COMPACT SUPERCONDUCTING CRABBING AND DEFLECTING CAVITIES

Subashini De Silva

Center for Accelerator Science
Department of Physics, Old Dominion University
and
Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility

Introduction

- New geometries for compact superconducting crabbing and deflecting cavities have been developed

- They have significantly improved properties over those of the standard TM_{110}–type cavities
  - They are smaller
  - Have low surface fields
  - High shunt impedance
  - Some of the designs have no lower-order-mode with a well-separated fundamental mode

Crabbing/Deflecting Cavity Applications

- Luminosity management in linear or circular colliders
- Separation or merge of multiple beams
- Emittance exchange in beams
- X-ray pulse compression
- Beam diagnostics

The 1st Superconducting RF Deflecting Cavity



2.865 GHz Karlsruhe/CERN RF Separator*

- Designed 1970, operated 1977-1981
- 104 cells
- At IHEP since 1998
- Operating mode: bi-periodic TM_{110} mode





Assembly into cryostat

* A. Citron et al., NIM 164, 31-55, (1979)

The 1st Superconducting Crabbing Cavity





Complex HOM Damping Scheme

KEK Crabbing Cavity*

Frequency	**508.9**	**MHz**
LOM	410.0	MHz
Nearest HOMs	630.0, 650.0, 680.0	MHz
E_p^*	4.24	MV/m
B_p^*	12.23	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	2.88	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	48.9	Ω
Geometrical Factor (G)	227.0	Ω
$R_T R_S$	1.11×10^4	Ω^2
At $E_T^* = 1$ MV/m		

- Operating mode: TM_{110} mode
- Required transverse deflection: 1.44 MV
- Operation: 2007-2010

*K. Hosoyama et al, "Crab Cavity for KEKB", Proc. of the 7th Workshop on RF Superconductivity, p.547 (1998)

Jefferson Lab

Old Dominion University

Potential Applications of Compact Superconducting Deflecting/Crabbing Cavities

499 MHz Deflecting Cavity for Jefferson Lab 12 GeV Upgrade





400 MHz Crabbing Cavity for LHC High Luminosity Upgrade

- Requires a crabbing system at two interaction points (IP1 and IP5)
  - Vertical crossing at IP1
  - Horizontal crossing at IP5



Deflecting Cavity for Project–X*



- Bunch frequency (f_0) = 162.5 MHz
- Deflecting cavity frequency = $f_0 \times (m \pm 1/4)$
- Frequency options:
  - m=2 → $f_0 \times (m+1/4)$ = 365.625 MHz
  - m=3 → $f_0 \times (m-1/4)$ = 446.875 MHz



*V. Yakovlev and M. Champion, "A Beam Splitter for the Project-X", LHC-CC11, (2011)

How To Achieve Compact Designs

- Karlsruhe/CERN deflector and KEK crabbing cavity used magnetic field
  - Operating in TM_{110} mode which is not the lowest mode
- Current compact designs use electric field or both electric and magnetic fields
  - TEM-like designs
  - TE-like designs

- Compact superconducting crabbing/deflecting cavity designs
  - University of Lancaster / Jefferson Lab – 4-Rod Cavity
  - BNL – Quarter Wave Cavity
  - ODU/SLAC – Parallel-Bar Cavity and RF-Dipole Cavity

4-Rod Cavity

- 499 MHz normal conducting rf separator* at Jefferson Lab
- High shunt impedance

- Operates in a TEM-like mode
  - Uses both electric field and magnetic field
  - Deflecting mode is not the lowest mode







Accelerating lower order mode



Fundamental deflecting mode

*C. Leemann and C.G. Yao, "A Highly Effective Deflecting Structure", Proc. of the 1990 LINAC, p.232-234, (1991)

4-Rod Cavity (U. Lancaster/Jefferson Lab)

- 400 MHz superconducting 4-rod cavity*
- Rod shaping
  - To reduce surface electric and magnetic fields
  - To reduce offset field non-uniformities







*B. Hall, "LHC-4R Crab Cavity",
EUCARD SRF Annual Review, March 2012

Lower and Higher Order Modes of the 4-Rod Cavity



Lower Order Monopole Mode – 374.9 MHz





3π/4 Higher Order Monopole Mode



3π/4 Higher Order Dipole Mode

4-Rod Cavity Properties



Surface Electric Field



Surface Magnetic Field

Frequency	**400.0**	**MHz**
LOM	375.2	MHz
Nearest HOMs	436.6, 452.1	MHz
E_p^*	4.0	MV/m
B_p^*	7.56	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	1.89	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	915.0	Ω
Geometrical Factor (G)	62.8	Ω
$R_T R_S$	5.7×10^4	Ω^2
At $E_T^* = 1$ MV/m		

Quarter-Wave Cavity (BNL)

