuum channel assuming a pressure of 34 atm (at room T)

Acknowledgement



Special thanks to all members of the Muon Accelerator
Program community

Future work – Open problems

- Improve design of muon capture channel
 - Increase performance
- Reduce length of the cooling channel
 - Existing channel for a MC is 900 m ...
- Physics problems
 - Wakefields, absorbers, plasma loading
- Engineering problems
 - Magnets, windows for pressurized cavities, ...