Low and Intermediate β Cavity Design

A Tutorial

Jean Delayen Jefferson Lab

2003 SRF Workshop





A Few Obvious Statements

Low and medium β β<1 Particle velocity will change

The lower the velocity of the particle or cavity β The faster the velocity of the particle will change The narrower the velocity range of a particular cavity The smaller the number of cavities of that β The more important it is that the particle achieve design velocity

Be conservative at lower β Be more aggressive at higher β



A Few More Statements

Two main types of structure geometries **TEM class (QW, HW, Spoke)** TM class (elliptical)

Design issues of medium β elliptical cavities are similar to those of β=1

Most of the talk will be on TEM-class cavities

For TM-class cavities see:

Design criteria for elliptical cavities

Pagani, Barni, Bosotti, Pierini, Ciovati, SRF 2001.

Challenges and the future of reduced beta srf cavity design Sang-ho Kim, LINAC 2002.



A Word on Design Tools

TEM-class cavities are essentially 3D geometries







3D electromagnetic software is available MAFIA, Microwave Studio, HFSS, etc.

3D software is usually very good at calculating frequencies Not quite as good at calculating surface fields

Use caution, vary mesh size Remember Electromagnetism 101



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Design Tradeoffs

Number of cells Voltage gain Velocity acceptance Frequency Size Voltage gain

Voltage gain

Rf losses

velocity v/c

Energy content, microphonics, rf control

Acceptance, beam quality and losses



Energy Gain Transit Time Factor - Velocity Acceptance

$$\Delta W = q \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E(z) \cos(\omega t + \phi) dz$$

Assumption: constant velocity

$$\Delta W = q \cos \phi \ \Delta W_0 \ T(\beta)$$

$$\Delta W_0 = \Theta \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| E(z) \right| dz$$

$$\Theta = \frac{\operatorname{Max} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} E(z) \cos\left(\frac{\omega z}{\beta c}\right) dz}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |E(z)| dz}$$

Transit Time Factor



-Jefferson Lab

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Transit Time Factor



Velocity Acceptance for 2-Gap Structures



Velocity Acceptance for 3-Gap Structures



Higher-Order Effects



If characteristic length $\ll \lambda$ ($\beta < 0.5$), separate the problem in two parts: Electrostatic model of high voltage region **Transmission line**



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Basic Electrostatics



Capacitance per unit length

$$C = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_0}{\ln\left(\frac{b}{r_0}\right)} = \frac{2\pi\varepsilon_0}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right)}$$

Inductance per unit length

$$L = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{b}{r_0}\right) = \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right)$$





b

Center conductor voltage

$$V(z) = V_0 \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}z\right)$$

Center conductor current

$$I(z) = I_0 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}z\right)$$



Line impedance

$$Z_0 = \frac{V_0}{I_0} = \frac{\eta}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\rho_0}\right) , \qquad \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon_0}} \approx 377\Omega$$



Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility





 V_p : Voltage across loading capacitance

 $B \simeq 9 \text{ mT}$ at 1 MV/m

Jefferson Pab

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Power dissipation (ignore losses in the shorting plate)

$$P = V_p^2 \frac{8}{\pi} \frac{R_s}{\eta^2} \frac{\lambda}{b} \frac{1+1/\rho_0}{\ln^2 \rho_0} \frac{\zeta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sin \pi \zeta}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \zeta}$$
$$P \propto \frac{R_s}{\eta^2} E^2 \beta \lambda^2$$

Energy content

$$U = V_p^2 \frac{\pi \varepsilon_0}{8} \lambda \frac{1}{\ln(1/\rho_0)} \frac{\zeta + \frac{1}{\pi} \sin \pi \zeta}{\sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2} \zeta}$$
$$U \propto \varepsilon_0 E^2 \beta^2 \lambda^3$$



Geometrical factor

$$G = QR_s = 2\pi \eta \frac{b}{\lambda} \frac{\ln(1/\rho_0)}{1+1/\rho_0}$$
$$G \propto \eta \beta$$

Shunt impedance $\left(4V_{p}^{2}/P\right)$ R/Q $R_{sh} = \frac{\eta^{2}}{R_{s}}\frac{32}{\pi}\frac{b}{\lambda}\frac{\ln^{2}\rho_{0}}{1+1/\rho_{0}}\frac{\sin^{2}\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta}{\zeta+\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\pi\zeta}$ $\frac{R_{sh}}{Q} = \frac{16}{\pi^{2}}\eta\ln(1/\rho_{0})\frac{\sin^{2}\frac{\pi}{2}\zeta}{\zeta+\frac{1}{\pi}\sin\pi\zeta}$ $R_{sh} R_{s} \propto \eta^{2}\beta$ $\frac{R_{sh}}{Q} \propto \eta$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by the Southeastern Universities Research Association for the U.S. Department of Energy

lefferson Lab



Page 18 Office of Science

Operated by the Southeastern Universities Research Association for the U.S. Department of Energy

efferson C

'alı



MKS units, lines of constant normalized loading capacitance $\Gamma/\lambda\epsilon_0$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by the Southeastern Universities Research Association for the U.S. Department of Energy

efferson C

'all

More Complicated Center Conductor Geometries



$$\frac{d^2 v}{d\zeta^2} - \frac{1}{\rho \ln \rho} \frac{d\rho}{d\zeta} \frac{dv}{d\zeta} + \frac{\pi^2}{4} v = 0$$
$$\frac{d^2 i}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1}{\rho \ln \rho} \frac{d\rho}{d\zeta} \frac{di}{d\zeta} + \frac{\pi^2}{4} i = 0$$
$$\Gamma(z) = -C(z) \frac{i(z)}{di/dz}$$



More Complicated Center Conductor Geometries

Constant logarithmic derivative of line capacitance

Good model for linear taper

$$\frac{1}{C}\frac{\mathrm{d}C}{\mathrm{d}z} = -\frac{1}{d} \qquad r(z) = b\left(\frac{r_0}{b}\right)^{\exp(z/d)}$$

Constant surface magnetic field

 $i(z) \propto r(z)$

$$\frac{d^2r}{dz^2} - \frac{1}{r\ln(b/r)} \left(\frac{dr}{dz}\right)^2 + \frac{4\pi^2}{\lambda^2}r = 0$$



Profile of Constant Surface Magnetic Field



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Profile of Constant Surface Magnetic Field



MKS units, lines of constant normalized loading capacitance $\Gamma/\lambda\epsilon_0$

Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Operated by the Southeastern Universities Research Association for the U.S. Department of Energy

efferson C

'all

Some Real Geometries ($\lambda/4$)





Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Some Real Geometries ($\lambda/4$)



Some Real Geometries ($\lambda/2$)





Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Some Real Geometries ($\lambda/2$)





Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility



Parting Words

In the last 30+ years, the development of low and medium β superconducting cavities has been one of the richest and most imaginative area of srf

The field has been in perpetual evolution and progress

New geometries are constantly being developed

The final word has not been said

The parameter, tradeoff, and option space available to the designer is large

The design process is not, and probably will never be, reduced to a few simple rules or recipes

There will always be ample opportunities for imagination, originality, and common sense

