Accelerator Science and Technology Centre



# Upgrades to the ISIS Spallation Neutron Source

C.R. Prior

ASTeC Intense Beams Group Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, U.K.





#### ISIS - the World's Most Powerful Pulsed Neutron Source

#### 2006

- ISIS today
- 2006 Injector upgrade
- Dual Harmonic RF
- New Target Station (TS2)
- Front-end Test Stand (FETS)
- Linac development (EU/CARE/HIPPI)
- Motivation for a future high intensity proton driver
- Ideas for future ISIS upgrades





#### **ISIS** sited at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK





# **RAL Today**





## ISIS: a 200-300 µA Neutron Source



Serves as benchmark for development of other spallation neutron sources: SNS, ESS, facilities in China, studies in India.

- 70.4 MeV H- linac feeding an 800 MeV rapid cycling synchrotron operating at 50 Hz
- Mean ring radius  $R_0 = 26$  m
- Charge-exchange injection with Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> stripping foil
- ~10% beam loss in first 1 ms of cycle
- 2 bunches each of ~120 ns duration at tantalum-clad tungsten target.
- 200 µA of beam current, ~160 kW beam power. ←2002
- Injector recently upgraded with addition of a Radio-Frequency Quadrupole.
- Combined h=2/h=4 RF system
  installed to upgrade machine to
  ~300 µA, 240kW
- £140 m approved for new 10 Hz target station (under construction).





#### Radio Frequency Quadrupole (RFQ)



Old Cockcroft-Walton pre-injector



RFQ complete assembly with vacuum pumps and solenoids







# ASTeC.

# **Dual Harmonic RF Accelerating System**

 4 new h=4 cavities to supplement 6 existing h=2 cavities (RAL-KEK-ANL collaboration)

 $V(\phi, t) = \hat{V}(t) \left[ \sin \phi - \delta \sin \left( 2\phi + \vartheta \right) \right]$ 

- Ratio of h=2:h=4 voltages δ varied with time.
- Relative phase θ varied with time.
- Gives increased stable region in longitudinal phase space.
- Increases bunching factor and allows injection of more particles without increase in level of space charge.







# Dual Harmonic RF: Simulation of 3 × 10<sup>13</sup> ppp

MOMENTUM SPREAD v. PHASE





e10<sup>-1</sup>



Simulation show ~0.5% beam loss during injection and trapping; some very small loss (<0.1%) at about 3-4 ms (~200 MeV).

Designed for 10-20% increase in intensity but improvement in bunching factor suggests could increase by 50%:

 $160 \text{ kW} \rightarrow 240 \text{ kW}$ 



#### November 2006

- ISIS running with only two of four h=4 cavities in operation.
- Settings for single harmonic cavities as for normal ISIS operation.
- Does not correspond to dual harmonic design specifications.

Nevertheless:

- Beam losses more than halved 97% transmission: highest trapping efficiency ever achieved.
- Note small loss at 3-4 ms







## **Restoration of Status Quo**



Unoptimised system exceeds 200  $\mu$ A, giving confidence in sizeable increase when remaining h=4 cavities are switched in and correct settings are in place.



# **ISIS Second Target Station Project (TS2)**

- Major investment to extend capability and capacity of ISIS to meet future scientific needs in key areas of:
  - Soft Matter
  - Advanced Materials
  - Bio-materials
  - Nano-technology
- New 10 Hz target station, TS2
  - takes one pulse in five from ISIS synchrotron to handle the increased ISIS beam power.
- Low power:
  - 48 kW power
  - 60 μA current
- Design optimised for cold neutron production





# **ISIS Second Target Station Project**







# **TS2 Experimental Hall**





## **TS2 Project Milestones**

April 03	DTI announcement of
	funding for project

- Sept 04 Building structure complete
- Oct 06 Buildings complete
- Sep 07 EPB, Target station complete
- **Oct 07** 1<sup>st</sup> proton beam to target
- **Nov 07** 1<sup>st</sup> Instrument operation
- June 08 Complete instrument installation
- Oct 08 Start user programme

Buildings	£32M
Proton Beam	£31M
Target Station	£30M
Contingency	£10M
Instruments	£40M

#### Operating cost ~ $\pm 5 - 8M$ per year



#### ISIS Second Target Station Spend Profile



Accelerator Science and Technology Centre

### **TS2 Target Station Monolith Construction**



#### **TS2 Target Station and Services Area Construction**





#### Accelerator Science and Technology Centre

#### **TS2** Target Station and Services Area Construction











# **ISIS 2007**





# Generic R&D

Motivation from studies for

- Spallation Neutron Sources (ESS, SNS)
- Proton drivers for a Neutrino Factory
- Proton driver at Fermilab





# Front End Test Stand (FETS)



FETS main components:

- High brightness H<sup>-</sup> ion source.
- Magnetic Low Energy Beam Transport (LEBT).
- High current, high duty factor Radio Frequency Quadrupole.
- Very high speed beam chopper (3 MeV).
- Comprehensive diagnostics.





