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## Introduction

> CEPC ( a Circular Electron Positron Collider) has been proposed to study the Higgs boson
$>$ For different reasons, CEPC has temporarily chosen the single ring as the baseline design
> A circumference of 50 km has also been chosen to have a reasonable cost
> Quite a lot of work has been done during the past year
> Enormous effort has been spent on preparing the Preliminary Conceptual Design Report, which is available now at: http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/preCD R/volume.html


## CEPC parameter list

| Parameter | Unit | Value | Parameter | Unit | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beam energy [ $E$ ] | GeV | 120 | Circumference [C] | km | 54 |
| Number of IP[ ${ }_{\text {L }}$ IT] |  | 2 | SR loss/turn [ $\mathrm{U}_{0}$ ] | GeV | 3.11 |
| Sunch number/beam[ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ] |  |  | Bunch population [ Ne ] |  | $3.79 \mathrm{E}+11$ |
| SR power/beam [P] | MW | 51.7 | Beam current [I] | mA | 16.6 |
| Benains radius [ p ] | m | $\bigcirc 094$ | momentum compaction factor [ $\alpha_{p}$ ] |  | 3.36E-05 |
| Revolution period [ $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ ] | s | $1.83 \mathrm{E}-04$ | Revolution frequency [ $\mathrm{f}_{0}$ ] | Hz | 5475.46 |
| emittance ( $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | nm | 6.12/0.018 | $\beta_{\text {IP }}(x / y)$ | mm | 800/1.2 |
| Transverse size ( $\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}$ ) | $\mu \mathrm{m}$ | 69.97/0.15 | $\xi_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{IP}$ |  | 0.118/0.083 |
| Beam length SR [ $\sigma_{\text {s.SR }}$ ] | mm | 2.14 | Beam length total [ $\sigma_{\text {s.tot }}$ ] | mm | 2.65 |
| Lifetime due to Beamstrahlung (simulation) | min | 47 | lifetime due to radiative Bhabha scattering [ $\tau_{\mathrm{L}}$ ] | min | 52 |
| RF voltage [ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rf}}$ ] | GV | 6.87 | RF frequency [ $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{rf}}$ ] | MHz | 650 |
| Harmonic number [ h ] |  | 118800 | Synchrotron oscillation tune [ $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ] |  | 0.18 |
| Energy acceptance RF [h] | \% | 5.99 | Damping partition number [ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ] |  | 2 |
| Energy spread SR [ $\sigma_{\delta .5 R}$ ] | \% | 0.132 | Energy spread BS [ $\sigma_{\delta . \mathrm{BS}}$ ] | \% | 0.119 |
| Energy spread total [ $\sigma_{\text {d.tot }}$ ] | \% | 0.163 | $\mathrm{n}_{\gamma}$ |  | 0.23 |
| Transverse damping time [ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ] | turns | 78 | Longitudinal damping time [ $\mathrm{n}_{\varepsilon}$ ] | turns | 39 |
| Hourglass factor | Fh | 0.658 | Luminosity /IP[L] | $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $2.04 \mathrm{E}+34$ |

## CEPC Lattice Layout (Jan 11, 2015)



## CEPC in real world (one possibility)

$>$ A few possible locations has been studied
$>$ One of the possible location is: QinHuangDao, east of Beijing, 300km, 3h30m drive
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## Lattice of arc sections

$>$ Length of FODO cell: 47.2m
> Phase advance of FODO cells: 60/60 degrees

> Dispersion suppressor on each side of every arc
> Length: 94.4 m

$\delta_{E} p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS

## Lattice of straight sections

$>$ All straights: 20 FODO cells
$>$ Length: 944m
> Used for adjusting working point and matching
$>$ Can be used for RF, injection and beam dump, etc.


## Lattice of the main ring

$>$ Eight superperiod, with 2 IPs
$>$ Total length is 54.3744 km , as the cell length is 47.2 m , the pretzel scheme is designed for 48 bunches/beam.


