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Abstract
Perseo is a library of functions devoted to the simulation of FEL dynamics in the Mathcad environment. Functions for the generation of phase space variables, for the solution of the pendulum-like equation and for manipulating the phase space in a number of devices are available. These function can be combined in order to model more complicated situations as time dependent simulations, 3D simulations, oscillator FEL configurations, optical klystron, cascaded FELs …

INTRODUCTION
Mathematical computer aided scratchpads which integrate standard mathematical notation, text, graphs and programming capabilities in worksheets as Mathcad or Mathematica and Matlab, are widespread tools in the scientific community. Nowadays computers are fast enough to execute interactively from start-up to saturation an FEL simulation involving a few thousands macro-particles. This suggested to develop a set of basic functions which can be called from within the Mathcad environment, devoted to solve FEL dynamics related problems. The result is Perseo[1], a flexible tool that can be simply programmed to set up FEL simulations in a wide variety of configurations.

THE FEL MODEL IN PERSEO
The core of the library consists of a routine solving the pendulum-like FEL equations coupled with the field equations which govern the FEL longitudinal dynamics [2,3]. This routine includes self consistently the field variables for the higher order harmonics. In this picture the field is the superposition of slowly varying complex amplitudes \(a_n = |a_n| e^{i \varphi_n}\) for each harmonic \(n\),

\[E(z,t) = \sum_n \tilde{E}_n e^{i \varphi_n} = a_n e^{i \varphi_n}\]

The parameters \(\tilde{E}_n\) link the dimensionless field amplitude \(a_n\) to the field at the harmonic \(n\). They may be defined in terms of the saturation intensity [4] as

\[\tilde{E}_n = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0 I_n}}{4\pi} n\]

where \(\varepsilon_0\) is the vacuum impedance. In a linear undulator the \(n^{th}\) harmonic saturation intensity is defined as

\[I_n = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\gamma^2}{2}\right) N^2 (\lambda_n K f_n(n, \xi))^2\]

where \(\gamma\) is the beam energy in \(me^2\) units, \(\lambda_n\) is the undulator period, \(N\) is the number of undulator periods, \(K\) is the undulator parameter. The function \(f_n(n, \xi) = J_{(n+1)/2}(\xi) - J_{(n-1)/2}(\xi)\)

where \(\xi = K^2/4(1 + K^2/2)\), is the Bessel factor arising from the average over the longitudinal fast motion typical of linear undulators. The evolution of the amplitudes \(a_n\) is governed by the equations:

\[\frac{\partial \text{Re}(a_n(\tau))}{\partial \tau} = -2\pi \lambda g_n \langle \text{cos}(n \varphi(\tau)) \rangle_i\]

\[\frac{\partial \text{Im}(a_n(\tau))}{\partial \tau} = 2\pi \lambda g_n \langle \text{sin}(n \varphi(\tau)) \rangle_i\]

where the variable \(\tau = \beta_c t/L_n\) is a dimensionless interaction time, \(L_n = N\lambda_n\) is the undulator length and \(c\beta_c\) is the longitudinal beam velocity. The phase \(\varphi = (k + k_e)z - \omega_e t\) is the electron phase in the ponderomotive bucket corresponding to the first harmonic field \((n=1, k = \omega_e/c = k_1 = 2\pi/\lambda_n)\) is the wave-vector corresponding to the first harmonic and \(k_e = 2\pi/\lambda_n\) is the wave-vector associated to the undulator period. The coupling coefficients at the odd harmonics \(g_n\) are given by [3]

\[g_n = 2\pi \left(\frac{N}{\gamma}\right)^2 (\lambda_n K f_n(n, \xi))^2 \frac{I_{peak}}{I_n} \sum_n\]

where \(I_{peak}/\sum_n\) is the electron current density and \(I_n\) is the Alfven current. The \(i^{th}\) particle motion is governed by pendulum-like equations:

\[\frac{d\varphi_i}{d\tau} = \nu_i\]

\[\frac{dn\varphi_i}{d\tau} = \sum_n \cos(n \varphi_i) \text{Re}(a_n) - \sin(n \varphi_i) \text{Im}(a_n)\]

where \(\nu_i = 2\pi N (\omega_i - \omega_e)/\omega_e\) represents the frequency shift of the \(i^{th}\) particle resonance \(\omega_i/c = 2\gamma^2 k_{si}/(1 + K^2/2)\) from the reference frequency \(\omega_e\). Note that the above choice for the coefficients \((E_n, g_n)\) leads to the expression (3) for the particles dynamics, where all the harmonics in the expression of \(d\nu_i/d\tau\) have the same weight factor. Analogous expressions for the helical undulator are easily obtained by substituting \(f_n(n, \xi) = 1, K \rightarrow K_{helical} = \sqrt{2} K\) in (2) and in the definition of \(I_n(n)\), and neglecting the higher order harmonic coupling \((f_n(n \neq 1, \xi) = 0)\) in (2).

