THE STRASBOURG SUPERCONDUCTING COIL CYCLOTRON PROJECT

M.S. Antony, J. Britz, J.B. Bueb, J. Denimal, G. Frick, H. Gasser, G. Gaudiot, A. Helleboid, J.M. Helleboid, J. Heugel, J. Hoffmann, M.A. Molinari, C. Muller, R. Rebmeister, P. Volmer, P. Wagner

Centre de Recherches Nucléaires, B.P. 20, 67037 Strasbourg-Cedex, France

Abstract.- A project for a superconducting coil compact cyclotron which allows to accelerate ions to energies up to 80 MeV/A from a 16 MV MP Tandem is presented. Some specific points are emphasized : the injection, the magnetic field configuration, the bunching and the beam transfer from the Tandem.

The Strasbourg project^{1,2)} consists of a superconducting coil, K = 560, compact cyclotron. Ions from the 16 MV MP Tandem of the Centre de Recherches Nucléaires in Strasbourg will be stripped inside the cyclotron before being accelerated. Conclusions of prospective studies¹⁾ have shown that energies of 10 to 80 MeV/A for light ions and 5 to 16 MeV/A for the heaviest are needed. A careful examination leads to the conclusion that a 3 sectors K = 560 compact cyclotron with superconducting coils corresponds the best to this choice. The following table and Fig. 1 give the main characteristics of the project. A working group

Focusing limi	ding lim:	it	$K = 510 \text{ to } 700 \text{ (Q}_{\text{f}}$ K. ~ 170	/A 0.1 t:	0.24)
PV 1	11-14		foc		
Kr Iow energy	limit		E/A = 5 nev/and		
Injection	16 M	P	Tandem		
3 Sectors					
Iron		Pole	diameter	180	cm
		Hill	iron gap	8	cm
		Average spiral constant Total weight		~ 2	rad/m
				200	c
		Extr	action radius	83	c.m
Field		Max	central field	4.4	т
		Min central field		2.4	5 T
		Max	average field		
		at e	extraction radius	4.6	т
RF System		3 De	ees		
		Frequency range		22 - 50	MHz
		Harn	nonic numbers	2,3,(4)	
		Dee	voltage	100	KV
		Max	total RF power	230	KW
Superconducting coils		Panc	ake type Nb-Ti		
		2 Sections (inner 40 %,		outer 60 %)	
		Max	total Ampere-turns	5.7	100
		Effe	ective stored energy	43	MJ
Field trimming		17 F	pairs of trim coils		
		P ≤	30 KW		
Extraction system		One	tura extraction wit	hν = 1	excitation
		2 Electrostatic deflectors ^r			
		Magn	netic channels		
Beam characteristics	tics	12	C 20 → 85 MeV/A	1011	+ 10 ¹³ pp
		127			i pos
		220	L 5 + 40 "	5.10	+ 5.10
		238	$5 \rightarrow 12.4$ "	109	+ 1010 "

has been constituted which made detailed studies on the following points : calculation of the magnet configuration leading to isochronism, injection and stripping, mechanical structure of the magnet and mounting of the machine, superconducting coils, cryostat and cryogenics, bunching and beam transfer from the MP. The study of the RF system and computer control have also been started:

* Internal reports have been written on all this subjects and are available on request.



In the following we give more details about the superconducting coil, the injection, the magnetic field configuration and the beam transfer.

Superconducting coil

				the second s
Coil			Apparent maximum current	2
Inner diameter	2060	nvm	density	3200 A/cm2
Outer diameter	2416	mm	Total ampere-turns	5.7 10 ⁶ A.t
Distance between coils	120	mm	Maximum stored energy	49 MJ
Overall coil height	512	mm	Maximum field at the	
Inner coil height	307	mm	conductor	4.6 T
Outer coil height	206	nm	Conductor	
Double pancakes per coi	1 15		size	$15x4 \text{ mm}^2$
Turns per double pancak	e 80		total Cu-Nb 53 % Ti	
Turns per coil	1200		ratio	20:1
Insulation between			Cu resistivity at 4.5 K	3.10 ⁸ Ω.cm
turns	0.35	mm	critical current	
Insulation between			at 4.5 K and 5T	3045 A
pancakes	2	mm	design working point	2
Nominal current	2380	Λ	at 4.6 T	850 A/mm ²
Maximum rated current			(85	% of critical
(inner section	1860	A	current at 4.5 K)	
outer section	2380	Α	individual lengths	570 m
(inner section	2380	A	total length	34200 m
outer section	- 820	A	conductor weight	9 t
			Coil and cryostat weight	~ 15 t

Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Cyclotrons and their Applications September 1981, Caen, France

Injection parameters

Injection geometry has been chosen to enhance high energies-high intensities for light ions from 12 C, leading to the following figures :

- Common steering point 2.5 m from the center - Stripping in a hill and injection "with the spiral" allowing to have most of the injection path near along the median line of a valley where the field is low and nearly does not vary
- Minimum entry angle into the field as small as possible.

From that the main par	ameters are :
- Steering distance	2.5 m
- Steering angle ±	1 °
- Stripping position (15.5 to 20.3 cm
	$\Delta \theta_{e} = 37.5^{\circ}$
- Entry angle	10 to 25°
- Charge state ratio	2.85 to 3.95
- Energy gain	17 to 32.5

Detailed calculations from an analytical description of the actual (calculated) field given by the magnet configuration described below give the injection limitations as shown on Fig. 2. These limita-





tions are somewhat restrictive for high energy intermediate and heavy ions and mainly for low energy light and intermediate ions keeping the best for light-high energy ions.

