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Which new set of parameters can reach a new kind of accelerator-based light source?

Energy Recovery Linacs allow:

- Spectral range: ~10 keV
- reasonable flux
- high brilliance
- high peak brilliance
- high repetition rate
- full transverse coherence
- short pulses (time-resolved experiments)

Why ERL as a synchrotron radiation source?

- high 6D brilliance
- high average current
Combine advantages!

Like storage ring +
• low emittance
• low energy spread
• long undulators
• short pulses

or

Like linac +
• high average current
• energy efficient

• high average current
• technologically mature
• energy efficient

• low emittance
• low energy spread
• long undulators
• short pulses
Femto-Science Factory (FSF): multi-turn, with pre-injection, splitted linac.
Since FSF shall be competitive with both future storage ring and linac-based light sources, it is necessary to keep the possibility to provide high average brilliance with short pulses. We propose two operation modes for 1) highest average brilliance 2) shortest bunch length. (Of course, one can think of operating with beam parameters between these extremes.) The longitudinal dispersion should be adjustable at least in some arcs to switch between the modes.

Adjustable $R_{56}$ in the pre-injector and first two arcs of the recirculator

$R_{56}=0$ in 3 - 6 GeV arcs of the recirculator
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accelerator/beam parameters</th>
<th>High brilliance mode</th>
<th>Short pulse mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E, GeV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;I&gt;, mA</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q, pC</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{in}}$, $\mu$m</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$\sim$0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\parallel}$, keV·mm</td>
<td>$\sim$3</td>
<td>$\sim$3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$, fs</td>
<td>200-1000</td>
<td>$\sim$10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;B&gt;$, ph/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/0.1%</td>
<td>$8 \cdot 10^{22}$</td>
<td>$\sim 4 \cdot 10^{21}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_{\text{peak}}$, ph/s/mm$^2$/mrad$^2$/0.1%</td>
<td>$10^{26}$</td>
<td>$\sim 10^{26}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I stage injector (no recovery)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, MeV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$, fs</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II stage injector (BERLinPro)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E, GeV</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$, fs</td>
<td>200-2000</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accelerator/beam parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Undulators</strong></td>
<td>$5 /\text{arc} \times 6 \text{ energies} \times 2 \text{ arcs} + 1 = 61$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d, \text{ cm}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of periods</strong></td>
<td>1000 (+ 1 with 3000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Linacs</strong></td>
<td>2 linacs $\times 9$ cryomodules $\times$ 8 cavities $\times$ 7 cell (BERLinPro type) +2 cryomodules (pre-injector)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accelerating gradient, MV/m</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy gain per linac, GeV</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>f, GHz</strong></td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Type 2 undulators:
\( d=0.04 \text{ m} \)
\( K=0..2.5 \)
\( N=1000 \)
\( \delta=10^{-4} \)
\( B_{\text{max}}=4.85\times10^{22} \)
\( \gamma=2000 \) (1 GeV),
\( 4000 \) (2 GeV), ...
\( 12000 \) (6 GeV).

Background picture (© DESY XFEL) shows the comparison with other lightsources.
Brilliance = the 6-D density of the photon beam in phase space

\[ B = \frac{d^6 N_{ph}}{dV^6} \sim \frac{\dot{N}_{ph}}{4\pi^2 \sigma_x \sigma_x' \sigma_y \sigma_y'} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \sim \frac{N_{ph}}{(2\pi)^3 \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_z} \]

In general, rms. bunch parameters are not the best choice to describe the beam brilliance [see e.g. I.Bazarov PRST AB 15, 050703 (2012)]. We use them for the selfconsistent comparisson with other sources.

For the undulators we consider, energy spread can lead to a widening of the radiation spectrum. This effect is important for higher harmonics. Brilliance is reduced (compared to a monoenergetic beam) by a factor

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 8\pi (Nk\delta)^2}} \]

(this formula is a good approximation for the maximum in the spectrum, the coefficient depends on the particle distribution, here a gaussian is assumed.)
Brilliance = the 6-D density of the photon beam in phase space

\[ B = \frac{d^6 N_{ph}}{dV^6} \sim \frac{\dot{N}_{ph}}{4\pi^2 \sigma_x \sigma_x' \sigma_y \sigma_y'} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \sim \frac{N_{ph}}{(2\pi)^3 \varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_z} \]

\[ \sigma_x = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_r^2}{2} + \varepsilon_x \beta_x + (\eta_x \delta)^2 + ...} \]

\[ \sigma_x' = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_r'^2}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_x}{\beta_x} + (\eta_x' \delta)^2} \]

If emittances are small, the source is called “diffraction limited” or “spatially coherent”. The brilliance in this case is defined by the photon beam emittance.

\[ \text{if} \quad \varepsilon_x \ll \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad (\sigma_x \sigma_{x'})_{\text{min}} = \frac{\sigma_r \sigma_r'}{2} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \quad B_{\text{max}} = \frac{4\dot{N}_{ph}}{\lambda^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega}} \]