In the following we will analyze the subset of functions that are necessary for setting up a simple FEL simulation...
in the Mathcad environment. Some knowledge of the basic Mathcad language is assumed [5].

The function FELPendulum_h

In Perseo the coupled equations (2) and (3) are solved by calling the function:

$$\text{PHSP}_{\text{new}} := \text{FELPendulum}_h(\text{PHSP}_{\text{old}}, g, \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, \text{tol}) \quad (4)$$

This function integrates the coupled system of equations (2) and (3) with the coupling coefficients $g$ ($g$ is indeed an array with number of components equal to the number of simulated harmonics) advancing the system from $\vartheta_0$ to $\vartheta_1$ with tolerance $\text{tol}$. The variables $\text{PHSP}_{\text{new}}$ and $\text{PHSP}_{\text{old}}$ represent the status of the system before and after the integration and are defined as:

$$\text{PHSP} = \begin{pmatrix}
    n_h & \text{not used} \\
    \text{Re}(a_1) & \text{Im}(a_1) \\
    \vdots & \vdots \\
    \text{Re}(a_{n_h}) & \text{Im}(a_{n_h}) \\
    \vartheta_1 & \vartheta_1 \\
    \vdots & \vdots \\
    \vartheta_{n_p} & \vartheta_{n_p}
\end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

The first line contains the number of harmonics $n_h$, then $n_h$ lines contain the real and imaginary part of the field at each harmonic, followed by the coordinates $(\nu, \vartheta)$ of an arbitrary number of simulated particles $n_p$.

The function FELquietstart_h

The variable PHSP may be prepared by filling the matrix with the desired field values and particles coordinates, but a more convenient way is that of using the function

$$\text{PHSP} := \text{FELquietstart}_h(\nu_0, \sigma_\nu, n_\nu, \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, n_\vartheta, a) \quad (6)$$

that fills the variable PHSP with $n_p = n_\nu \cdot n_\vartheta$ particles, $n_\nu$ particles in the $\nu$ space, over a Gaussian centred in $\nu_0$ with r.m.s. $\sigma_\nu$, and $n_\vartheta$ particles distributed uniformly in the interval $(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1)$.

A quiet start distribution is obtained by setting $\vartheta_1 = \vartheta_0 + 2n\pi$ with $n$ integer (normally $\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1 = 0, 2\pi$).

An example of the phase space distribution as returned by FELquietstart_h is shown in Fig. 1.

A simple simulation

A simple simulation is realized by the Mathcad sequence in Fig. 2

$$\begin{align*}
    v_0 &= 2.6, \quad \sigma_\nu = 2, \quad n_\nu = 40, \\
    n_\vartheta &= 40, \quad \vartheta_0 = 0, \quad \vartheta_1 = 2\pi, \quad a := \begin{pmatrix}
        1 + 3i \\
        0 \\
        0
\end{pmatrix}, \\
    P &= \text{FELquietstart}_h(v_0, \sigma_\nu, n_\nu, \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1, n_\vartheta, a) \\
    \vartheta_O &= \begin{pmatrix}
        10 \\
        0 \\
        0
\end{pmatrix}, \\
    P &= \text{FELPendulum}_h(P, \vartheta_O, 0, 1, \text{tol})
\end{align*}$$

Figure 2: Mathcad sequence integrating the pendulum-like equation coupled to the field equations with coupling coefficient $g=10$ on the first harmonic and $0$ on the higher harmonics.

The phase space in $P$ is integrated over the undulator length with the coupling coefficient $g=10$ on the first harmonic and $0$ on the higher harmonics. The resulting phase space is plotted in Fig. 3.

Figure 3: Phase space distribution at the end of the simulation with the parameters listed in Fig. 2.