100 MHz
¼-Wave Cavity*

- Attractive at low frequencies
- Strong reentrant form makes the field pattern at the outer radius predominately TEM



181 MHz ¼-wave cavity for eRHIC#



Electric Field



Magnetic Field

400 MHz superconducting asymmetric ¼-wave cavity



Quarter-Wave Cavity

- Two design options at 400 MHz
- Asymmetric cavity*
  - V_{acc} = 0.12 MV at V_t = 3.0 MV
  - Higher mode separation between fundamental mode and nearest HOM
- Symmetric cavity (similar to rf-dipole cavity)
  - V_{acc} = 0 V
  - Better field non-uniformity





	Asymmetric Cavity	Symmetric Cavity	
LOM	None	None	MHz
Nearest HOM	657	582	MHz
E_p^*	5.38	4.04	MV/m
B_p^*	7.6	7.2	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	1.42	1.77	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	344.0	401.1	Ω
Geometrical Factor (G)	131.0	82.4	Ω
$R_T R_S$	4.5×10^4	3.3×10^4	Ω^2
At E_T^* = *1* MV/m			

*R. Calaga et.al., in Proceedings of the 3rd IPAC, New Orleans, Louisiana (2012), p. 2260.

Higher Order Modes of the ¼-Wave Cavity

Electric field



deflection mode



horizontal mode



longitudinal mode

- No Lower Order Modes
- Hybrid modes with both deflection and acceleration



*R. Calaga et.al., in Proceedings of the 3rd IPAC, New Orleans, Louisiana (2012), p. 2260.

Parallel-Bar Cavity to RF-Dipole Cavity (ODU)

499 MHz Deflecting Cavity*



E Field   H Field   Surface E Field   Surface H Field

*J.R. Delayen, S.U. De Silva, “Designs of Superconducting Parallel-Bar Deflecting Cavities for Deflecting/Crabbing Applications”, Proc. of the15th International Conference on RF Superconductivity, p.219, (2011)

Design Evolution of the 499 MHz Deflecting Cavity



- To increase mode separation between fundamental modes
- ~18 MHz → ~ 130 MHz
- To improve design rigidity → Less susceptible to mechanical vibrations and deformations

- To lower peak magnetic field
- Reduced peak magnetic field by ~20%

Design Evolution of the 499 MHz Deflecting Cavity







Balanced Peak Fields

$$\frac{B_P}{E_P} \leq 2.0\ \mathrm{mT/(MV/m)}$$

- To remove higher order modes with field distributions between the cavity outer surface and bar outer surface
- Eliminate multipacting conditions

- To lower peak magnetic field
- Reduced peak magnetic field by ~25%
- To achieve balanced peak surface fields
- $B_P/E_P \approx 1.5$ mT/(MV/m)

Ridged Waveguide Cavity (SLAC)

- 400 MHz Crabbing Cavity*
- Operating at a TE_{11}-like mode



Frequency	400.0	MHz
LOM	None	MHz
Nearest HOM	617.0	MHz
E_p^*	3.38	MV/m
B_p^*	7.05	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	2.09	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	330.0	Ω
At $E_T^* = 1$ MV/m		



E Field



H Field

*Z. Li and L. Ge, "Compact Crab Cavity Design and Modeling Using Parallel Code ACE3P", LHC-CC11, (2011)

Characteristics of the RF-Dipole Cavity

- Properties depend on a few parameters
  - Frequency determined by diameter of the cavity design
  - Bar Length ~$\lambda/2$
  - Bar height and aperture determine E_P and B_P
  - Angle determines B_P/E_P



- RF-Dipole design has
  - Low surface fields and high shunt impedance
  - Good balance between peak surface electric and magnetic field
  - No LOMs
  - Nearest HOM is widely separated (~ 1.5 fundamental mode)
  - Good uniformity of deflecting field due to high degree symmetry

Optimization of Bar Shape of the RF-Dipole Cavity



499 MHz Deflecting Cavity



RF-Dipole Cavity Designs

Frequency	**499.0**	**400.0**	**750.0**	**MHz**
Aperture Diameter (d)	40.0	84.0	60.0	mm
d/(λ/2)	0.133	0.224	0.3	
LOM	None	None	None	MHz
Nearest HOM	777.0	589.5	1062.5	MHz
E_p^*	2.86	3.9	4.29	MV/m
B_p^*	4.38	7.13	9.3	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	1.53	1.83	2.16	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	982.5	287.2	125.0	Ω
Geometrical Factor (G)	105.9	138.7	136.0	Ω
$R_T R_S$	1.0×10^5	4.0×10^4	1.7×10^4	Ω^2
At E_T^* = 1 MV/m				

499 MHz Deflecting Cavity for Jefferson Lab 12 GeV Upgrade



400 MHz Crabbing Cavity for LHC High Luminosity Upgrade



750 MHz Crabbing Cavity for MEIC at Jefferson Lab*



*A. Castilla et.al., in Proceedings of the 3rd IPAC, New Orleans, Louisiana (2012), p. 2447.