## Ion Source

#### Present operation:

- Penning H- ion source
- Surface Plasma Source
- 35 mA through 0.6×10 mm aperture (≈ 600 mA/cm2)
- 200-250 μs, 50 Hz, ~1% duty cycle
- 0.17 π mm mrad (@ 665 keV, 35 mA)

#### Goals:

- Double output current:  $35 \text{ mA} \rightarrow 70 \text{ mA}$
- Increase pulse length:  $200 \ \mu s \rightarrow 2 \ ms$
- Improve emittance  $\epsilon_{nrms}=0.2 \pi \text{ mm.mrad}$
- Maximize lifetime ≥10 weeks



Ion source development: complete assembly

Improved extraction geometry; addition of a separate Penning field magnet



#### **Ion Source Development**



Ion source, without extraction electrode



Ion source fitted on RFQ assembly





# ASTeC

#### Low Energy Beam Transport

- A 3 solenoid magnetic LEBT is being designed, based on the successful ISIS RFQ injector.
- An electrostatic LEBT has been rejected due to the close proximity of the caesiated ion source.
- Optimisation of the magnetic design is near completion



First simulations used an idealised beam of the required emittance based on ISIS LEBT measurements.



( $\epsilon_{x,rms}$ =0.33  $\pi$  mm.mrad;  $\epsilon_{y,rms}$ =0.33  $\pi$  mm.mrad)



# FETS: 324 MHz Radio-Frequency Quadrupole

- Initial beam dynamics design completed for a 324 MHz RFQ.
- Shows negligible emittance growth; 94% transmission for an ideal 60 mA beam.
- A 0.5 m, 324 MHz 4-vane RFQ cold model is almost complete. The cold model designed contains all the significant features of the final 4 m long design.





Machining operations were tested in Aluminium initially





# **FETS: RFQ Cold Model**



A braze test piece has been completed









# **FETS: Cavity Field Measurements**

A computer controlled bead pull perturbation system is under development to perform cavity field measurements on the cold models







# **FETS: High Power Klystron**

A Toshiba E3740A 2MW high power pulsed klystron has been delivered. European tender started for klystron PSU.





### Fast Beam Chopper

A novel tandem chopper technique has been developed at RAL to overcome the conflicting requirements of fast rise time (< 2ns) and long flat-top (up to 100  $\mu$ s).



Chopping facilitates

- very low loss ring injection (10<sup>-4</sup>) for hands-on maintenance.
- provides a gap for the ring extraction kickers to come on.



#### **FETS: Fast Beam Chopper**

- A 'fast' chopper removes 3-4 microbunches to create a short, clean gap in which the field of a 'slow' chopper can rise.
- The fast pulser is limited in flat-top but can switch between bunches.
- The slow pulser has a longer rise time but can generate the required flat-top.
- The system operates in reverse after chopping is completed.





A state of the art fast switch developed for RAL has achieved  $\pm 1.4$  kV, 15 ns flat top, with rise and fall times less than 2 ns.

Slow chopper has met all specifications except for required duty factor



# **FETS: Chopper Buncher Cavities and Quadrupoles**

The shortest solution will require novel, compact, high gradient quadrupoles and DTL-like cavities.





# High Current 180 MeV H<sup>-</sup> Linac Studies

- An H<sup>-</sup> linac to ~200 MeV is common to all RAL proton accelerator designs, whether for neutron generation or as the driver for a muon-based neutrino factory.
- RAL's work progresses in parallel with similar studies at CERN for Linac4 (recently approved for construction).