After the FEL interaction the phase space is distributed in an interval longer than $(0, 2\pi)$. The periodicity in $\vartheta$ of the system of equations (2) and (3) can be imposed to the phase space variables with the function $P := \text{FELBox}_h(P, \vartheta_0, \vartheta_1)$. By calling this function with $(\vartheta_0, \vartheta_1) = (0, 2\pi)$ we obtain the phase space distribution of Fig. 4.

Figure 4: Phase space distribution after imposing a periodicity condition in the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. 

---

Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 are not included in the text. The following code is not shown in the text:

```

```
**Start-up from e-beam shot noise**

Startup from shot noise may be simulated by using the function \( \text{FELquasiquietstart}_h(V_0, \sigma_n, n_b, \phi_i, \phi_{no}, a) \) which is analogous to the function \( \text{FELquietstart}_h \) except for the additional argument \( \phi_i \), which is used to displace the particles according to the function

\[
\phi_i = \arg(\phi_{no}) + (1 - \phi_{no}) \left[ \left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{n_0} \right) i - n_0 - 1 \right] \frac{1}{2} \tag{7}
\]

In the limit of small perturbation \( \phi_{no} \to 0 \) and sufficiently large number of particles \( n_0 \), the distribution has Fourier coefficients \( b_n = \phi_{no} \) (bunching coefficients at the harmonic \( n \)) almost independent from \( n \) (see ref. [1]). The coefficient \( b_1 \) can be estimated in a steady state simulation using the procedure of ref. [6].

**Inhomogeneous broadenings and three dimensional effects**

The variable \( \nu_i = 2\pi N (\omega_i - \omega_0) / \omega_0 \) represents the relative shift of the resonance of the \( i^{th} \) particle from a given reference frequency \( \omega_0 \). According to the definition of the resonant frequency of the \( i^{th} \) particle \( \omega_i = 2\gamma_i^2 c k_i \left( 1 + K(x_i, y_i)^2 / 2 + \gamma_i^2 \left( \theta_{s,i}^2 + \theta_{i,i}^2 \right) \right) \), we have included the dependence on the angle between the average particle trajectory and the undulator axis \( \theta_{s,i} = \beta_{s,i} / \beta_0 \) (we use the notation \( \theta_{s,i} = \theta_{x,i}, \theta_{y,i} \)) and the dependence of the undulator K parameter on the transverse coordinates, the particles distribution in energy and transverse phase space may be converted in a distribution in the detuning parameter space \( \nu \). At the lowest order in \( x_i, y_i, \) and \( \theta_{s,i} \), we have [7]

\[
\sigma_{x,y} = \sqrt{2\pi N} h_{x,y} \frac{2\gamma^2}{1 + K^2 / 2} \left( \frac{\pi k}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{\epsilon_{x,y}}{\epsilon_{x,y} \beta_{x,y} \gamma}
\]

\[
\sigma'_{x,y} = \sqrt{2\pi N} \frac{2\gamma^2}{1 + K^2 / 2} \left( \frac{\pi k}{\gamma} \right)^2 \frac{\epsilon_{x,y}}{\beta_{x,y} \gamma}
\]

\[
\sigma_{v} = \frac{4\pi N \sigma_{v}}{\gamma}
\]

where \( h_{x,y} \) are the undulator focusing parameters (with \( h_x + h_y = 2 \)), \( \epsilon_{x,y} \) and \( \beta_{x,y} \) are the normalized emittances and the \( \beta \) Twiss coefficients in the \( x \) and \( y \) planes respectively. An approximated expression for standard deviation in the \( v \) space is obtained by combining quadratically the standard deviations (8)

\[
\sigma_v = \sqrt{\sigma_{x}^2 + \sigma_{y}^2 + \sigma_{v}^2 + \sigma_{v}^2 + \sigma_{v}^2}
\]

In an oscillator FEL or in a seeded FEL and in general, when the transverse properties of the radiation may be considered as a constraint of the problem, the coupling coefficients (2) have to be corrected by a proper filling factor. In general when the radiation size is the result of the balance between diffraction and focusing induced by the gain, as in a single pass FEL, a filling factor coefficient may be derived from the Xie scaling laws [8] by calculating the ratio between the Xie factor with and without diffraction effects. In a similar way a coefficient correcting the coupling factor \( g \) may be derived from the semi-analytical model in [9].