RF-Dipole Square Cavity Options

- Square-type rf-dipole cavity to further reduce the transverse dimensions
- Frequency is adjusted by curving radius of the edges
- RF-dipole cavity with modified curved loading elements across the beam aperture to reduce field non-uniformity



HOM Properties of the RF-Dipole Cavity

- Widely separated Higher Order Modes
- No Lower Order Modes



LOM and HOM Damping

4-Rod Cavity*





¼-Wave Cavity*





Magnetic loop-type HOM couplers



RF-Dipole Cavity*





Coaxial two-stage high-pass filter coupler



*Presented at LARP CM 18 / HiLumi LHC Meeting, Fermilab, May 2012

Multipacting Analysis

4-Rod Cavity*



- Soft multipactor barriers were found in the cavity above 0.5 MV
- No Hard barriers were found
- Multipacting on the beam pipe was found on the beam pipe at ~1.6MV



¼-Wave Cavity*





RF-Dipole Cavity*



Resonant Particles Distribution at 0.6MV





*Presented at LARP CM 18 / HiLumi LHC Meeting, Fermilab, May 2012

Field Non-Uniformity

- Shaped rods
  - To reduce filed non-uniformity across the beam aperture
  - Suppress higher order multipole components

4-Rod Cavity

- Voltage deviation at 20 mm
  - Horizontal: 6.2 % → 1.5%
  - Vertical: 25.3% → 0.6%





RF-Dipole Cavity

- Voltage deviation at 20 mm
  - Horizontal: 5.0% → 0.2%
  - Vertical: 5.5% → 2.4%





400 MHz 4-Rod Cavity Fabrication



499 MHz RF-Dipole Cavity Fabrication







400 MHz RF-Dipole Cavity Fabrication



Summary









	KEK Crabbing Cavity	RF-Dipole Cavity	RF-Dipole Cavity	4-Rod Cavity	Asymmetric ¼-Wave Cavity	Symmetric ¼-Wave Cavity	Units
Frequency	**508.9**	**499.0**	**400.0**	**400.0**	**400.0**	**400.0**	**MHz**
Aperture Diameter (d)	100.0	40.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	84.0	mm
d/(λ/2)	0.34	0.13	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.22	
LOM	410.0	None	None	375.2	None	None	MHz
Nearest HOM	630.0	777.0	589.5	436.6	657.0	577.8	MHz
E_p^*	4.24	2.86	3.9	4.0	5.38	4.04	MV/m
B_p^*	12.23	4.38	7.13	7.56	7.6	7.2	mT
B_p^*/E_p^*	2.88	1.53	1.83	1.89	1.42	1.77	mT/(MV/m)
$[R/Q]_T$	48.9	982.5	287.2	915.0	344.0	401.1	Ω
Geometrical Factor (G)	227.0	105.9	138.7	62.8	131.0	82.4	Ω
$R_T R_S$	1.1×10^4	1.0×10^5	4.0×10^4	5.7×10^4	4.5×10^4	3.3×10^4	Ω^2
At $E_T^* = 1$ MV/m							

Summary

- The development of compact deflecting/crabbing cavities was in response to the strict dimensional requirements in some current applications
- All these compact designs have attractive properties in meeting the requirements
  - Low and balanced surface fields
  - High shunt impedance
  - Some of the designs have no lower-order-mode with a well-separated fundamental mode
- HOM damping, multipacting and mechanical analysis have been addressed
- Most of the compact designs are currently being fabricated and prototype testing is underway

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Jefferson Lab
  - HyeKyoung Park
- ODU
  - Alejandro Castilla
- SLAC
  - Zenghai Li, Lixin Ge
- Niowave
  - Dmitry Gorelov, Terry Grimm
- CERN
  - Rama Calaga
- University of Lancaster
  - Graeme Burt, Ben Hall
- BNL
  - Ilan Ben-Zvi, Qiong Wu
  - Binping Xiao

- The work done at ODU is towards my PhD carried out under the supervision of Dr. Jean Delayen

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Jefferson Lab
  - HyeKyoung Park
- ODU
  - Alejandro Castilla
- SLAC
  - Zenghai Li, Lixin Ge
- Niowave
  - Dmitry Gorelov, Terry Grimm
- CERN
  - Rama Calaga
- University of Lancaster
  - Graeme Burt, Ben Hall
- BNL
  - Ilan Ben-Zvi, Qiong Wu
  - Binping Xiao

- The work done at ODU is towards my PhD carried out under the supervision of Dr. Jean Delayen

THANK YOU