Magnetic field configuration

Several possible configurations have been studied, ending with the final design described below (Fig. 3). The criteria are dictated essentially by the need to obtain good isochronous fields together with adequate focalization for the whole ion range with optimized excitation for main and trim coils. Spacing and mechanical requirements, particularly severe in such a compact cryogenic cyclotron in which the housing space for injection, extraction and acceleration components is so small, are to be carefully examined as well.





Fig. 3

For each configuration the resulting magnetic field has been determined as already done elsewhere^{3,4)} by the combination of two separate contributions, the one from the components with cylindrical symmetry, the other from the iron pole tips. For several values of the intensities I and I in each pair of superconducting coils the relaxation method (code POISSON) has been used to calculate two different field maps. The first one corresponds to the field produced by the coils together with the main part of the iron which is cylindrically symmetric : the yoke, the two end rings and the cylindrical poles generated by the valley profile. The second calculation is also for cylindrical symmetry and for the same iron part with addition of pole pieces with the same axial profile as the pole tip in a hill stretched out over to 2π . The actual average field value is between those

two results and is obtained by a combination of the two field maps with a weighting factor depending on the hill width. The azimuthally variable field component given by the spiral pole tip has been calculated with a specially written code, using the uniform magnetization approximation.

Isochronism and betatron oscillations frequencies have been studied for twelve different ions from 12 C up to 238 U and for final energies near the maxi-



Upper part : Calculated field compared with theoretical isochronous field. Fig. 4 Lower part : Residual field defect with trim coil corrections.

mum and minimum working values (Fig. 2). In every case, for a given magnet configuration, optimum intensities I_a and I_b in the main coils for which the average field is the nearest to isochronism have to be determined. Such a calculation is done from the following grid : The average field value has been computed with POISSON code for a set of equally spaced current densities covering the overall range : I_{a} = 1100, 1800, 2500 and 3200 A/cm 2 for the coil nearest to the median plan (inner coil); $I_{b} = -1000$, -300, 400, 1100, 1800, 2500 and 3200 A/cm 2 for the



farthest one (outer coil). From this grid the average field value for a given ion has been derived by interpolation using a least squares fit method to minimize isochronism defects. From that result corrections have been made setting trim coil currents to compensate these defects. As an example the average field for

 ^{58}Ni is shown on Fig. 4 while Fig. 5 shows ν_r and ν_z for ¹²C at two different energies.

Such a method has been used optimizing main coil dimensions and iron geometry : pole tip azimuthal profile (angular width and spiral constant), valley axial profile near the extraction radius and central region configuration. The trim coil array has been chosen taking into account the residual field differences from the isochronous field.

Resulting main characteristics for the coils and the iron geometry are listed in the following table.

Inner coil cross section	532	cm ²
Duter coil cross section	358	cm ²
Median plane distance	6	cm
Valley gap	70	cm
(progressively shrinked to 24 cm for R	> 80	cm)
Hills		
Iron gap	8	cm
Center angular aperture	45	.6°
Angular aperture at extraction radius	56	0
Average spiral constant	2	rad/m
Trim coils		
Number	17	
Maximum total power	30	kW
Return path gap $z = 20$ to	22	cm
RF holes		
Diameter 22.6 cm up to z = 41 cm further	56	cm
Padial position	49	cm

Beam matching and injection system Using most of the present experimental facilities has been our aim but we could not avoid the usual apparent complexity of the transfer line and of the bunching system shown in Fig. 6.





The time structure is obtained by a double

drift buncher^{5,6)} positioned on the low energy platform placed upstream of the MP Tandem and operating on the RF frequency. The efficiency of such a buncher is about 65 %. The dimensions of this system have been determined according to Hinderer's formulation (Fig. 7). A high energy buncher placed roughly in the



Fig. 7 Determination of the 1st klystron dimension according to Hinderer's formula.

middle of the transfer line provides an upright emittance ellipse at the cyclotron injection stripper and a pulse width of \pm 3°.

The platform voltage is varied so as to compensate partially the variations of the effective lengths between the terminal of the MP and the cyclotron. Fig. 8 shows how the mean position of the H.E. buncher was determined.





different effective lengths of the transfer line $({\rm t}_2^{}/{\rm t}_3^{})$.

The transfer line is achromatic to first order so as to decouple the phase spaces. In the three dimensions, the beam is focused at the two strippers to minimize the effects of angular and energy straggling.

Classically it is divided into three parts, the two first being connected to the L.E. buncher and the M.P. corona system to regulate the fast and slow fluctuations of the injected ion energy. The third section is similar to the Chalk River⁸⁾ line and is destined to the emittance and dispersion matching. Another but more expensive solution has been proposed based on the use of variable lengths as suggested by F. Hinterberger⁹⁾.

References

- Conclusions du groupe de prospective concernant un système de postaccélération au Centre de Recherches Nucléaires de Strasbourg CRN/PN 79-01
- 2) G. Frick, Nucl.Instr.Meth.184 (1981) 223
- 3) Chalk River studies
- 4) P. Miller et al IEEE NS <u>26</u> n° 2 (1979) 2111
- 5) C. Goldstein, A. Laisné, Nucl. Instr. Meth. <u>61</u> (1968) 221
- 6) W.T. Milner, IEEE NS 26 n° 1 Feb. 1969
- 7) G. Hinderer, Dissertation HMI-B-165-VICKSI
- 8) Chalk River Report CRNL 1045
- 9) F. Hinterberger, Nucl. Instr. Meth. 111 (1973) 189