**TIME DEPENDENT SIMULATIONS**

Mathcad programming may be used to set up FEL simulations in a wide variety of conditions. As an example we analyse the case of 1D FEL simulations including pulse propagation effects. The field is governed by the evolution equation

\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + \Delta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \right) a(r, \zeta) = -2\pi \delta(t) \left( \exp(\frac{i}{\gamma}) \right) \int \zeta a(r, \zeta) \right) \tag{10}
\]

where \( \Delta = N \lambda \) is the slip length, \( \zeta \) is the longitudinal coordinate in the frame moving with the electron bunch and \( \delta(t) \) is the normalized current shape. The average on the r.h.s. of (10) is intended in a region \( L \) at the position \( \zeta \). The solution of this equation is obtained by defining an array of variables of the type of \( \text{PHSP} \) in (5), representing the sampling of both the field and the beam phase space variables along the coordinate \( \zeta \) at the positions \( \zeta = \zeta_0, \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{n-1} \). The phase space beamlets are defined as

\[
P = (P_0, P_1, \ldots, P_{n-1})
\]

The undulator is divided in time steps such that the step length \( \Delta \tau \) is related to the spatial sampling \( \Delta \zeta \) by the condition \( \Delta \zeta = \Delta / \Delta \tau \). With such a choice, at each time step the field variables at the \( i^{th} \) position in the \( P \) array have to slip to the next position; \( i^{th} \to (i+1)^{th} \). For this purpose the function in Fig. 5 is defined.

```
FELSlipField (P1, P2) :=

P1 n = 1..P1 0

P1 n, 0 \leftarrow P2 n, 0

P1 n, 0 \leftarrow P2 n, 1

P1 n, 0 \leftarrow P1 n, 0

P1 n, 0 \leftarrow P1 n, 0

P1 n, 0 \leftarrow P1 n, 0


Figure 5: Function FELSlipField loading the field data from beamlet P2 to P1. Fields in P2 are overwritten.
```

The simulation of a single pass FEL in time dependent mode is then simply obtained with the function shown in Fig. 6. The first loop over the \( nb \) beamlets loads the initial phase space array \( P \), generating each beamlet with a
different shot noise coefficient \( \phi_{n,i} \). The initial field amplitude \( a_0 \) is multiplied by a modulating coefficient \( s_e \), which can be defined in order to simulate the \( \zeta \) dependence of an input seed. The second loop over the variable \( n_j \) takes care of the integration of the phase space beamlets \( P \) along the undulator. At each time step of length \( \Delta \tau \) the array of beamlets \( P \) is stored in the matrix \( \text{OUT} \). Particles and fields are advanced by the \( \text{FELpendulum}_h \) and the slippage is applied by the \( \text{FELSlipField} \) procedure defined in Fig. 5. Each column of the matrix \( \text{OUT} \) contains the array \( P \) at time \( \Delta \tau m \). As an example of a simulation obtained with the routine in Fig. 6, we have considered the case of a SASE FEL with the resonance at 200 nm, a FEL parameter \( \rho=4\times10^3 \) and gain length of about 0.3 m. In Fig. 7 the longitudinal phase space at saturation is shown. The beamlets at the same time frame have been joined together in the same plot. The horizontal axis represents the beamlets position along \( \xi \). The phase space variable \( \vartheta \) in each beamlet is expanded by the factor \( \Delta \xi/2\pi \) in order to give the impression of a continuous beam. The \( \zeta \) window is large enough to contain two spikes, the red line represents indeed the separatrix of the motion and is proportional to the root of the field.

![Figure 6: Function simulating the longitudinal dynamics of a single pass FEL in time dependent mode. The result returned by the matrix \( \text{OUT} \) contains the beamlets phase spaces composing the beam at the \( n_T \) time steps.](image)

![Figure 7: The beamlets resulting after a SASE FEL simulation at saturation have been joined together in the same plot. The horizontal axis represents the beamlets position along \( \zeta \). The phase space variable \( \vartheta \) in each beamlet is expanded by the factor \( \Delta \xi/2\pi \) in order to give the impression of a continuous beam. The red line represent the separatrix of the motion and is proportional to the root of the field.](image)

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have provided a brief overview of the physical model implemented in Perseo. The main functions required for setting up a simple simulation have been analysed and the algorithm for implementing time dependent simulation has been explained. With a similar procedure fully 3D simulations can be implemented but the cpu and memory requirements becomes excessive for an interactive execution.

For additional information and further insight in Perseo capabilities the reader is addressed to the web page [1] and to the Perseo Electronic Handbook included in the installation package.
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