Accelerator Science and Technology Centre

# **ISIS** Upgrades

# $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 5 \text{ MW}$





# 1/2 MW Upgrade I

- Replace existing 70MeV linac with new injector.
- Injecting at 180 MeV halves the spacecharge tune depression and allows more beam to be accelerated.
- Present injection geometry, some upgrades to ring (RF); use existing target station?
- Could increase repetition rate to 64 Hz with same peak RF voltages.
- Significant power increase

 $240 \text{ kW} \rightarrow \text{~}500 \text{ kW}$ 

- 2 bunches per pulse each of 3.75×10<sup>13</sup> protons
- Advantage of replacing old equipment and good potential for future upgrades.
- BUT: target station is certainly limited to ½ MW and may not take more than ¼ MW





# 1/2 MW Upgrade II

- Build a new 800 MeV ring in present synchrotron tunnel.
- Inject 2 extra bunches
- Doubles beam power
- Better with new 180 MeV linac
- 4 bunches per pulse each of ~1.85×10<sup>13</sup>
- May be construction difficulties in ISIS tunnel.





# Upgrades to 1 MW and above

- ISIS space charge limited
- Solution lies in new rings and higher energy
- Requires new target station(s)
  - Cost does not rise in proportion
  - Significantly more expensive
- Raise energy to ~3 GeV required for 1 MW





#### **New Synchrotron**



# ASTeC

# 1 MW Upgrade with New Synchrotron I

- One new synchrotron ring.
- New target station TS3 designed for  $\geq 1$  MW.
- 3 GeV, 50 Hz, R=3R<sub>0</sub>, h=6 (or 12) for neutron production.
- Takes the two bunches from ISIS, bucket to bucket matching (very low loss).
- 2 bunches per pulse each of  $1.85 \times 10^{13}$
- Injector might also be upgraded to 180 MeV for more beam power.
- Ring could also accelerate to 8 GeV at 16.7 Hz.
  - Used for bunch compression (~1 ns) and target tests for a neutrino factory.



# ASTeC.

# 1 MW Upgrade with New Synchrotron II

- Add the 3 GeV synchrotron and build a second 800 MeV synchrotron in the ISIS tunnel
- Build TS3
- 4 bunches per pulse each of 1.85×10<sup>13</sup> protons at 50 Hz
- ~1.8 MW
- Improved with 180 MeV linac
- Construction difficulties



# ASTeC.

#### 2.5 MW Upgrade – a new booster system

- Construct a new booster into the 3 GeV synchrotron.
- 180 MeV linac feeding two 50 Hz, h=3, 1.2 GeV synchrotrons with radius 39 m.
- All 6 buckets in the main synchrotron are filled.
- 6 bunches per pulse each of 1.85×10<sup>13</sup> protons.
- ~2.7 MW at TS3 target.
- A stand-alone system (ISIS2) and ISIS1 could continue to operate as at present.





#### **Other Possibilities I**

- Low energy (180 MeV) is needed for a neutrino factory (ns bunch length)
- For neutrons, easier to inject at higher energy (less space charge)
- Build a new 800 MeV linac, accumulate in a single booster ring, and accelerate in one 3 GeV synchrotron.
- Either in stand-alone mode with ISIS1 in parallel, or close down ISIS1 entirely.

#### OR:

- Add a second main synchrotron, run both at 25 Hz to 6 GeV
- 6 bunches of ~1.5×10<sup>13</sup> protons in each ring
- Stand-alone ISIS2  $\geq$  4 MW





#### **Other Possibilities II – Neutron Optimised Ring**

- Take half the beam from ISIS (2 bunches at 25 Hz.)
- Accelerate to 3.5 GeV
- $\geq$  0.5 MW on TS3
- 0.12 MW to TS1+TS2.
- For 1 MW:
  - Build 800 MeV storage ring above new RCS
  - Holds 2 bunches for 20 ms
  - Then accelerate 4 bunches to 3.5 GeV in RCS at 25 Hz
  - All pulses go to TS3
- OR storage ring could be a second 3.5 GeV RCS operating on successive half cycles (2 bunches at 50 Hz)
- Could also use 180 MeV linac to ~double number of protons per bunch.





#### **The Future**

#### **UK Strategy**

- Supporting appropriate investment in the existing facilities
  - ISIS accelerators
  - ISIS target stations
  - ISIS instruments
  - ILL
- Exploring, within an international context and in a timely manner, scientific and technological opportunities for a next generation neutron source for Europe.
- The UK has the potential to build a megawatt-class spallation neutron source through the upgrade of ISIS, but will defer further planning for this option until the outcome of the wider discussions on European plans is known