The transversally coherent fraction of the radiation is given by

\[ \zeta = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 \sigma_x \sigma_x' \sigma_y \sigma_y'} \]
Coherent fraction of the FSF Type 2 undulator radiation at 1 (red) through 6 (blue) GeV for the photon energies covered by the first to fifth harmonics.
What we are working on…

- Injector design
  - Space charge limited minimal emittance optics
- Arcs
  - ISR and CSR optimized
  - Isochronous or $R_{56}$-adjustable
- Linacs
  - Multiple-beams suitable optics
  - BBU optimized
  - Compensation of the average energy loss (ISR, CSR, wakes )
- Spreaders/recombiners
  - ISR and CSR optimized
  - Isochronous
  - Compact
- Short pulses -enabling design (laser heater, longitudinal gymnastics, 3rd harmonic RF)
Optimal injector:
• Photocathode
• Bunch compression
• Emittance compensation (2D in merger)

Solution:
like BERLinPro injector
Optimal arc:

- Achromatic
- Isochronous (some with adjustable $R_{56}$)
- ISR optimized (minimal emittance lattice, $I_5$)

$$I_5 = \int \frac{(\gamma \eta^2 + 2\alpha \eta \eta' + \beta \eta'^2)}{|\rho|^3} ds$$

$$\Delta \epsilon = \frac{2}{3} r_e C_q \gamma^5 I_5$$

- CSR optimized (phase advance between cells)
Consider two consecutive identical isochronous bending cell.

1. The longitudinal bunch shape does not change due to isochronism.
2. The longitudinal dynamic in cells is identical if CSR induced energy spread produced in one cell is small enough.

Results: 1D CSR wakes in consecutive identical isochronous bending cell also identical.

$X$ is coordinate of slice centers
Cells optimized to minimal emittance growth due to ISR. At 6 GeV per turn (360°) \( \Delta \varepsilon_{ISR} \approx 0.05 \text{mm} \cdot \text{mrad} \). In each cell \( \mu_x \approx 3\pi/2 \).
Bunch parameters: $\sigma_z=10$ fs, $Q=15.4$ pC, $\epsilon_n=0.1$ mm·mrad, $E=6$ GeV, $\delta_{rms}=10^{-4}$

1D CSR (self-forces ‘projected’): $N=3\cdot10^5$, $r=0.01$ µm
3D CSR (self-forces ‘csr_g_to_p’): $N=2\cdot10^4$, $r=0.1$ µm,
All simulations give the same results.

CSR induced transversal emittance can be cancelled
Optimal linac optics and pre-injection:
• BBU optimized (minimal $\beta$-functions at all energies)
• Difficulties:
  • Multiple beams (with different energies) through the same optic
  • Quite high „natural“ $\beta$-functions

1st linac

1st pass

2nd pass

3rd pass

2nd linac
Spreadsers:

• Achromatic
• Isochronous
• ISR optimized (minimal $I_5$)
• Compact

• Difficulties:
  • Low $\beta$-functions are needed for low $I_5$, contradicts with “natural” $\beta$-functions of linacs
  • Low dispersion is necessary for low $I_5$, contradicts with the beamline separation
  • A “Lambertson septum -like“ separation magnet for 4, 5, and 6 GeV beam lines is pursued, coupled optics is complicated to optimize
$R_{56} = 0 \quad D_y = 0 \quad D_y' = 0$

$\beta_{\text{in,out}} \approx 50\div100 \text{ m} \quad \beta_{\text{max}} \approx 300 \text{ m}$

$$I_5 = \int \frac{\left(\gamma \eta^2 + 2\alpha \eta' \eta + \beta \eta'^2\right)}{|\rho|^3} \, ds \rightarrow \min$$
Start-to-end simulation: longitudinal emittance recovery, transversal emittance preservation.

- < 10 fs bunch length is feasible (1 pC)
- Longitudinal "emittance recovery" in 1 and 2 GeV arcs

\[ \Delta(\gamma \varepsilon_{\text{ISR}}) \sim 4 \times 10^{-8} \ E^6 \ I_5 \]
\[ = 0.05 \ \text{mm mrad} \]

See the talk of T. Atkinson on Wednesday for details.
• High pulse repetition rates (up to 1.3 GHz).
• Very high average brightness, several orders of magnitude greater than third-generation rings.
• Pulse durations ranging from tens of femtosecond to some picoseconds.
• High temporal coherence
• High transverse coherence (approaching diffraction limit).
• Control of time duration of the pulses.
• Excellent spectral resolving power.
• Output photon energy (including harmonics) extending throughout the soft X-ray region, from ~50 eV to ~50 keV.
• Polarization control.
• Multiple independent beamlines supporting a large user community.
6 GeV multi-turn ERL driver for a synchrotron light source with
• 0.1 mm·mrad normalized emittance
• diffraction limited at 1 Å wavelength
• 10 fs rms bunch length

seems feasible.