$\delta_{E} p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS


## Work point

> The working point is chosen as: .08/.22 in horizontal and vertical plane for present study
> Optimization is done with beam-beam simulations, to have a high luminosity
$>$ Will further optimize it


## Chromatic correction (1)

$>$ Two families of sextupoles: one family for horizontal, one family for vertical plane
$>$ One sextupole next to each quadrupole in the arc section (interleaved scheme)

$\delta_{E} p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS


## Chromatic correction (2)

$>$ The W function for the ring is only a few
$>$ The chromaticity in both planes has been corrected to a positive value



## Dynamic aperture

$>240$ turns is tracked for dynamic aperture (3 transverse damping time)
$>$ Coupling of $0.3 \%$ is used for calculation of vertical beam size
$>$ The dynamic aperture is: $\sim 60 \sigma_{x} / 1300 \sigma_{y}$ or $60 \mathrm{~mm} / 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ in $x$ and y for $\pm 2 \%$ momentum spread


## Pretzel scheme (1)

> Designed for 48 bunches/beam, every 4pi phase advance has one collision point
$>$ Horizontal separation is adopted to avoid big coupling
$>$ No off-center orbit in RF section to avoid beam instability and HOM in the cavity
$>$ One pair of electrostatic separators for each arc


## Pretzel scheme (2)

> At straight sections, IP2 /IP4 and the other four symmetric points are parasitic crossing points, but have to avoid collision
$>$ Six more pairs of electrostatic separators for these crossing points



IP2/IP4
and the other four symmetric points

## Pretzel scheme (3)

$>$ Separation distance: ~5 $\sigma x$ for each beam (10 ox distance between two beam)
$>$ Maximum separation distance between two beams is $: \sim 10 \mathrm{~mm}$

$\delta_{H} p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS
Orbit for the first $1 / 8$ ring


Orbit for IP2/IP4 and the other four symmetric points

## Pretzel scheme (4)

$>$ Orbit of half CEPC ring

$\delta_{E} p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS

$$
[* 10 * *(3)]
$$

At least 14 pairs of electrostatic separators will be needed for the ring.

## Issues with pretzel orbit (1)

> Pretzel orbit has effects on:

- Beta functions, thus tune
- Dispersion function, thus emittance
- Dynamic aperture



## Issues with pretzel orbit (2)

$>$ Estimation of dipole field strength in quadrupole

$$
K_{1}=0.022, \quad B \rho=400, \Delta x=5 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

$$
\Delta B=K_{1} \cdot B \rho \cdot \Delta x=0.05 \mathrm{~T} \quad \Rightarrow \text { Dipole field of the ring 0.066T. }
$$

$>$ Estimation of quadrupole field strength in sextupole

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{2}=0.38, B \rho=400, \Delta x=5 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& \Delta K_{1}=K_{2} \cdot \Delta x=0.0019
\end{aligned}
$$

Quadrupole field of the ring $K_{1}=0.022$.

$$
\Delta B=K_{2} \cdot B \rho \cdot \frac{\Delta x^{2}}{2}=0.0019 \mathrm{~T} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \text { Dipole field of the ring } \\
& 0.066 \mathrm{~T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This explains why the dispersion function has been changed so much.

## Correction of pretzel orbit effects

$>$ The distortion of pretzel orbit effects on beta functions and dispersions can be corrected by making quadrupoles individually adjustable, which can be done by adding shunts on each quadrupoles
$>$ A new periodic solution can be found by grouping 6 FODO cells together as one new period
$>$ The maximum adjustment of quadrupole strength is $\sim 2 \%$

$\delta_{E} / p_{o c}=0$.
Table name $=$ TWISS

## New lattice after correction

$>$ After correction, the lattice regains periodicity
$>$ The distortion effects from pretzel orbit could be corrected
$>$ Dynamic aperture of the ring after correction still need to be studied



## Other issues

$>$ The maximum saw tooth orbit distortion is $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, which results from the 3.1 GeV synchrotron radiation loss per turn
$>$ The lattice for e+ and e- are not symmetric for now, symmetry has to be guaranteed with pretzel and saw tooth orbit



## Our plan (personal view)

> There are many different options for the lattice that we could in principle try out, e.g. FODO cells with different phase advances, different cell length, double ring scheme, etc....
$>$ But, what we want to/should do is: Focusing on one option ( for now it is one ring scheme with 60/60 degree FODO cells and 47.2 m cell length), investigate it deeply, and try to make it work
$>$ Then, we can study and compare with other options
$>$ And this is what we are doing now.
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